

FY13 Agreement Addenda Section III: WIC Deliverable #3 - Provide Access to Program Services for Children

3A. Percentage of children less than 12 months of age who were served in the Child Health Clinic and received WIC Program Services*

Numerator equals the unduplicated count of children less than 1 year of age receiving both CH and WIC services.

Denominator equals the unduplicated count of all children less than 1 year of age receiving CH services.

County/District Health Department	FY03-FY05	FY06-FY08	FY09-FY11	% Change from first 3-year period to most recent 3-year period	FY2011		
	%	%	%		Numerator #	Denominator #	%
Alamance County	79.2%	57.7%	45.5%	-42.6%			
Albemarle Regional Health Services	75.6%	67.6%	73.5%	-2.8%			
Alexander County	92.1%	98.5%	94.7%	2.9%			
Anson County	96.9%	95.8%	96.8%	-0.1%			
Appalachian District	85.7%	89.9%	97.2%	13.4%			
Beaufort County							
Bladen County	93.4%	92.0%	95.3%	2.1%			
Brunswick County	92.1%	89.4%	89.4%	-2.9%			
Buncombe County	92.9%	93.8%	90.2%	-2.9%			
Burke County	100.0%	100.0%	72.2%	-27.8%			
Cabarrus County	82.9%	80.5%	94.2%	13.6%			
Caldwell County	87.5%	76.9%					
Carteret County	60.0%						
Caswell County	93.2%	97.2%	97.8%	5.0%			
Catawba County	70.0%	56.3%	70.0%	0.0%			
Chatham County	68.9%	70.2%	63.2%	-8.2%			
Cherokee County	62.5%	75.0%	80.0%	28.0%			
Clay County	74.1%	80.0%	90.5%	22.1%			
Cleveland County	80.9%	71.4%	88.7%	9.7%			
Columbus County	94.7%	90.3%	100.0%	5.6%			
Craven County	87.0%	83.5%	82.2%	-5.5%			
Cumberland County	68.2%	63.2%	68.3%	0.1%			
Dare County	84.0%	80.4%	86.2%	2.6%			
Davidson County	28.6%	40.0%					
Davie County	90.9%	88.4%	92.7%	2.0%			
Duplin County	78.2%	86.5%	89.2%	14.0%			
Durham County							
Edgecombe County	93.0%	69.2%	72.2%	-22.4%			
Forsyth County	83.3%		100.0%	20.0%			
Franklin County	94.5%	93.2%	94.5%	0.0%			
North Carolina	87.4%	84.6%	87.3%	0.0%			

NOTE: FY99-01 data can not be directly compared to the rest of the time period as the process for data collection changed due to the use of CPT codes for billing purposes and because the definition of a child being served in the child health clinic was modified. During FY99-01 the data also included Child Service Coordination services from the health department, but were excluded in the later years. For these reasons, it is recommended to compare the FY05-07 percentage with the FY02-04 and FY07 percentages only. *If there are no data present, no children were seen in the child health clinic at the local health department for this age group.

FY13 Agreement Addenda Section III: WIC Deliverable #3 - Provide Access to Program Services for Children

3A. Percentage of children less than 12 months of age who were served in the Child Health Clinic and received WIC Program Services*

Numerator equals the unduplicated count of children less than 1 year of age receiving both CH and WIC services.

Denominator equals the unduplicated count of all children less than 1 year of age receiving CH services.

County/District Health Department	FY03-FY05	FY06-FY08	FY09-FY11	% Change from first 3-year period to most recent 3-year period	FY2011		
	%	%	%		Numerator #	Denominator #	%
Gaston County	87.3%	83.7%	78.0%	-10.6%			
Graham County	90.5%	96.6%	92.2%	1.9%			
Granville-Vance District	92.3%	92.4%	95.9%	4.0%			
Greene County	96.1%	95.4%	99.0%	3.0%			
Guilford County							
Halifax County	66.2%	67.9%	63.1%	-4.7%			
Harnett County	82.8%	85.6%	85.6%	3.4%			
Haywood County	77.1%	64.3%	100.0%	29.6%			
Henderson County	89.7%	83.6%	87.3%	-2.7%			
Hertford County	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%			
Hoke County	97.0%	95.7%	97.2%	0.2%			
Hyde County	87.5%	100.0%	90.0%	2.9%			
Iredell County	89.2%	85.0%	92.6%	3.8%			
Jackson County	50.0%	42.9%	100.0%	100.0%			
Johnston County	76.1%	67.7%	66.0%	-13.2%			
Jones County	90.5%	95.4%	100.0%	10.5%			
Lee County	100.0%	95.0%	100.0%	0.0%			
Lenoir County	95.2%	65.3%	53.9%	-43.4%			
Lincoln County	66.7%	50.0%	75.0%	12.5%			
Macon County	79.3%	88.9%	80.0%	0.9%			
Madison County		94.4%	92.3%				
Martin-Tyrrell-Washington District	96.8%	95.0%	97.3%	0.5%			
Mecklenburg County	25.0%	25.0%	55.6%	122.2%			
Montgomery County	98.0%	99.5%	98.8%	0.9%			
Moore County	91.8%	95.7%	91.2%	-0.7%			
Nash County	92.9%	87.9%	90.7%	-2.4%			
New Hanover County	91.7%	57.9%	62.5%	-31.8%			
Northampton County	94.2%	94.9%	97.9%	4.0%			
Onslow County	84.2%	76.5%	94.4%	12.1%			
Orange County	80.7%	84.3%	88.6%	9.8%			
North Carolina	87.4%	84.6%	87.3%	0.0%			

NOTE: FY99-01 data can not be directly compared to the rest of the time period as the process for data collection changed due to the use of CPT codes for billing purposes and because the definition of a child being served in the child health clinic was modified. During FY99-01 the data also included Child Service Coordination services from the health department, but were excluded in the later years. For these reasons, it is recommended to compare the FY05-07 percentage with the FY02-04 and FY07 percentages only. *If there are no data present, no children were seen in the child health clinic at the local health department for this age group.

FY13 Agreement Addenda Section III: WIC Deliverable #3 - Provide Access to Program Services for Children

3A. Percentage of children less than 12 months of age who were served in the Child Health Clinic and received WIC Program Services*

Numerator equals the unduplicated count of children less than 1 year of age receiving both CH and WIC services.

Denominator equals the unduplicated count of all children less than 1 year of age receiving CH services.

County/District Health Department	FY03-FY05	FY06-FY08	FY09-FY11	% Change from first 3-year period to most recent 3-year period	FY2011		
	%	%	%		Numerator #	Denominator #	%
Pamlico County	79.2%	73.3%	100.0%	26.2%			
Pender County	80.2%	82.9%	80.6%	0.5%			
Person County	88.9%	88.2%	85.7%	-3.6%			
Pitt County	85.7%	80.0%					
Randolph County	89.8%	88.2%	93.3%	3.9%			
Richmond County							
Robeson County	91.8%	88.3%	87.2%	-5.0%			
Rockingham County	78.4%	85.4%	92.9%	18.4%			
Rowan County	70.4%	75.8%	66.7%	-5.3%			
Rutherford-Polk-McDowell District	95.0%	91.2%	98.5%	3.6%			
Sampson County	87.5%	75.0%	92.3%	5.5%			
Scotland County	66.7%						
Stanly County	100.0%	100.0%	85.7%	-14.3%			
Stokes County	91.9%	90.8%	88.6%	-3.6%			
Surry County	86.4%	82.8%	79.8%	-7.7%			
Swain County	100.0%						
Toe River District	84.8%	92.0%	98.6%	16.3%			
Transylvania County	33.3%	37.5%	100.0%	200.0%			
Union County	91.7%	86.5%	88.3%	-3.6%			
Wake County	87.4%	83.0%	88.4%	1.1%			
Warren County	98.9%	97.0%	99.2%	0.3%			
Wayne County	90.7%	88.5%	100.0%	10.3%			
Wilkes County	86.5%	90.0%	93.5%	8.1%			
Wilson County	99.4%	99.1%	99.7%	0.3%			
Yadkin County	98.7%	98.7%	97.6%	-1.0%			

North Carolina	87.4%	84.6%	87.3%	0.0%			
-----------------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	-------------	--	--	--

NOTE: FY99-01 data can not be directly compared to the rest of the time period as the process for data collection changed due to the use of CPT codes for billing purposes and because the definition of a child being served in the child health clinic was modified. During FY99-01 the data also included Child Service Coordination services from the health department, but were excluded in the later years. For these reasons, it is recommended to compare the FY05-07 percentage with the FY02-04 and FY07 percentages only. *If there are no data present, no children were seen in the child health clinic at the local health department for this age group.

FY13 Agreement Addenda Section III: WIC Deliverable #3 - Provide Access to Program Services for Children

3A. Percentage of children less than 12 months of age who were served in the Child Health Clinic and received WIC Program Services*

Numerator equals the unduplicated count of children less than 1 year of age receiving both CH and WIC services.

Denominator equals the unduplicated count of all children less than 1 year of age receiving CH services.

County/District Health Department	FY03-FY05	FY06-FY08	FY09-FY11	% Change from first 3-year period to most recent 3-year period	FY2011		
	%	%	%		Numerator #	Denominator #	%
Alleghany	90.9%	92.5%	97.4%	7.2%			
Ashe	89.5%	89.9%	100.0%	11.8%			
Avery		91.2%	98.2%				
Bertie	70.1%	46.8%	33.3%	-52.5%			
Camden	71.9%	72.7%	66.7%	-7.2%			
Chowan	89.3%	77.0%	88.9%	-0.4%			
Currituck	91.8%	82.9%	100.0%	8.9%			
Gates	84.0%	94.4%	100.0%	19.0%			
Granville	92.0%	93.4%	96.0%	4.4%			
Martin	92.3%	91.5%	95.5%	3.4%			
McDowell	100.0%	93.8%	87.5%	-12.5%			
Mitchell	93.1%	95.0%	100.0%	7.4%			
Pasquotank	66.1%	61.7%	71.1%	7.5%			
Perquimans	85.4%	81.5%	90.0%	5.4%			
Polk	85.0%	92.3%	100.0%	17.6%			
Rutherford	95.8%	90.7%	100.0%	4.4%			
Tyrrell	96.0%	92.8%	94.9%	-1.2%			
Vance	92.8%	90.6%	95.8%	3.3%			
Washington	98.3%	98.3%	99.3%	1.0%			
Watauga	67.2%	84.0%	75.0%	11.6%			
Yancey	81.0%	90.9%	100.0%	23.5%			

North Carolina	87.4%	84.6%	87.3%	0.0%			
-----------------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	-------------	--	--	--

NOTE: FY99-01 data can not be directly compared to the rest of the time period as the process for data collection changed due to the use of CPT codes for billing purposes and because the definition of a child being served in the child health clinic was modified. During FY99-01 the data also included Child Service Coordination services from the health department, but were excluded in the later years. For these reasons, it is recommended to compare the FY05-07 percentage with the FY02-04 and FY07 percentages only. *If there are no data present, no children were seen in the child health clinic at the local health department for this age group.