

## FY13 Agreement Addenda Section III: WIC Deliverable #3 - Provide Access to Program Services for Children

### 3B. Percentage of children 1 to 5 years of age who were served in the Child Health Clinic and who received WIC Program Services\*

Numerator equals the unduplicated count of children 1 to 4 years of age receiving both CH and WIC services.

Denominator equals the unduplicated count of all children 1 to 4 years of age receiving CH services.

County/District Health Department	FY03-FY05	FY06-FY08	FY09-FY11	% Change from first 3-year period to most recent 3-year period	FY2011		
					Numerator #	Denominator #	%
Alamance County	66.9%	55.0%	59.6%	-11.0%			
Albemarle Regional Health Services	72.7%	70.1%	70.2%	-3.3%			
Alexander County	84.3%	90.9%	92.6%	9.8%			
Anson County	88.9%	87.4%	93.8%	5.6%			
Appalachian District	83.6%	83.5%	91.7%	9.6%			
Beaufort County							
Bladen County	91.2%	89.8%	93.7%	2.8%			
Brunswick County	79.4%	77.1%	81.6%	2.7%			
Buncombe County	85.5%	88.7%	87.7%	2.6%			
Burke County	72.6%	70.5%	73.3%	1.0%			
Cabarrus County	68.0%	67.9%	75.9%	11.7%			
Caldwell County	61.9%	63.5%	75.9%	22.6%			
Carteret County	63.3%	100.0%	33.3%	-47.3%			
Caswell County	87.1%	90.5%	92.6%	6.3%			
Catawba County	50.5%	46.6%	40.2%	-20.4%			
Chatham County	61.5%	70.6%	70.5%	14.7%			
Cherokee County	80.9%	75.0%	82.8%	2.3%			
Clay County	77.8%	84.3%	80.4%	3.3%			
Cleveland County	34.6%	34.0%	48.9%	41.3%			
Columbus County	91.2%	93.3%	91.8%	0.7%			
Craven County	88.5%	84.1%	81.5%	-7.9%			
Cumberland County	75.6%	71.3%	67.9%	-10.3%			
Dare County	75.1%	78.5%	86.9%	15.7%			
Davidson County	79.4%	70.0%	65.7%	-17.2%			
Davie County	83.4%	87.1%	90.5%	8.5%			
Duplin County	84.3%	82.8%	84.0%	-0.3%			
Durham County							
Edgecombe County	79.5%	87.9%	64.3%	-19.1%			
Forsyth County	55.2%	62.5%	34.9%	-36.8%			
Franklin County	87.4%	91.2%	90.7%	3.8%			
Gaston County	89.4%	87.3%	85.3%	-4.6%			
<b>North Carolina</b>	<b>79.9%</b>	<b>77.7%</b>	<b>79.8%</b>	<b>-0.1%</b>			

NOTE: FY00-02 data can not be directly compared to the rest of the time period as the process for data collection changed due to the use of CPT codes for billing purposes and because the definition of a child being served in the child health clinic was modified. During FY00-02 the data also included Child Service Coordination services from the health department, but were excluded in the later years. For these reasons, it is recommended to compare the FY06-08 percentage with the FY03-05 and FY08 percentages only. \*If there are no data present, no children were seen in the child health clinic at the local health department for this age group.

## FY13 Agreement Addenda Section III: WIC Deliverable #3 - Provide Access to Program Services for Children

### 3B. Percentage of children 1 to 5 years of age who were served in the Child Health Clinic and who received WIC Program Services\*

Numerator equals the unduplicated count of children 1 to 4 years of age receiving both CH and WIC services.

Denominator equals the unduplicated count of all children 1 to 4 years of age receiving CH services.

County/District Health Department	FY03-FY05	FY06-FY08	FY09-FY11	% Change from first 3-year period to most recent 3-year period	FY2011		
	%	%	%		Numerator #	Denominator #	%
Graham County	91.8%	92.1%	97.0%	5.6%			
Granville-Vance District	94.4%	91.2%	87.3%	-7.5%			
Greene County	93.8%	94.4%	95.3%	1.6%			
Guilford County							
Halifax County	78.1%	69.6%	55.1%	-29.4%			
Harnett County	79.3%	82.1%	80.1%	0.9%			
Haywood County	82.6%	73.6%	72.4%	-12.4%			
Henderson County	81.9%	76.0%	73.5%	-10.2%			
Hertford County	71.9%	81.6%	87.1%	21.2%			
Hoke County	94.6%	93.0%	94.7%	0.2%			
Hyde County	89.8%	94.1%	98.1%	9.2%			
Iredell County	68.4%	69.3%	80.6%	17.8%			
Jackson County	68.1%	60.3%	72.7%	6.8%			
Johnston County	80.9%	63.4%	60.7%	-24.9%			
Jones County	87.2%	84.6%	90.9%	4.3%			
Lee County	75.6%	79.8%	76.8%	1.6%			
Lenoir County	96.7%	84.6%	74.9%	-22.6%			
Lincoln County	65.3%	57.0%	58.2%	-10.9%			
Macon County	78.9%	71.8%	94.8%	20.1%			
Madison County	100.0%	90.0%	76.7%	-23.3%			
Martin-Tyrrell-Washington District	95.4%	92.7%	93.0%	-2.6%			
Mecklenburg County	11.4%	28.6%	27.1%	138.1%			
Montgomery County	94.5%	93.8%	97.0%	2.7%			
Moore County	89.8%	90.8%	90.3%	0.6%			
Nash County	89.1%	84.1%	82.1%	-7.8%			
New Hanover County	42.7%	68.3%	60.0%	40.6%			
Northampton County	86.7%	88.6%	91.7%	5.7%			
Onslow County	81.1%	74.4%	80.0%	-1.3%			
Orange County	34.0%	45.5%	57.5%	69.0%			
Pamlico County	87.1%	83.5%	70.8%	-18.7%			
Pender County	79.8%	77.4%	79.5%	-0.4%			
<b>North Carolina</b>	<b>79.9%</b>	<b>77.7%</b>	<b>79.8%</b>	<b>-0.1%</b>			

NOTE: FY00-02 data can not be directly compared to the rest of the time period as the process for data collection changed due to the use of CPT codes for billing purposes and because the definition of a child being served in the child health clinic was modified. During FY00-02 the data also included Child Service Coordination services from the health department, but were excluded in the later years. For these reasons, it is recommended to compare the FY06-08 percentage with the FY03-05 and FY08 percentages only. \*If there are no data present, no children were seen in the child health clinic at the local health department for this age group.

## FY13 Agreement Addenda Section III: WIC Deliverable #3 - Provide Access to Program Services for Children

### 3B. Percentage of children 1 to 5 years of age who were served in the Child Health Clinic and who received WIC Program Services\*

Numerator equals the unduplicated count of children 1 to 4 years of age receiving both CH and WIC services.

Denominator equals the unduplicated count of all children 1 to 4 years of age receiving CH services.

County/District Health Department	FY03-FY05	FY06-FY08	FY09-FY11	% Change from first 3-year period to most recent 3-year period	FY2011		
					Numerator #	Denominator #	%
Person County	89.8%	88.6%	94.1%	4.8%			
Pitt County	87.5%	85.6%	80.0%	-8.6%			
Randolph County	82.6%	73.1%	78.4%	-5.0%			
Richmond County	78.6%						
Robeson County	89.4%	86.2%	86.0%	-3.8%			
Rockingham County	50.5%	54.6%	68.3%	35.3%			
Rowan County	77.6%	71.3%	72.2%	-7.0%			
Rutherford-Polk-McDowell District	93.4%	90.5%	91.1%	-2.5%			
Sampson County	75.4%	82.9%	88.5%	17.3%			
Scotland County	75.0%	100.0%					
Stanly County	81.6%	81.7%	89.6%	9.7%			
Stokes County	88.0%	88.0%	89.8%	2.1%			
Surry County	84.1%	83.4%	76.8%	-8.7%			
Swain County	84.5%						
Toe River District	92.5%	87.5%	89.1%	-3.7%			
Transylvania County	87.6%	86.8%	73.0%	-16.7%			
Union County	86.6%	86.9%	86.2%	-0.5%			
Wake County	65.3%	61.4%	67.7%	3.6%			
Warren County	95.8%	92.4%	97.0%	1.3%			
Wayne County	85.0%	81.0%	89.1%	4.9%			
Wilkes County	86.4%	82.2%	83.8%	-3.1%			
Wilson County	97.1%	97.4%	97.1%	0.0%			
Yadkin County	97.4%	96.1%	96.6%	-0.8%			
<b>North Carolina</b>	<b>79.9%</b>	<b>77.7%</b>	<b>79.8%</b>	<b>-0.1%</b>			

NOTE: FY00-02 data can not be directly compared to the rest of the time period as the process for data collection changed due to the use of CPT codes for billing purposes and because the definition of a child being served in the child health clinic was modified. During FY00-02 the data also included Child Service Coordination services from the health department, but were excluded in the later years. For these reasons, it is recommended to compare the FY06-08 percentage with the FY03-05 and FY08 percentages only. \*If there are no data present, no children were seen in the child health clinic at the local health department for this age group.

## FY13 Agreement Addenda Section III: WIC Deliverable #3 - Provide Access to Program Services for Children

### 3B. Percentage of children 1 to 5 years of age who were served in the Child Health Clinic and who received WIC Program Services\*

Numerator equals the unduplicated count of children 1 to 4 years of age receiving both CH and WIC services.

Denominator equals the unduplicated count of all children 1 to 4 years of age receiving CH services.

County/District Health Department	FY03-FY05	FY06-FY08	FY09-FY11	% Change from first 3-year period to most recent 3-year period	FY2011		
					Numerator #	Denominator #	%
Alleghany	91.0%	88.8%	92.6%	1.7%			
Ashe	84.2%	81.5%	93.7%	11.3%			
Avery	100.0%	86.1%	86.8%	-13.2%			
Bertie	75.6%	57.7%	36.6%	-51.7%			
Camden	64.2%	68.0%	35.7%	-44.4%			
Chowan	82.7%	84.5%	90.3%	9.2%			
Currituck	82.1%	82.4%	78.9%	-3.8%			
Gates	87.2%	86.5%	93.5%	7.2%			
Granville	93.3%	91.3%	86.8%	-7.0%			
Martin	93.6%	88.4%	92.1%	-1.6%			
McDowell	90.5%	87.8%	80.4%	-11.2%			
Mitchell	88.5%	95.9%	100.0%	13.0%			
Pasquotank	66.7%	62.8%	71.0%	6.4%			
Perquimans	69.4%	78.2%	89.1%	28.3%			
Polk	88.2%	80.2%	87.0%	-1.4%			
Rutherford	94.8%	93.5%	94.1%	-0.7%			
Tyrrell	96.2%	93.8%	93.2%	-3.1%			
Vance	95.7%	91.1%	88.5%	-7.6%			
Washington	95.7%	95.0%	93.4%	-2.4%			
Watauga	76.2%	83.3%	84.6%	11.0%			
Yancey	94.6%	84.9%	88.1%	-6.8%			
<b>North Carolina</b>	<b>79.9%</b>	<b>77.7%</b>	<b>79.8%</b>	<b>-0.1%</b>			

NOTE: FY00-02 data can not be directly compared to the rest of the time period as the process for data collection changed due to the use of CPT codes for billing purposes and because the definition of a child being served in the child health clinic was modified. During FY00-02 the data also included Child Service Coordination services from the health department, but were excluded in the later years. For these reasons, it is recommended to compare the FY06-08 percentage with the FY03-05 and FY08 percentages only. \*If there are no data present, no children were seen in the child health clinic at the local health department for this age group.