

Table 3B
2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance ⁽¹⁾
North Carolina
Comparison of Racial and Ethnic Distribution by County

Comparison of Racial and Ethnic Distribution ⁽²⁾							
County	Number Included in Analysis	White, Not Hispanic	Black, Not Hispanic	Hispanic	American Indian/Alaskan Native	Asian/Pacific Islander	All Other/Unknown
		%	%	%	%	%	%
Alamance	900	41.4	26.7	29.9	0.1	1.6	0.3
Alexander	188	80.9	4.3	11.2	0.0	3.7	0.0
Alleghany	48	*	*	*	*	*	*
Anson	245	29.8	64.9	4.1	0.8	0.4	0.0
Ashe	150	90.7	0.7	8.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
Avery	86	*	*	*	*	*	*
Beaufort	322	38.8	44.1	16.8	0.0	0.3	0.0
Bertie	169	20.1	78.7	0.0	0.0	1.2	0.0
Bladen	276	42.4	42.8	13.0	1.8	0.0	0.0
Brunswick	547	67.3	22.7	8.6	0.7	0.7	0.0
Buncombe	1,206	72.8	13.6	12.6	0.2	0.7	0.1
Burke	474	67.5	7.2	13.5	0.4	11.4	0.0
Cabarrus	947	41.0	23.2	34.2	0.2	1.4	0.0
Caldwell	525	81.1	8.4	9.3	0.4	0.6	0.2
Camden	45	*	*	*	*	*	*
Carteret	291	81.4	10.7	6.5	1.0	0.3	0.0
Caswell	118	49.2	44.1	6.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
Catawba	846	56.3	12.1	23.2	0.4	7.9	0.2
Chatham	248	27.8	24.2	47.2	0.4	0.4	0.0
Cherokee	184	95.7	1.6	1.6	0.5	0.5	0.0

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

(2) Excludes data with errors.

* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Table 3B
2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance ⁽¹⁾
North Carolina
Comparison of Racial and Ethnic Distribution by County

Comparison of Racial and Ethnic Distribution ⁽²⁾							
County	Number Included in Analysis	White, Not Hispanic	Black, Not Hispanic	Hispanic	American Indian/Alaskan Native	Asian/Pacific Islander	All Other/Unknown
		%	%	%	%	%	%
Chowan	93	*	*	*	*	*	*
Clay	47	*	*	*	*	*	*
Cleveland	667	57.7	35.8	5.5	0.1	0.6	0.1
Columbus	507	44.2	43.0	8.7	3.7	0.4	0.0
Craven	838	54.3	34.4	7.9	1.0	2.5	0.0
Cumberland	3,092	42.0	42.0	11.6	2.1	2.1	0.1
Currituck	65	*	*	*	*	*	*
Dare	157	78.3	1.9	17.8	0.0	1.9	0.0
Davidson	918	67.1	15.1	15.1	0.5	2.1	0.0
Davie	175	70.3	10.3	19.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
Duplin	433	32.3	29.8	37.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
Durham	1,617	11.2	56.5	30.3	0.1	1.9	0.0
Edgecombe	495	22.0	73.1	4.6	0.0	0.2	0.0
Forsyth	2,142	22.4	40.4	36.5	0.1	0.5	0.0
Franklin	243	39.1	38.7	21.4	0.4	0.0	0.4
Gaston	1,244	62.5	23.2	12.5	0.1	1.6	0.0
Gates	34	*	*	*	*	*	*
Graham	84	*	*	*	*	*	*
Granville	326	44.8	41.4	13.5	0.0	0.0	0.3
Greene	177	27.7	48.6	23.7	0.0	0.0	0.0

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

(2) Excludes data with errors.

* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 3B
2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance ⁽¹⁾
North Carolina
Comparison of Racial and Ethnic Distribution by County

Comparison of Racial and Ethnic Distribution ⁽²⁾							
County	Number Included in Analysis	White, Not Hispanic %	Black, Not Hispanic %	Hispanic %	American Indian/Alaskan Native %	Asian/Pacific Islander %	All Other/Unknown %
Guilford	2,565	25.5	53.1	15.6	0.9	4.8	0.1
Halifax	545	22.0	68.3	1.7	7.7	0.4	0.0
Harnett	736	46.7	31.9	19.0	1.9	0.4	0.0
Haywood	307	92.2	1.3	5.9	0.7	0.0	0.0
Henderson	524	62.2	3.4	33.2	0.2	1.0	0.0
Hertford	199	16.1	82.4	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.0
Hoke	412	25.7	36.9	18.0	19.2	0.2	0.0
Hyde	42	*	*	*	*	*	*
Iredell	740	55.9	24.3	16.1	0.1	3.4	0.1
Jackson	233	69.1	3.0	9.0	18.9	0.0	0.0
Johnston	922	45.3	22.2	31.2	0.7	0.4	0.1
Jones	64	*	*	*	*	*	*
Lee	448	32.4	27.5	38.4	0.9	0.7	0.2
Lenoir	437	30.4	60.2	8.7	0.0	0.7	0.0
Lincoln	399	75.4	10.3	13.5	0.3	0.5	0.0
Macon	312	79.8	6.4	11.9	0.3	1.6	0.0
Madison	214	86.4	1.4	10.3	0.9	0.5	0.5
Martin	157	93.6	0.6	5.1	0.6	0.0	0.0
Mcdowell	213	26.8	67.1	6.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
Mecklenbur	3,826	16.9	52.0	27.2	0.4	3.2	0.2

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

(2) Excludes data with errors.

* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Table 3B
2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance ⁽¹⁾
North Carolina
Comparison of Racial and Ethnic Distribution by County

Comparison of Racial and Ethnic Distribution ⁽²⁾							
County	Number Included in Analysis	White, Not Hispanic %	Black, Not Hispanic %	Hispanic %	American Indian/Alaskan Native %	Asian/Pacific Islander %	All Other/Unknown %
Mitchell	102	91.2	1.0	7.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
Montgomery	230	37.8	24.3	36.5	0.0	1.3	0.0
Moore	444	51.8	30.4	16.7	1.1	0.0	0.0
Nash	601	34.6	50.6	13.8	0.7	0.3	0.0
New Hanove	889	50.8	38.0	9.9	0.4	0.8	0.0
Northhampt	182	19.8	76.4	3.3	0.5	0.0	0.0
Onslow	1,992	66.1	19.3	11.0	0.6	2.8	0.3
Orange	303	33.0	28.4	37.3	0.0	1.3	0.0
Pamlico	75	*	*	*	*	*	*
Pasquotank	291	31.3	62.5	6.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
Pender	294	57.1	33.0	9.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
Perquimans	73	*	*	*	*	*	*
Person	223	48.4	41.3	9.9	0.4	0.0	0.0
Pitt	1,037	26.0	63.6	9.7	0.0	0.6	0.0
Polk	75	*	*	*	*	*	*
Randolph	906	63.2	8.8	26.6	0.2	1.1	0.0
Richmond	457	49.7	38.7	9.0	2.2	0.4	0.0
Robeson	1,293	17.6	24.8	10.7	46.8	0.2	0.0
Rockingham	599	65.3	23.2	11.0	0.3	0.2	0.0
Rowan	907	49.4	26.1	22.1	0.6	1.9	0.0

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

(2) Excludes data with errors.

* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 3B
2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance ⁽¹⁾
North Carolina
Comparison of Racial and Ethnic Distribution by County

Comparison of Racial and Ethnic Distribution ⁽²⁾							
County	Number Included in Analysis	White, Not Hispanic %	Black, Not Hispanic %	Hispanic %	American Indian/Alaskan Native %	Asian/Pacific Islander %	All Other/Unknown %
Rutherford	395	74.4	14.7	8.9	0.0	1.8	0.3
Sampson	583	33.4	31.6	33.3	1.5	0.2	0.0
Scotland	382	34.3	51.0	1.3	13.1	0.3	0.0
Stanly	354	63.6	22.3	9.3	0.0	4.8	0.0
Stokes	243	88.9	6.2	4.5	0.0	0.4	0.0
Surry	509	65.6	4.7	28.3	0.4	1.0	0.0
Swain	139	57.6	2.2	3.6	36.7	0.0	0.0
Transylvan	175	88.6	5.1	5.1	1.1	0.0	0.0
Tyrrell	37	*	*	*	*	*	*
Union	808	37.1	27.6	33.9	0.4	1.0	0.0
Vance	465	24.9	62.2	11.6	0.4	0.6	0.2
Wake	3,213	20.6	42.8	33.6	0.2	2.5	0.2
Warren	172	23.3	68.0	4.7	4.1	0.0	0.0
Washington	115	29.6	67.8	2.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
Watauga	187	90.9	1.6	5.9	0.0	1.1	0.5
Wayne Coun	986	36.9	43.7	18.0	0.4	0.9	0.1
Wilkes	438	80.4	5.9	13.2	0.0	0.5	0.0
Wilson Cou	644	21.7	55.3	22.4	0.0	0.6	0.0
Yadkin	199	64.3	8.0	27.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
Yancey	99	*	*	*	*	*	*

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

(2) Excludes data with errors.

* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 3B
2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance ⁽¹⁾
North Carolina
Comparison of Racial and Ethnic Distribution by County

Comparison of Racial and Ethnic Distribution ⁽²⁾							
County	Number Included in Analysis	White, Not Hispanic %	Black, Not Hispanic %	Hispanic %	American Indian/Alaskan Native %	Asian/Pacific Islander %	All Other/Unknown %
Unknown Counties	231	49.4	24.2	20.3	5.2	0.4	0.4
North Carolina	55,811	43.1	34.3	18.7	2.1	1.6	0.1
Nation (Prior Year)	727,815	49.8	24.9	20.1	1.2	2.8	1.2

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

(2) Excludes data with errors.

* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 4B
2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance ⁽¹⁾
North Carolina
Comparison of Age Distribution by County

Comparison of Age Distribution ⁽²⁾

County	Number Included in Analysis	< 15 Years %	15 - 17 Years %	18 - 19 Years %	20 - 29 Years %	30 - 39 Years %	>= 40 Years %	Unknown %
Alamance	900	0.4	7.6	16.0	60.4	15.0	0.6	0.0
Alexander	188	0.0	12.8	12.8	58.5	15.4	0.5	0.0
Alleghany	48	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Anson	245	0.8	7.8	12.2	62.0	16.7	0.4	0.0
Ashe	150	2.0	6.0	16.7	58.7	16.0	0.7	0.0
Avery	86	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Beaufort	322	1.2	9.9	15.5	56.8	14.9	1.6	0.0
Bertie	169	1.2	8.3	13.0	59.8	16.6	1.2	0.0
Bladen	276	0.7	9.4	14.1	62.0	13.4	0.4	0.0
Brunswick	547	0.7	8.8	13.5	60.0	15.9	1.1	0.0
Buncombe	1,206	0.5	8.1	15.9	57.5	16.7	1.2	0.0
Burke	474	0.8	9.5	14.1	61.2	13.7	0.6	0.0
Cabarrus	947	0.6	7.7	12.5	62.8	16.2	0.2	0.0
Caldwell	525	1.0	11.0	13.9	61.1	12.8	0.2	0.0
Camden	45	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Carteret	291	0.0	6.9	16.8	57.4	18.9	0.0	0.0
Caswell	118	2.5	8.5	12.7	57.6	17.8	0.8	0.0
Catawba	846	0.6	8.2	15.6	61.2	13.6	0.8	0.0
Chatham	248	0.4	8.1	14.5	59.3	17.3	0.4	0.0

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

(2) Excludes data with errors.

* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Table 4B
2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance ⁽¹⁾
North Carolina
Comparison of Age Distribution by County

Comparison of Age Distribution ⁽²⁾

County	Number Included in Analysis	< 15 Years %	15 - 17 Years %	18 - 19 Years %	20 - 29 Years %	30 - 39 Years %	>= 40 Years %	Unknown %
Cherokee	184	0.0	4.3	20.7	57.6	15.2	2.2	0.0
Chowan	93	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Clay	47	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Cleveland	667	1.0	11.5	18.9	56.7	11.4	0.4	0.0
Columbus	507	1.0	10.5	15.2	57.4	14.4	1.6	0.0
Craven	838	0.5	6.6	17.4	64.4	10.7	0.4	0.0
Cumberland	3,092	0.5	5.7	13.6	66.5	13.1	0.6	0.0
Currituck	65	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Dare	157	0.0	5.1	13.4	61.8	16.6	3.2	0.0
Davidson	918	0.9	10.0	17.3	55.6	15.7	0.5	0.0
Davie	175	0.0	4.6	16.0	61.7	17.1	0.6	0.0
Duplin	433	0.7	7.2	13.2	59.4	18.9	0.7	0.0
Durham	1,617	0.4	6.6	11.8	59.8	20.0	1.3	0.0
Edgecombe	495	0.8	10.1	14.7	56.6	16.6	1.2	0.0
Forsyth	2,142	0.7	8.8	12.8	60.1	16.6	1.0	0.0
Franklin	243	1.2	11.1	12.8	54.3	20.2	0.4	0.0
Gaston	1,244	0.5	7.6	14.1	60.8	16.2	0.9	0.0
Gates	34	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Graham	84	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

(2) Excludes data with errors.

* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 4B
2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance ⁽¹⁾
North Carolina
Comparison of Age Distribution by County

Comparison of Age Distribution ⁽²⁾

County	Number Included in Analysis	< 15 Years %	15 - 17 Years %	18 - 19 Years %	20 - 29 Years %	30 - 39 Years %	>= 40 Years %	Unknown %
Granville	326	1.2	8.3	14.4	58.0	18.1	0.0	0.0
Greene	177	0.6	14.1	14.1	55.9	14.7	0.6	0.0
Guilford	2,565	0.5	7.4	12.9	59.0	18.9	1.3	0.0
Halifax	545	0.9	11.0	14.9	60.0	12.5	0.7	0.0
Harnett	736	1.5	9.5	12.9	59.6	16.0	0.4	0.0
Haywood	307	0.0	9.4	14.7	55.4	19.2	1.3	0.0
Henderson	524	0.4	7.6	13.0	58.4	19.3	1.3	0.0
Hertford	199	1.0	13.1	15.1	54.8	16.1	0.0	0.0
Hoke	412	1.2	8.7	13.8	61.7	13.6	1.0	0.0
Hyde	42	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Iredell	740	0.8	10.4	14.3	59.5	14.1	0.9	0.0
Jackson	233	0.4	6.0	15.5	63.5	13.7	0.9	0.0
Johnston	922	0.1	8.1	12.9	61.2	16.9	0.8	0.0
Jones	64	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Lee	448	0.0	7.8	14.1	58.3	18.8	1.1	0.0
Lenoir	437	0.9	11.2	15.8	59.0	12.4	0.7	0.0
Lincoln	399	0.5	8.3	18.3	57.1	15.8	0.0	0.0
Macon	312	0.6	8.7	12.5	61.2	15.7	1.3	0.0
Madison	214	0.0	8.9	17.8	51.9	19.6	1.9	0.0

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

(2) Excludes data with errors.

* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Table 4B
2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance ⁽¹⁾
North Carolina
Comparison of Age Distribution by County

Comparison of Age Distribution ⁽²⁾

County	Number Included in Analysis	< 15 Years %	15 - 17 Years %	18 - 19 Years %	20 - 29 Years %	30 - 39 Years %	>= 40 Years %	Unknown %
Martin	157	1.3	7.0	16.6	62.4	12.1	0.6	0.0
Mcdowell	213	0.9	9.9	16.0	60.6	12.7	0.0	0.0
Mecklenbur	3,826	0.7	7.6	11.3	60.4	18.5	1.6	0.0
Mitchell	102	1.0	8.8	8.8	57.8	22.5	1.0	0.0
Montgomery	230	0.4	6.5	16.1	62.2	13.9	0.9	0.0
Moore	444	0.0	8.8	14.2	58.6	17.6	0.9	0.0
Nash	601	0.8	10.0	15.5	56.9	15.3	1.5	0.0
New Hanove	889	0.3	8.0	13.3	62.9	14.7	0.8	0.0
Northhampt	182	1.6	9.9	16.5	56.0	13.2	2.7	0.0
Onslow	1,992	0.3	3.1	15.4	71.1	9.5	0.7	0.0
Orange	303	0.0	5.6	8.3	62.0	22.4	1.7	0.0
Pamlico	75	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Pasquotank	291	2.7	9.6	12.7	62.9	11.0	1.0	0.0
Pender	294	0.3	4.8	11.2	62.9	18.4	2.4	0.0
Perquimans	73	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Person	223	0.0	8.5	13.9	61.9	14.3	1.3	0.0
Pitt	1,037	1.3	8.4	12.1	62.3	15.3	0.7	0.0
Polk	75	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Randolph	906	0.2	9.3	14.0	58.6	17.2	0.7	0.0

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

(2) Excludes data with errors.

* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 4B
2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance ⁽¹⁾
North Carolina
Comparison of Age Distribution by County

Comparison of Age Distribution ⁽²⁾

County	Number Included in Analysis	< 15 Years %	15 - 17 Years %	18 - 19 Years %	20 - 29 Years %	30 - 39 Years %	>= 40 Years %	Unknown %
Richmond	457	0.2	10.3	11.2	62.8	15.1	0.4	0.0
Robeson	1,293	0.4	8.7	13.9	62.4	13.6	0.9	0.0
Rockingham	599	0.7	8.7	14.4	60.9	14.5	0.8	0.0
Rowan	907	0.4	11.1	15.4	57.3	14.6	1.1	0.0
Rutherford	395	0.8	9.9	14.7	62.5	11.9	0.3	0.0
Sampson	583	1.0	7.0	14.4	61.9	14.9	0.7	0.0
Scotland	382	1.0	12.3	17.0	59.9	9.4	0.3	0.0
Stanly	354	0.3	9.0	15.3	59.3	15.5	0.6	0.0
Stokes	243	0.8	8.2	16.5	58.4	15.2	0.8	0.0
Surry	509	0.4	8.6	13.8	57.4	19.1	0.8	0.0
Swain	139	0.7	7.2	20.9	57.6	12.9	0.7	0.0
Transylvan	175	0.0	10.9	12.6	60.0	15.4	1.1	0.0
Tyrrell	37	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Union	808	0.6	9.3	12.3	60.3	16.2	1.4	0.0
Vance	465	1.3	10.3	13.5	60.2	14.0	0.6	0.0
Wake	3,213	0.5	5.9	11.0	60.7	20.6	1.2	0.0
Warren	172	0.6	10.5	15.7	57.6	14.5	1.2	0.0
Washington	115	1.7	13.0	15.7	53.0	16.5	0.0	0.0
Watauga	187	0.0	5.3	13.9	65.8	14.4	0.5	0.0

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

(2) Excludes data with errors.

* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Table 4B
2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance ⁽¹⁾
North Carolina
Comparison of Age Distribution by County

Comparison of Age Distribution ⁽²⁾								
County	Number Included in Analysis	< 15 Years %	15 - 17 Years %	18 - 19 Years %	20 - 29 Years %	30 - 39 Years %	>= 40 Years %	Unknown %
Wayne Coun	986	1.0	8.3	17.4	57.3	14.9	1.0	0.0
Wilkes	438	0.5	9.1	18.3	56.8	15.1	0.2	0.0
Wilson Cou	644	0.9	10.1	14.8	55.9	17.2	1.1	0.0
Yadkin	199	0.0	14.1	13.6	57.8	14.1	0.5	0.0
Yancey	99	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Unknown Counties	231	0.4	6.9	12.1	62.8	16.0	1.7	0.0
North Carolina	55,811	0.6	8.1	13.9	60.5	15.9	0.9	0.0
Nation (Prior Year)	727,815	0.5	7.3	14.1	59.6	17.3	1.2	0.0

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

(2) Excludes data with errors.

* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 5B
2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance ⁽¹⁾
North Carolina
Comparison of Maternal Health Indicators by County

Comparison of Maternal Health Indicators ^(2, 3)										
County	Prepregnancy BMI (4)			Weight Gain (5)			Anemia (Low Hb/Hct) (6)			
	Under-weight		Over-weight	< Ideal		> Ideal	3rd Trimester		Postpartum	
	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
Alamance	503	12.1 (41)	44.9 (32)	258	19.0 (4)	43.4 (50)	123	32.5 (11)	645	27.3 (31)
Alexander	168	9.5 (9)	44.6 (29)	87	* *	* *	21	* *	96	* *
Alleghany	45	* *	* *	38	* *	* *	3	* *	18	* *
Anson	201	8.0 (5)	57.2 (80)	184	28.8 (58)	45.7 (63)	37	* *	44	* *
Ashe	130	14.6 (62)	41.5 (15)	120	28.3 (56)	44.2 (53)	18	* *	54	* *
Avery	73	* *	* *	9	* *	* *	9	* *	12	* *
Beaufort	239	10.9 (26)	58.6 (81)	152	22.4 (19)	42.1 (43)	26	* *	197	30.5 (32)
Bertie	152	9.9 (12)	63.8 (83)	108	38.0 (71)	38.9 (30)	20	* *	81	* *
Bladen	239	11.3 (30)	45.6 (37)	192	29.2 (62)	36.5 (17)	23	* *	65	* *
Brunswick	427	7.7 (3)	68.9 (84)	299	16.7 (1)	55.9 (74)	22	* *	204	15.2 (8)
Buncombe	965	16.6 (74)	42.5 (23)	806	23.4 (23)	38.1 (26)	150	28.7 (7)	393	16.5 (11)
Burke	315	16.5 (73)	36.8 (5)	279	27.2 (45)	35.5 (13)	54	* *	134	43.3 (49)
Cabarrus	713	15.3 (64)	41.7 (16)	566	26.3 (39)	46.1 (66)	109	38.5 (15)	247	21.9 (21)
Caldwell	459	16.1 (70)	42.0 (19)	413	21.3 (14)	47.9 (71)	48	* *	114	17.5 (15)

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

(2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

(3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

(4) Based on 1990 IOM report, "Nutrition During Pregnancy": underweight (BMI <19.8), overweight (BMI >26.0; includes overweight and obese women).

(5) Based on 1990 IOM report, "Nutrition During Pregnancy": underweight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 28 to 40 lbs), normal weight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 25 to 35 lbs), overweight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 15 to 25 lbs), obese prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 15 to 25 lbs).

(6) Based on 1990 MMWR, "Recommendations to Prevent and Control Iron Deficiency in the United States"; adjusted for altitude and smoking.

* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Table 5B
2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance ⁽¹⁾
North Carolina
Comparison of Maternal Health Indicators by County

Comparison of Maternal Health Indicators ^(2, 3)												
County	Prepregnancy BMI (4)				Weight Gain (5)				Anemia (Low Hb/Hct) (6)			
	Under-weight		Over-weight		< Ideal		> Ideal		3rd Trimester		Postpartum	
	Number	% (Rank)	%	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	%	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
Camden	38	* *	* *	* *	31	* *	* *	* *	4	* *	33	* *
Carteret	215	12.1 (41)	47.4 (50)		145	23.4 (23)	44.1 (52)		53	* *	91	* *
Caswell	100	7.0 (2)	49.0 (55)		80	* *	* *		12	* *	33	* *
Catawba	680	12.2 (46)	42.8 (25)		546	26.4 (40)	37.7 (20)		133	19.5 (3)	504	26.8 (29)
Chatham	212	11.3 (30)	49.5 (59)		142	22.5 (20)	39.4 (31)		21	* *	129	19.4 (18)
Cherokee	144	13.2 (52)	41.0 (14)		132	22.0 (15)	43.9 (51)		25	* *	55	* *
Chowan	89	* *	* *	* *	74	* *	* *	* *	15	* *	74	* *
Clay	43	* *	* *	* *	35	* *	* *	* *	6	* *	18	* *
Cleveland	567	15.3 (64)	41.8 (17)		85	* *	* *	* *	103	31.1 (9)	509	22.6 (22)
Columbus	319	13.2 (52)	56.7 (78)		213	36.2 (69)	35.7 (15)		44	* *	80	* *
Craven	409	23.2 (83)	41.8 (17)		251	23.5 (25)	40.6 (37)		9	* *	551	31.6 (33)
Cumberland	2,291	12.0 (37)	40.9 (13)		1,898	21.2 (13)	44.4 (55)		591	41.1 (17)	886	38.7 (39)
Currituck	52	* *	* *	* *	12	* *	* *	* *	5	* *	52	* *
Dare	120	17.5 (79)	28.3 (2)		86	* *	* *	* *	20	* *	49	* *

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

(2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

(3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

(4) Based on 1990 IOM report, "Nutrition During Pregnancy": underweight (BMI <19.8), overweight (BMI >26.0; includes overweight and obese women).

(5) Based on 1990 IOM report, "Nutrition During Pregnancy": underweight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 28 to 40 lbs), normal weight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 25 to 35 lbs), overweight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 15 to 25 lbs), obese prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 15 to 25 lbs).

(6) Based on 1990 MMWR, "Recommendations to Prevent and Control Iron Deficiency in the United States"; adjusted for altitude and smoking.

* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Table 5B
2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance ⁽¹⁾
North Carolina
Comparison of Maternal Health Indicators by County

Comparison of Maternal Health Indicators ^(2, 3)											
County	Prepregnancy BMI (4)			Weight Gain (5)			Anemia (Low Hb/Hct) (6)				
	Under- weight		Over- weight	< Ideal		> Ideal	3rd Trimester		Postpartum		
	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	
Davidson	647	15.0 (63)	42.2 (20)	525	24.2 (29)	44.6 (56)	79	* *	389	17.0 (14)	
Davie	142	13.4 (55)	43.7 (26)	125	24.8 (31)	38.4 (28)	35	* *	82	* *	
Duplin	346	10.7 (20)	49.1 (56)	235	25.5 (35)	37.9 (22)	25	* *	104	41.3 (44)	
Durham	1,115	10.9 (26)	45.3 (35)	885	27.5 (47)	34.8 (9)	58	* *	64	* *	
Edgecombe	252	67.9 (84)	20.6 (1)	157	49.7 (74)	22.9 (1)	39	* *	259	33.6 (37)	
Forsyth	1,534	11.3 (30)	45.5 (36)	1,103	25.0 (33)	40.3 (35)	414	40.6 (16)	816	42.3 (48)	
Franklin	197	10.7 (20)	46.7 (44)	165	29.1 (61)	32.1 (3)	41	* *	53	* *	
Gaston	978	12.9 (50)	46.6 (43)	841	22.8 (21)	44.9 (58)	222	23.4 (5)	847	38.7 (39)	
Gates	29	* *	* *	18	* *	* *	4	* *	9	* *	
Graham	68	* *	* *	66	* *	* *	5	* *	25	* *	
Granville	277	11.6 (36)	47.3 (49)	251	46.2 (73)	32.3 (4)	30	* *	49	* *	
Greene	145	12.4 (48)	51.7 (64)	130	26.9 (43)	45.4 (61)	28	* *	73	* *	
Guilford	1,936	11.5 (35)	49.3 (58)	1,555	21.0 (11)	46.3 (67)	359	31.8 (10)	1,152	25.9 (27)	
Halifax	451	13.1 (51)	51.9 (66)	389	27.5 (47)	40.1 (33)	39	* *	184	52.7 (52)	

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

(2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

(3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

(4) Based on 1990 IOM report, "Nutrition During Pregnancy": underweight (BMI <19.8), overweight (BMI >26.0; includes overweight and obese women).

(5) Based on 1990 IOM report, "Nutrition During Pregnancy": underweight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 28 to 40 lbs), normal weight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 25 to 35 lbs), overweight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 15 to 25 lbs), obese prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 15 to 25 lbs).

(6) Based on 1990 MMWR, "Recommendations to Prevent and Control Iron Deficiency in the United States"; adjusted for altitude and smoking.

* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 5B
2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance ⁽¹⁾
North Carolina
Comparison of Maternal Health Indicators by County

Comparison of Maternal Health Indicators ^(2, 3)											
County	Prepregnancy BMI (4)			Weight Gain (5)			Anemia (Low Hb/Hct) (6)				
	Under- weight		Over- weight	< Ideal		> Ideal	3rd Trimester		Postpartum		
	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	
Harnett	641	14.0 (60)	44.8 (30)	520	27.9 (54)	42.9 (48)	118	44.9 (20)	438	33.1 (35)	
Haywood	244	10.2 (17)	42.2 (20)	216	22.2 (16)	45.8 (64)	33	* *	192	15.1 (7)	
Henderson	384	11.2 (29)	39.3 (9)	199	24.6 (30)	40.2 (34)	81	* *	224	24.1 (25)	
Hertford	167	10.2 (17)	58.7 (82)	151	26.5 (41)	41.7 (39)	21	* *	124	83.9 (53)	
Hoke	338	10.7 (20)	52.7 (69)	232	26.7 (42)	37.9 (22)	63	* *	148	39.9 (43)	
Hyde	35	* *	* *	25	* *	* *	8	* *	19	* *	
Iredell	602	9.6 (10)	44.9 (32)	495	20.6 (8)	42.0 (42)	157	26.8 (6)	574	9.4 (4)	
Jackson	189	13.8 (58)	40.2 (12)	158	20.9 (10)	41.8 (40)	20	* *	127	11.0 (5)	
Johnston	142	11.3 (30)	47.9 (51)	77	* *	* *	37	* *	545	48.6 (51)	
Jones	60	* *	* *	16	* *	* *	8	* *	12	* *	
Lee	362	8.6 (6)	51.1 (63)	307	33.2 (67)	36.5 (17)	70	* *	150	42.0 (47)	
Lenoir	384	12.0 (37)	52.1 (68)	269	31.6 (64)	37.9 (22)	79	* *	171	32.7 (34)	
Lincoln	317	18.6 (81)	50.8 (62)	134	20.1 (7)	47.0 (70)	55	* *	148	7.4 (1)	
Macon	242	10.7 (20)	46.7 (44)	141	35.5 (68)	33.3 (5)	37	* *	89	* *	

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

(2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

(3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

(4) Based on 1990 IOM report, "Nutrition During Pregnancy": underweight (BMI <19.8), overweight (BMI >26.0; includes overweight and obese women).

(5) Based on 1990 IOM report, "Nutrition During Pregnancy": underweight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 28 to 40 lbs), normal weight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 25 to 35 lbs), overweight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 15 to 25 lbs), obese prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 15 to 25 lbs).

(6) Based on 1990 MMWR, "Recommendations to Prevent and Control Iron Deficiency in the United States"; adjusted for altitude and smoking.

* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Table 5B
2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance ⁽¹⁾
North Carolina
Comparison of Maternal Health Indicators by County

Comparison of Maternal Health Indicators ^(2, 3)											
County	Prepregnancy BMI (4)			Weight Gain (5)			Anemia (Low Hb/Hct) (6)				
	Under- weight		Over- weight	< Ideal		> Ideal	3rd Trimester		Postpartum		
	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	
Madison	175	16.6 (74)	37.7 (6)	137	24.1 (28)	36.5 (17)	25	* *	152	9.2 (3)	
Martin	137	18.2 (80)	38.7 (8)	118	27.1 (44)	35.6 (14)	22	* *	52	* *	
Mcdowell	175	11.4 (34)	56.6 (77)	158	39.9 (72)	31.0 (2)	28	* *	57	* *	
Mecklenbur	2,275	12.1 (41)	44.4 (28)	1,620	23.0 (22)	45.3 (60)	540	33.9 (13)	1,019	23.1 (23)	
Mitchell	74	* *	* *	55	* *	* *	11	* *	43	* *	
Montgomery	168	15.5 (66)	47.0 (47)	98	* *	* *	39	* *	56	* *	
Moore	346	7.8 (4)	54.9 (76)	288	27.8 (53)	45.8 (64)	59	* *	135	46.7 (50)	
Nash	478	15.9 (67)	46.9 (46)	370	27.6 (50)	37.8 (21)	41	* *	142	41.5 (45)	
New Hanove	668	12.0 (37)	45.7 (40)	584	23.6 (27)	42.8 (46)	80	* *	261	16.5 (11)	
Northhampt	152	9.9 (12)	52.0 (67)	105	25.7 (36)	40.0 (32)	22	* *	70	* *	
Onslow	1,631	20.5 (82)	35.6 (4)	1,360	18.6 (3)	44.3 (54)	239	19.2 (2)	1,394	15.5 (9)	
Orange	187	9.6 (10)	49.7 (60)	113	16.8 (2)	42.5 (44)	22	* *	125	27.2 (30)	
Pamlico	63	* *	* *	40	* *	* *	0	* *	20	* *	
Pasquotank	227	10.1 (14)	48.5 (54)	191	27.7 (51)	41.4 (38)	40	* *	209	39.2 (41)	

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

(2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

(3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

(4) Based on 1990 IOM report, "Nutrition During Pregnancy": underweight (BMI <19.8), overweight (BMI >26.0; includes overweight and obese women).

(5) Based on 1990 IOM report, "Nutrition During Pregnancy": underweight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 28 to 40 lbs), normal weight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 25 to 35 lbs), overweight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 15 to 25 lbs), obese prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 15 to 25 lbs).

(6) Based on 1990 MMWR, "Recommendations to Prevent and Control Iron Deficiency in the United States"; adjusted for altitude and smoking.

* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Table 5B
2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance ⁽¹⁾
North Carolina
Comparison of Maternal Health Indicators by County

Comparison of Maternal Health Indicators ^(2, 3)											
County	Prepregnancy BMI (4)			Weight Gain (5)			Anemia (Low Hb/Hct) (6)				
	Under-weight		Over-weight	< Ideal		> Ideal	3rd Trimester		Postpartum		
	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)
Pender	232	16.8 (76)	53.0 (70)	204	21.1 (12)	45.6 (62)	63	* *	76	* *	
Perquimans	56	* *	* *	10	* *	* *	8	* *	55	* *	
Person	181	10.5 (19)	56.9 (79)	135	22.2 (16)	45.2 (59)	23	* *	74	* *	
Pitt	815	11.0 (28)	53.6 (71)	627	25.8 (38)	40.4 (36)	140	43.6 (19)	200	41.5 (45)	
Polk	56	* *	* *	51	* *	* *	14	* *	34	* *	
Randolph	606	9.1 (8)	54.3 (74)	405	20.7 (9)	48.9 (73)	33	* *	509	15.7 (10)	
Richmond	336	13.7 (57)	50.0 (61)	294	27.2 (45)	42.5 (44)	61	* *	116	39.7 (42)	
Robeson	1,013	13.6 (56)	48.3 (53)	702	31.3 (63)	37.9 (22)	239	16.3 (1)	287	33.1 (35)	
Rockingham	263	12.2 (46)	45.6 (37)	65	* *	* *	93	* *	186	13.4 (6)	
Rowan	719	13.9 (59)	44.8 (30)	638	25.7 (36)	41.8 (40)	141	21.3 (4)	428	18.5 (16)	
Rutherford	297	12.8 (49)	45.1 (34)	175	37.7 (70)	34.3 (7)	49	* *	155	26.5 (28)	
Sampson	449	6.0 (1)	53.9 (73)	350	24.9 (32)	48.0 (72)	75	* *	193	21.2 (20)	
Scotland	333	13.2 (52)	51.7 (64)	296	28.7 (57)	38.2 (27)	40	* *	60	* *	
Stanly	281	12.1 (41)	43.8 (27)	252	29.0 (59)	34.5 (8)	15	* *	59	* *	

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

(2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

(3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

(4) Based on 1990 IOM report, "Nutrition During Pregnancy": underweight (BMI <19.8), overweight (BMI >26.0; includes overweight and obese women).

(5) Based on 1990 IOM report, "Nutrition During Pregnancy": underweight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 28 to 40 lbs), normal weight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 25 to 35 lbs), overweight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 15 to 25 lbs), obese prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 15 to 25 lbs).

(6) Based on 1990 MMWR, "Recommendations to Prevent and Control Iron Deficiency in the United States"; adjusted for altitude and smoking.

* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Table 5B
2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance ⁽¹⁾
North Carolina
Comparison of Maternal Health Indicators by County

Comparison of Maternal Health Indicators ^(2, 3)										
County	Prepregnancy BMI (4)			Weight Gain (5)			Anemia (Low Hb/Hct) (6)			
	Under-weight		Over-weight	< Ideal		> Ideal	3rd Trimester		Postpartum	
	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
Stokes	188	16.0 (69)	39.4 (10)	153	19.6 (6)	46.4 (68)	16	* *	91	* *
Surry	265	17.4 (78)	40.0 (11)	130	22.3 (18)	44.6 (56)	69	* *	179	24.6 (26)
Swain	104	16.3 (72)	46.2 (42)	86	* *	* *	8	* *	51	* *
Transylvan	151	15.9 (67)	37.7 (6)	39	* *	* *	22	* *	21	* *
Tyrrell	34	* *	* *	27	* *	* *	3	* *	14	* *
Union	581	12.0 (37)	47.2 (48)	480	27.5 (47)	34.8 (9)	150	34.7 (14)	403	23.6 (24)
Vance	207	8.7 (7)	53.6 (71)	14	* *	* *	59	* *	81	* *
Wake	1,906	10.8 (24)	45.6 (37)	1,225	29.0 (59)	35.9 (16)	503	29.0 (8)	1,365	19.8 (19)
Warren	158	10.8 (24)	54.4 (75)	147	32.0 (65)	34.0 (6)	21	* *	39	* *
Washington	97	* *	* *	85	* *	* *	10	* *	23	* *
Watauga	167	16.2 (71)	29.9 (3)	141	27.7 (51)	43.3 (49)	19	* *	101	8.9 (2)
Wayne Coun	789	10.1 (14)	46.0 (41)	547	25.4 (34)	42.8 (46)	124	41.1 (17)	338	35.8 (38)
Wilkes	383	17.2 (77)	48.0 (52)	311	23.5 (25)	46.9 (69)	90	* *	141	19.1 (17)
Wilson Cou	526	10.1 (14)	49.2 (57)	377	32.1 (66)	35.0 (11)	114	32.5 (11)	233	16.7 (13)

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

(2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

(3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

(4) Based on 1990 IOM report, "Nutrition During Pregnancy": underweight (BMI <19.8), overweight (BMI >26.0; includes overweight and obese women).

(5) Based on 1990 IOM report, "Nutrition During Pregnancy": underweight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 28 to 40 lbs), normal weight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 25 to 35 lbs), overweight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 15 to 25 lbs), obese prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 15 to 25 lbs).

(6) Based on 1990 MMWR, "Recommendations to Prevent and Control Iron Deficiency in the United States"; adjusted for altitude and smoking.

* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Table 5B
2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance ⁽¹⁾
North Carolina
Comparison of Maternal Health Indicators by County

Comparison of Maternal Health Indicators ^(2, 3)											
County	Prepregnancy BMI (4)			Weight Gain (5)			Anemia (Low Hb/Hct) (6)				
	Under-weight		Over-weight	< Ideal		> Ideal	3rd Trimester		Postpartum		
	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)
Yadkin	157	12.1 (41)	42.7 (24)	114	19.3 (5)	38.6 (29)	25	* *	86	* *	
Yancey	78	* *	* *	63	* *	* *	11	* *	45	* *	
Unknown Counties	206	14.1 (61)	42.2 (20)	140	27.9 (54)	35.0 (11)	22	* *	88	* *	
North Carolina	40,522	13.0	45.9	30,146	25.3	41.4	7,297	31.9	21,901	27.6	
Nation (Prior Year)	658,420	12.7	42.2	558,067	25.6	44.8	100,071	30.6	241,000	28.1	

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

(2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

(3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

(4) Based on 1990 IOM report, "Nutrition During Pregnancy": underweight (BMI <19.8), overweight (BMI >26.0; includes overweight and obese women).

(5) Based on 1990 IOM report, "Nutrition During Pregnancy": underweight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 28 to 40 lbs), normal weight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 25 to 35 lbs), overweight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 15 to 25 lbs), obese prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 15 to 25 lbs).

(6) Based on 1990 MMWR, "Recommendations to Prevent and Control Iron Deficiency in the United States"; adjusted for altitude and smoking.

* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Table 6B
2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance ⁽¹⁾
North Carolina
Comparison of Maternal Behavioral Indicators by County

Comparison of Maternal Behavioral Indicators ^(2, 3)					
County	Medical Care			WIC Enrollment	
	1st Trimester		No Care	1st Trimester	
	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
Alamance	889	74.6 (60)	0.3 (13)	887	34.4 (39)
Alexander	186	75.8 (56)	0.5 (25)	185	40.5 (21)
Alleghany	48	* *	* *	48	* *
Anson	243	79.4 (44)	0.4 (19)	243	34.2 (40)
Ashe	150	86.0 (15)	0.0 (1)	149	48.3 (9)
Avery	85	* *	* *	85	* *
Beaufort	322	75.8 (56)	1.6 (77)	314	49.7 (6)
Bertie	169	85.8 (16)	1.2 (64)	168	33.9 (41)
Bladen	272	66.5 (82)	0.4 (19)	275	41.1 (18)
Brunswick	544	78.7 (46)	0.6 (33)	545	39.4 (23)
Buncombe	1,205	89.6 (6)	0.4 (19)	1,200	40.4 (22)
Burke	469	73.1 (63)	0.9 (50)	466	23.2 (73)
Cabarrus	937	73.0 (64)	0.6 (33)	930	25.7 (66)
Caldwell	525	91.4 (4)	0.6 (33)	521	50.5 (4)
Camden	44	* *	* *	45	* *
Carteret	289	88.9 (7)	0.0 (1)	290	30.0 (52)

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

(2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

(3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 6B
2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance ⁽¹⁾
North Carolina
Comparison of Maternal Behavioral Indicators by County

Comparison of Maternal Behavioral Indicators ^(2, 3)					
County	Medical Care			WIC Enrollment	
	1st Trimester		No Care	1st Trimester	
	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
Caswell	117	83.8 (30)	0.0 (1)	118	43.2 (14)
Catawba	840	70.0 (73)	0.6 (33)	836	24.9 (69)
Chatham	242	70.7 (71)	0.0 (1)	246	32.1 (47)
Cherokee	183	85.8 (16)	0.5 (25)	182	43.4 (13)
Chowan	93	* *	* *	92	* *
Clay	47	* *	* *	47	* *
Cleveland	662	76.7 (53)	1.1 (59)	657	38.2 (27)
Columbus	490	67.3 (80)	1.0 (54)	499	38.9 (26)
Craven	815	73.6 (61)	0.4 (19)	826	30.5 (50)
Cumberland	3,077	85.0 (21)	0.6 (33)	3,048	22.6 (76)
Currituck	64	* *	* *	63	* *
Dare	155	83.9 (28)	0.0 (1)	156	26.9 (64)
Davidson	913	76.9 (52)	1.0 (54)	908	28.7 (57)
Davie	174	85.1 (20)	2.3 (83)	174	31.0 (49)
Duplin	427	80.6 (39)	1.2 (64)	426	32.2 (46)
Durham	1,603	85.0 (21)	1.1 (59)	1,599	18.2 (81)

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

(2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

(3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 6B
2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance ⁽¹⁾
North Carolina
Comparison of Maternal Behavioral Indicators by County

Comparison of Maternal Behavioral Indicators ^(2, 3)					
County	Medical Care			WIC Enrollment	
	1st Trimester		No Care	1st Trimester	
	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
Edgecombe	488	63.5 (83)	0.6 (33)	491	29.9 (53)
Forsyth	2,132	85.8 (16)	1.1 (59)	2,121	17.7 (82)
Franklin	236	86.9 (11)	0.8 (48)	238	23.9 (72)
Gaston	1,215	71.3 (69)	1.2 (64)	1,224	15.6 (84)
Gates	34	* *	* *	34	* *
Graham	84	* *	* *	84	* *
Granville	326	83.4 (32)	0.3 (13)	324	37.0 (34)
Greene	177	83.1 (33)	1.7 (79)	175	41.1 (18)
Guilford	2,553	77.2 (48)	0.9 (50)	2,542	16.8 (83)
Halifax	540	84.3 (27)	0.7 (45)	540	24.8 (70)
Harnett	725	72.8 (65)	0.4 (19)	726	28.1 (60)
Haywood	305	88.2 (9)	0.7 (45)	305	41.0 (20)
Henderson	524	88.2 (9)	0.6 (33)	522	28.7 (57)
Hertford	199	85.4 (19)	0.5 (25)	197	28.9 (56)
Hoke	408	84.6 (26)	0.5 (25)	409	26.4 (65)
Hyde	42	* *	* *	42	* *

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

(2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

(3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 6B
2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance ⁽¹⁾
North Carolina
Comparison of Maternal Behavioral Indicators by County

Comparison of Maternal Behavioral Indicators ^(2, 3)					
County	Medical Care			WIC Enrollment	
	1st Trimester		No Care	1st Trimester	
	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
Iredell	740	56.8 (85)	1.5 (74)	732	20.2 (79)
Jackson	232	88.4 (8)	0.9 (50)	231	42.9 (16)
Johnston	917	67.0 (81)	1.6 (77)	911	25.1 (68)
Jones	64	* *	* *	63	* *
Lee	445	69.2 (78)	0.2 (12)	443	24.8 (70)
Lenoir	427	77.0 (49)	0.5 (25)	433	33.0 (44)
Lincoln	395	76.5 (54)	0.3 (13)	397	27.5 (61)
Macon	292	86.6 (12)	0.3 (13)	309	39.2 (24)
Madison	213	85.0 (21)	0.0 (1)	212	43.9 (12)
Martin	157	93.6 (2)	0.6 (33)	157	47.8 (10)
Mcdowell	211	83.9 (28)	0.0 (1)	211	35.5 (36)
Mecklenbur	3,708	69.4 (76)	1.1 (59)	3,751	15.4 (85)
Mitchell	99	* *	* *	98	* *
Montgomery	226	72.1 (67)	0.0 (1)	230	29.6 (54)
Moore	438	73.5 (62)	1.4 (71)	427	33.3 (43)
Nash	593	70.8 (70)	1.2 (64)	597	37.2 (31)

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

(2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

(3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 6B
2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance ⁽¹⁾
North Carolina
Comparison of Maternal Behavioral Indicators by County

Comparison of Maternal Behavioral Indicators ^(2, 3)					
County	Medical Care			WIC Enrollment	
	1st Trimester		No Care	1st Trimester	
	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
New Hanove	885	69.9 (74)	1.5 (74)	877	27.4 (62)
Northhampt	181	86.2 (13)	1.7 (79)	179	42.5 (17)
Onslow	1,990	92.2 (3)	0.3 (13)	1,971	29.5 (55)
Orange	299	77.6 (47)	1.0 (54)	293	22.9 (75)
Pamlico	74	* *	* *	74	* *
Pasquotank	289	72.7 (66)	0.7 (45)	291	36.4 (35)
Pender	291	69.8 (75)	2.7 (84)	289	28.4 (59)
Perquimans	73	* *	* *	72	* *
Person	219	81.7 (36)	0.9 (50)	218	37.2 (31)
Pitt	1,032	82.7 (35)	1.5 (74)	1,021	34.7 (37)
Polk	74	* *	* *	75	* *
Randolph	891	81.5 (38)	1.0 (54)	890	34.7 (37)
Richmond	453	78.8 (45)	2.0 (81)	446	43.0 (15)
Robeson	1,290	77.0 (49)	0.5 (25)	1,274	21.9 (77)
Rockingham	592	83.8 (30)	0.5 (25)	589	31.4 (48)
Rowan	895	70.5 (72)	1.2 (64)	887	27.1 (63)

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

(2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

(3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 6B
2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance ⁽¹⁾
North Carolina
Comparison of Maternal Behavioral Indicators by County

Comparison of Maternal Behavioral Indicators ^(2, 3)					
County	Medical Care			WIC Enrollment	
	1st Trimester		No Care	1st Trimester	
	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
Rutherford	395	79.7 (42)	0.5 (25)	394	38.1 (28)
Sampson	578	74.7 (59)	1.0 (54)	579	39.2 (24)
Scotland	382	76.4 (55)	1.3 (69)	370	48.9 (8)
Stanly	348	80.5 (41)	0.3 (13)	352	32.4 (45)
Stokes	242	90.1 (5)	0.4 (19)	236	38.1 (28)
Surry	508	75.6 (58)	0.6 (33)	504	38.1 (28)
Swain	138	86.2 (13)	1.4 (71)	135	51.1 (3)
Transylvan	172	94.8 (1)	0.0 (1)	175	51.4 (2)
Tyrrell	37	* *	* *	37	* *
Union	800	72.0 (68)	0.6 (33)	790	18.6 (80)
Vance	453	69.3 (77)	1.1 (59)	461	20.4 (78)
Wake	3,131	61.1 (84)	0.8 (48)	3,193	25.5 (67)
Warren	169	81.7 (36)	0.6 (33)	171	49.1 (7)
Washington	113	79.6 (43)	2.7 (84)	113	50.4 (5)
Watauga	187	85.0 (21)	0.0 (1)	187	52.4 (1)
Wayne Coun	967	68.6 (79)	2.1 (82)	970	30.4 (51)

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

(2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

(3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 6B
2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance ⁽¹⁾
North Carolina
Comparison of Maternal Behavioral Indicators by County

Comparison of Maternal Behavioral Indicators ^(2, 3)					
County	Medical Care			WIC Enrollment	
	1st Trimester		No Care	1st Trimester	
	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
Wilkes	437	82.8 (34)	1.4 (71)	433	23.1 (74)
Wilson Cou	644	80.6 (39)	0.6 (33)	638	33.9 (41)
Yadkin	199	84.9 (25)	0.0 (1)	197	37.1 (33)
Yancey	99	* *	* *	98	* *
Unknown Counties	226	77.0 (49)	1.3 (69)	229	44.5 (11)
North Carolina	55,177	77.2	0.9	55,112	28.4
Nation (Prior Year)	437,601	75.9	9.6	666,694	27.4

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

(2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

(3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 7B
2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance (1)
North Carolina
Comparison of Smoking Indicators by County

Comparison of Smoking Indicators (2, 3)										
County	Smoking				Quit Smoking By First Prenatal Visit and Stayed Off Cigarettes (4)		Smoking in Household			
	3 Months Prior to Pregnancy		Last 3 Months of Pregnancy				Prenatal		Postpartum	
	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
Alamance	737	34.5 (53)	896	20.6 (40)	250	30.4 (12)	0	* *	0	* *
Alexander	168	47.0 (77)	187	31.0 (76)	78	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Alleghany	44	* *	47	* *	19	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Anson	202	28.7 (41)	245	18.8 (35)	58	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Ashe	130	46.9 (76)	149	31.5 (77)	59	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Avery	73	* *	85	* *	33	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Beaufort	278	36.7 (58)	315	24.1 (53)	97	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Bertie	152	20.4 (19)	169	10.1 (4)	31	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Bladen	243	19.3 (18)	272	20.6 (40)	45	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Brunswick	477	42.6 (72)	546	29.3 (70)	203	24.6 (25)	0	* *	0	* *
Buncombe	1,014	38.7 (63)	1,205	27.4 (64)	392	24.2 (28)	0	* *	0	* *
Burke	207	49.3 (79)	471	27.2 (63)	100	23.0 (30)	0	* *	0	* *
Cabarrus	799	26.2 (33)	933	16.2 (24)	199	33.2 (9)	0	* *	0	* *
Caldwell	462	49.1 (78)	525	32.6 (80)	227	22.9 (31)	0	* *	0	* *
Camden	38	* *	44	* *	13	* *	0	* *	0	* *

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

(2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

(3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

(4) Among women who smoked 3 months prior to pregnancy.

* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 7B
2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance (1)
North Carolina
Comparison of Smoking Indicators by County

Comparison of Smoking Indicators (2, 3)										
County	Smoking				Quit Smoking By First Prenatal Visit and Stayed Off Cigarettes (4)		Smoking in Household			
	3 Months Prior to Pregnancy		Last 3 Months of Pregnancy				Prenatal		Postpartum	
	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
Carteret	216	39.8 (67)	289	27.7 (66)	84	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Caswell	106	38.7 (63)	117	32.5 (79)	40	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Catawba	679	31.4 (47)	841	22.9 (50)	212	28.3 (16)	0	* *	0	* *
Chatham	221	13.6 (6)	245	16.3 (26)	30	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Cherokee	153	46.4 (74)	183	36.6 (83)	70	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Chowan	88	* *	93	* *	35	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Clay	42	* *	47	* *	16	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Cleveland	601	36.8 (59)	662	23.4 (51)	217	29.5 (13)	0	* *	0	* *
Columbus	402	15.9 (8)	498	19.3 (37)	62	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Craven	507	30.0 (45)	822	17.4 (30)	150	37.3 (6)	0	* *	0	* *
Cumberland	2,353	28.8 (42)	3,045	16.2 (24)	664	41.6 (2)	0	* *	0	* *
Currituck	58	* *	64	* *	45	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Dare	115	51.3 (80)	150	24.7 (57)	54	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Davidson	706	39.2 (66)	916	29.6 (73)	275	18.9 (36)	0	* *	0	* *
Davie	145	36.6 (57)	175	30.9 (75)	53	* *	0	* *	0	* *

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.
(2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.
(3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.
(4) Among women who smoked 3 months prior to pregnancy.
* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Table 7B
2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance (1)
North Carolina
Comparison of Smoking Indicators by County

Comparison of Smoking Indicators (2, 3)										
County	Smoking				Quit Smoking By First Prenatal Visit and Stayed Off Cigarettes (4)		Smoking in Household			
	3 Months Prior to Pregnancy		Last 3 Months of Pregnancy				Prenatal		Postpartum	
	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
Duplin	363	15.7 (7)	431	12.8 (11)	56	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Durham	1,185	17.5 (13)	1,610	8.0 (2)	200	36.5 (7)	0	* *	0	* *
Edgecombe	227	22.9 (23)	492	15.9 (23)	49	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Forsyth	1,684	18.8 (15)	2,130	16.3 (26)	314	28.0 (19)	0	* *	0	* *
Franklin	204	26.5 (35)	243	11.5 (7)	54	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Gaston	996	39.9 (68)	1,216	29.3 (70)	380	23.7 (29)	0	* *	0	* *
Gates	29	* *	34	* *	8	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Graham	68	* *	84	* *	20	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Granville	280	23.2 (25)	325	15.7 (21)	64	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Greene	150	16.7 (11)	177	13.6 (14)	25	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Guilford	1,982	23.6 (27)	2,554	13.7 (15)	465	24.5 (26)	0	* *	0	* *
Halifax	467	27.2 (37)	541	19.0 (36)	126	29.4 (14)	0	* *	0	* *
Harnett	650	35.4 (56)	732	18.6 (34)	227	33.5 (8)	0	* *	0	* *
Haywood	249	56.2 (82)	304	37.5 (84)	138	28.3 (16)	0	* *	0	* *
Henderson	365	29.9 (44)	524	21.2 (42)	109	18.3 (37)	0	* *	0	* *

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

(2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

(3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

(4) Among women who smoked 3 months prior to pregnancy.

* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Table 7B
2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance (1)
North Carolina
Comparison of Smoking Indicators by County

Comparison of Smoking Indicators (2, 3)										
County	Smoking				Quit Smoking By First Prenatal Visit and Stayed Off Cigarettes (4)		Smoking in Household			
	3 Months Prior to Pregnancy		Last 3 Months of Pregnancy				Prenatal		Postpartum	
	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
Hertford	173	25.4 (31)	199	6.5 (1)	44	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Hoke	343	22.4 (21)	410	13.2 (12)	76	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Hyde	35	* *	42	* *	4	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Iredell	612	34.6 (54)	739	26.3 (59)	212	22.6 (32)	0	* *	0	* *
Jackson	184	32.1 (48)	232	25.4 (58)	59	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Johnston	564	6.7 (2)	922	19.3 (37)	38	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Jones	61	* *	63	* *	17	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Lee	383	26.4 (34)	448	18.1 (32)	101	26.7 (23)	0	* *	0	* *
Lenoir	390	27.9 (38)	430	18.4 (33)	106	24.5 (26)	0	* *	0	* *
Lincoln	329	37.7 (61)	395	27.6 (65)	121	16.5 (38)	0	* *	0	* *
Macon	244	40.6 (69)	310	29.0 (69)	97	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Madison	182	51.6 (81)	214	33.2 (82)	94	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Martin	138	41.3 (70)	157	33.1 (81)	57	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Mcdowell	180	18.9 (16)	212	15.1 (17)	34	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Mecklenbur	2,915	8.4 (3)	3,808	11.0 (6)	240	37.5 (5)	0	* *	0	* *

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

(2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

(3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

(4) Among women who smoked 3 months prior to pregnancy.

* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 7B
2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance (1)
North Carolina
Comparison of Smoking Indicators by County

Comparison of Smoking Indicators (2, 3)										
County	Smoking				Quit Smoking By First Prenatal Visit and Stayed Off Cigarettes (4)	Smoking in Household				
	3 Months Prior to Pregnancy		Last 3 Months of Pregnancy			Prenatal		Postpartum		
	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
Mitchell	82	* *	102	26.5 (60)	33	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Montgomery	188	28.2 (40)	228	15.4 (20)	53	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Moore	347	29.1 (43)	444	19.6 (39)	100	31.0 (11)	0	* *	0	* *
Nash	507	20.7 (20)	599	21.4 (43)	104	21.2 (34)	0	* *	0	* *
New Hanove	692	27.9 (38)	887	24.2 (54)	191	27.7 (21)	0	* *	0	* *
Northhampt	158	23.4 (26)	182	17.6 (31)	37	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Onslow	1,636	33.7 (51)	1,990	14.0 (16)	550	49.6 (1)	0	* *	0	* *
Orange	191	16.8 (12)	295	16.6 (28)	30	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Pamlico	64	* *	75	* *	27	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Pasquotank	258	23.6 (27)	290	10.7 (5)	61	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Pender	240	22.9 (23)	292	24.3 (56)	54	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Perquimans	64	* *	73	* *	17	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Person	181	38.7 (63)	220	26.8 (61)	69	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Pitt	824	23.7 (29)	1,032	13.2 (12)	194	40.2 (3)	0	* *	0	* *
Polk	54	* *	73	* *	19	* *	0	* *	0	* *

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

(2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

(3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

(4) Among women who smoked 3 months prior to pregnancy.

* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 7B
2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance (1)
North Carolina
Comparison of Smoking Indicators by County

Comparison of Smoking Indicators (2, 3)										
County	Smoking				Quit Smoking By First Prenatal Visit and Stayed Off Cigarettes (4)		Smoking in Household			
	3 Months Prior to Pregnancy		Last 3 Months of Pregnancy				Prenatal		Postpartum	
	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
Randolph	744	33.7 (51)	900	22.0 (45)	247	25.1 (24)	0	* *	0	* *
Richmond	360	37.8 (62)	456	22.6 (49)	136	32.4 (10)	0	* *	0	* *
Robeson	1,018	19.2 (17)	1,291	22.3 (47)	195	6.7 (41)	0	* *	0	* *
Rockingham	484	34.7 (55)	598	28.8 (68)	167	22.2 (33)	0	* *	0	* *
Rowan	661	32.8 (49)	903	22.0 (45)	215	27.4 (22)	0	* *	0	* *
Rutherford	296	37.5 (60)	395	28.1 (67)	111	28.8 (15)	0	* *	0	* *
Sampson	460	16.3 (10)	580	11.7 (8)	61	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Scotland	347	22.8 (22)	382	21.7 (44)	79	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Stanly	286	1.4 (1)	354	23.7 (52)	4	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Stokes	199	59.8 (83)	242	45.9 (86)	118	16.1 (39)	0	* *	0	* *
Surry	399	30.3 (46)	509	27.1 (62)	121	10.7 (40)	0	* *	0	* *
Swain	108	80.6 (84)	138	37.7 (85)	87	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Transylvan	157	46.5 (75)	174	29.3 (70)	72	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Tyrrell	34	* *	37	* *	8	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Union	597	18.4 (14)	807	17.1 (29)	110	28.2 (18)	0	* *	0	* *

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.
(2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.
(3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.
(4) Among women who smoked 3 months prior to pregnancy.
* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Table 7B
2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance (1)
North Carolina
Comparison of Smoking Indicators by County

Comparison of Smoking Indicators (2, 3)										
County	Smoking				Quit Smoking By First Prenatal Visit and Stayed Off Cigarettes (4)		Smoking in Household			
	3 Months Prior to Pregnancy		Last 3 Months of Pregnancy				Prenatal		Postpartum	
	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
Vance	361	9.1 (4)	464	15.3 (19)	33	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Wake	2,122	12.0 (5)	3,204	9.4 (3)	252	27.8 (20)	0	* *	0	* *
Warren	158	24.1 (30)	171	15.2 (18)	37	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Washington	99	* *	114	12.3 (9)	18	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Watauga	167	46.1 (73)	186	24.2 (54)	76	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Wayne Coun	797	26.5 (35)	980	15.7 (21)	207	39.6 (4)	0	* *	0	* *
Wilkes	384	41.4 (71)	438	32.2 (78)	159	20.8 (35)	0	* *	0	* *
Wilson Cou	572	15.9 (8)	644	12.4 (10)	91	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Yadkin	168	33.3 (50)	199	30.7 (74)	56	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Yancey	80	* *	99	* *	18	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Unknown Counties	215	26.0 (32)	231	22.5 (48)	56	* *	0	* *	0	* *
North Carolina	43,807	26.9	55,492	19.0	11,619	28.0	0	*	0	*
Nation (Prior Year)	591,104	30.8	423,755	20.3	107,528	31.1	305,692	27.7	340,900	24.0

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.
(2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.
(3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.
(4) Among women who smoked 3 months prior to pregnancy.
* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Table 8B
2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance ⁽¹⁾
North Carolina
Comparison of Infant Health Indicators by County

Comparison of Infant Health Indicators ^(2, 3)									
County	Birthweight			Preterm (6)		Full Term LBW (7)		Ever Breastfed	
	Low (4)		High (5)						
	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
Alamance	897	9.4 (43)	8.8 (71)	884	14.6 (41)	755	4.5 (56)	745	52.6 (40)
Alexander	187	10.2 (60)	5.9 (22)	183	11.5 (12)	162	3.1 (14)	102	58.8 (23)
Alleghany	48	* *	* *	48	* *	38	* *	31	* *
Anson	244	9.8 (47)	5.3 (13)	242	15.7 (54)	204	5.4 (67)	234	36.3 (73)
Ashe	149	8.1 (22)	4.7 (6)	147	9.5 (3)	133	4.5 (56)	138	55.1 (31)
Avery	85	* *	* *	84	* *	69	* *	21	* *
Beaufort	321	9.3 (40)	5.9 (22)	313	15.7 (54)	264	3.0 (12)	295	43.4 (58)
Bertie	169	6.5 (6)	4.7 (6)	166	12.7 (19)	145	1.4 (2)	132	32.6 (77)
Bladen	274	13.9 (83)	5.5 (17)	272	19.5 (80)	219	6.8 (80)	232	43.5 (57)
Brunswick	543	11.0 (68)	6.1 (26)	541	17.6 (68)	446	4.3 (54)	449	41.4 (63)
Buncombe	1,202	10.6 (65)	6.5 (37)	1,195	14.1 (36)	1,027	4.1 (49)	1,122	89.1 (4)
Burke	470	10.0 (54)	3.8 (1)	459	14.8 (42)	391	5.4 (67)	443	53.0 (39)
Cabarrus	942	9.7 (45)	6.8 (43)	925	15.2 (46)	784	3.3 (21)	901	57.9 (24)
Caldwell	521	10.0 (54)	6.9 (44)	519	12.7 (19)	452	5.8 (75)	490	60.4 (21)

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.
(2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.
(3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.
(4) <2500 g.
(5) >4000 g.
(6) <37 weeks gestation.
(7) 37 or more weeks gestation and <2500 g.
* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Table 8B
2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance ⁽¹⁾
North Carolina
Comparison of Infant Health Indicators by County

Comparison of Infant Health Indicators ^(2, 3)										
County	Birthweight				Preterm (6)		Full Term LBW (7)		Ever Breastfed	
	Low (4)		High (5)							
	Number	% (Rank)	%	(Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
Camden	44	* * * *			44	* *	38	* *	37	* *
Carteret	290	7.2 (11)	11.7	(85)	286	9.8 (4)	258	3.5 (27)	200	55.0 (32)
Caswell	117	13.7 (82)	5.1	(10)	117	17.9 (72)	96	* *	101	36.6 (72)
Catawba	840	8.8 (31)	6.9	(44)	830	12.9 (22)	723	3.5 (27)	653	70.1 (13)
Chatham	246	6.9 (9)	10.2	(83)	241	10.0 (5)	217	3.7 (33)	167	68.9 (15)
Cherokee	183	9.3 (40)	6.6	(40)	181	17.1 (65)	150	3.3 (21)	177	54.2 (36)
Chowan	93	* * * *			92	* *	83	* *	82	* *
Clay	46	* * * *			46	* *	41	* *	45	* *
Cleveland	664	9.2 (37)	9.0	(74)	652	12.7 (19)	569	3.3 (21)	535	27.1 (81)
Columbus	500	11.8 (74)	6.2	(28)	491	18.9 (76)	398	6.8 (80)	382	22.5 (82)
Craven	832	8.3 (25)	9.3	(78)	817	12.4 (16)	716	3.8 (36)	706	66.0 (19)
Cumberland	3,072	10.5 (64)	7.5	(56)	3,020	16.9 (64)	2,510	4.7 (59)	2,819	57.8 (25)
Currituck	64	* * * *			62	* *	55	* *	56	* *
Dare	157	6.4 (4)	8.3	(67)	155	5.2 (1)	147	5.4 (67)	117	94.9 (2)

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.
(2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.
(3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.
(4) <2500 g.
(5) >4000 g.
(6) <37 weeks gestation.
(7) 37 or more weeks gestation and <2500 g.
* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Table 8B
2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance ⁽¹⁾
North Carolina
Comparison of Infant Health Indicators by County

Comparison of Infant Health Indicators ^(2, 3)									
County	Birthweight			Preterm (6)		Full Term LBW (7)		Ever Breastfed	
	Low (4)	High (5)							
	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
Davidson	914	10.0 (54)	6.5 (37)	898	13.6 (29)	776	4.1 (49)	827	50.5 (43)
Davie	175	9.1 (34)	10.9 (84)	173	13.9 (33)	149	5.4 (67)	105	88.6 (5)
Duplin	430	7.7 (17)	4.7 (6)	421	17.8 (71)	346	3.2 (19)	418	59.6 (22)
Durham	1,611	12.0 (78)	6.0 (24)	1,589	20.5 (82)	1,264	6.6 (79)	1,432	55.2 (30)
Edgecombe	489	11.9 (77)	4.1 (3)	482	18.3 (73)	394	5.6 (72)	451	31.3 (79)
Forsyth	2,130	9.9 (51)	6.4 (33)	2,109	15.5 (53)	1,782	4.2 (52)	2,006	67.5 (18)
Franklin	243	7.8 (18)	4.5 (4)	237	19.0 (77)	192	3.1 (14)	223	42.2 (60)
Gaston	1,235	9.9 (51)	9.3 (78)	1,212	13.9 (33)	1,044	3.4 (25)	1,158	35.8 (74)
Gates	34	* * * *		34	* *	27	* *	28	* *
Graham	84	* * * *		84	* *	73	* *	83	* *
Granville	324	6.2 (3)	7.4 (52)	322	13.0 (24)	280	2.9 (10)	302	40.1 (66)
Greene	175	12.6 (80)	4.0 (2)	173	19.1 (78)	140	2.9 (10)	166	49.4 (45)
Guilford	2,551	10.4 (62)	6.0 (24)	2,521	13.8 (31)	2,173	3.9 (40)	2,227	56.9 (29)
Halifax	539	15.4 (86)	4.5 (4)	531	22.0 (84)	414	8.9 (83)	510	33.3 (76)

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.
(2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.
(3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.
(4) <2500 g.
(5) >4000 g.
(6) <37 weeks gestation.
(7) 37 or more weeks gestation and <2500 g.
* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Table 8B
2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance ⁽¹⁾
North Carolina
Comparison of Infant Health Indicators by County

Comparison of Infant Health Indicators ^(2, 3)									
County	Birthweight			Preterm (6)		Full Term LBW (7)		Ever Breastfed	
	Low (4)	High (5)							
	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
Harnett	732	8.9 (32)	7.4 (52)	721	15.4 (50)	610	3.9 (40)	640	42.0 (61)
Haywood	305	10.2 (60)	7.5 (56)	302	10.6 (7)	270	5.2 (64)	256	54.3 (35)
Henderson	522	8.0 (20)	6.3 (30)	515	13.0 (24)	448	4.0 (45)	439	77.9 (8)
Hertford	199	12.1 (79)	5.0 (9)	196	10.7 (9)	175	5.7 (73)	189	27.5 (80)
Hoke	408	11.5 (71)	7.1 (48)	404	19.3 (79)	326	4.0 (45)	354	48.0 (47)
Hyde	42	* *	* *	42	* *	36	* *	37	* *
Iredell	737	11.0 (68)	6.4 (33)	729	14.0 (35)	627	4.9 (62)	620	54.7 (33)
Jackson	229	11.8 (74)	9.2 (77)	227	10.6 (7)	203	6.4 (78)	208	67.8 (17)
Johnston	915	8.7 (26)	6.1 (26)	905	17.2 (67)	749	4.0 (45)	787	40.5 (64)
Jones	64	* *	* *	63	* *	55	* *	19	* *
Lee	446	7.2 (11)	6.5 (37)	441	12.0 (15)	388	3.6 (30)	418	47.1 (49)
Lenoir	431	9.3 (40)	7.0 (46)	427	15.9 (58)	359	3.1 (14)	329	37.1 (71)
Lincoln	396	9.1 (34)	5.8 (19)	394	12.9 (22)	343	3.2 (19)	223	45.3 (53)
Macon	310	7.1 (10)	7.4 (52)	308	13.0 (24)	268	3.4 (25)	230	51.3 (41)

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.
(2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.
(3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.
(4) <2500 g.
(5) >4000 g.
(6) <37 weeks gestation.
(7) 37 or more weeks gestation and <2500 g.
* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Table 8B
2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance ⁽¹⁾
North Carolina
Comparison of Infant Health Indicators by County

Comparison of Infant Health Indicators ^(2, 3)									
County	Birthweight			Preterm (6)		Full Term LBW (7)		Ever Breastfed	
	Low (4)	High (5)							
	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
Madison	213	6.6 (7)	8.9 (73)	211	11.8 (14)	186	1.6 (3)	182	70.3 (12)
Martin	157	11.5 (71)	7.6 (61)	157	8.9 (2)	143	7.7 (82)	138	99.3 (1)
Mcdowell	210	14.8 (84)	5.2 (11)	208	21.6 (83)	163	3.7 (33)	201	37.8 (69)
Mecklenbur	3,793	10.0 (54)	7.1 (48)	3,711	13.8 (31)	3,198	3.8 (36)	3,080	62.2 (20)
Mitchell	101	7.9 (19)	9.9 (82)	98	* *	85	* *	90	* *
Montgomery	228	7.5 (13)	6.6 (40)	228	13.2 (28)	198	2.0 (6)	157	54.1 (37)
Moore	441	9.8 (47)	7.5 (56)	424	15.3 (48)	359	3.6 (30)	395	46.8 (50)
Nash	598	8.2 (23)	7.0 (46)	591	14.2 (37)	507	3.9 (40)	567	46.6 (51)
New Hanove	883	8.7 (26)	7.6 (61)	866	15.9 (58)	728	2.5 (9)	821	44.3 (54)
Northhampt	181	14.9 (85)	7.7 (63)	178	24.2 (85)	135	5.9 (76)	151	14.6 (84)
Onslow	1,977	7.5 (13)	8.1 (65)	1,949	10.7 (9)	1,741	3.7 (33)	1,738	54.1 (37)
Orange	300	10.0 (54)	11.7 (85)	290	14.5 (40)	248	4.8 (61)	223	69.5 (14)
Pamlico	74	* *	* *	74	* *	62	* *	63	* *
Pasquotank	291	5.5 (2)	7.9 (64)	290	11.7 (13)	256	3.1 (14)	265	16.6 (83)

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.
(2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.
(3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.
(4) <2500 g.
(5) >4000 g.
(6) <37 weeks gestation.
(7) 37 or more weeks gestation and <2500 g.
* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Table 8B
2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance ⁽¹⁾
North Carolina
Comparison of Infant Health Indicators by County

Comparison of Infant Health Indicators ^(2, 3)									
County	Birthweight			Preterm (6)		Full Term LBW (7)		Ever Breastfed	
	Low (4)		High (5)						
	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
Pender	289	6.6 (7)	8.3 (67)	284	13.0 (24)	247	2.0 (6)	177	39.0 (68)
Perquimans	73	* *	* *	71	* *	52	* *	70	* *
Person	221	10.9 (67)	9.0 (74)	217	15.7 (54)	183	5.5 (71)	187	43.9 (55)
Pitt	1,026	12.8 (81)	5.2 (11)	1,008	17.7 (70)	830	4.9 (62)	903	43.4 (58)
Polk	74	* *	* *	74	* *	66	* *	69	* *
Randolph	901	8.7 (26)	8.3 (67)	882	14.9 (43)	751	3.1 (14)	736	72.1 (11)
Richmond	453	11.3 (70)	8.4 (70)	442	17.6 (68)	364	5.2 (64)	428	40.2 (65)
Robeson	1,287	11.7 (73)	6.2 (28)	1,265	15.4 (50)	1,070	6.3 (77)	1,090	34.7 (75)
Rockingham	593	9.1 (34)	6.6 (40)	584	15.2 (46)	494	3.8 (36)	552	41.7 (62)
Rowan	896	10.0 (54)	6.4 (33)	876	15.0 (45)	745	4.0 (45)	852	50.4 (44)
Rutherford	393	8.7 (26)	5.3 (13)	390	15.4 (50)	330	5.2 (64)	269	43.9 (55)
Sampson	579	9.2 (37)	7.1 (48)	572	15.9 (58)	481	3.5 (27)	377	57.8 (25)
Scotland	380	11.8 (74)	6.3 (30)	369	17.1 (65)	306	3.9 (40)	320	32.5 (78)
Stanly	352	9.9 (51)	5.7 (18)	349	18.6 (74)	284	4.6 (58)	296	82.1 (7)

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.
(2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.
(3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.
(4) <2500 g.
(5) >4000 g.
(6) <37 weeks gestation.
(7) 37 or more weeks gestation and <2500 g.
* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Table 8B
2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance ⁽¹⁾
North Carolina
Comparison of Infant Health Indicators by County

Comparison of Infant Health Indicators ^(2, 3)									
County	Birthweight			Preterm (6)		Full Term LBW (7)		Ever Breastfed	
	Low (4)	High (5)							
	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
Stokes	242	8.7 (26)	5.8 (19)	234	11.1 (11)	208	4.3 (54)	217	49.3 (46)
Surry	509	9.6 (44)	7.1 (48)	504	15.3 (48)	427	4.2 (52)	493	57.6 (27)
Swain	136	4.4 (1)	8.8 (71)	133	14.3 (38)	114	0.0 (1)	122	91.0 (3)
Transylvan	174	9.8 (47)	7.5 (56)	174	20.1 (81)	139	3.6 (30)	59	* *
Tyrrell	37	* *	* *	37	* *	31	* *	33	* *
Union	805	9.2 (37)	5.8 (19)	785	14.3 (38)	673	3.9 (40)	741	76.5 (9)
Vance	462	8.0 (20)	6.3 (30)	456	18.6 (74)	371	3.8 (36)	433	50.8 (42)
Wake	3,189	7.5 (13)	9.3 (78)	3,158	12.6 (17)	2,759	3.0 (12)	2,090	87.2 (6)
Warren	170	8.2 (23)	5.3 (13)	169	16.6 (63)	141	2.1 (8)	164	39.6 (67)
Washington	112	9.8 (47)	5.4 (16)	110	16.4 (61)	92	* *	103	45.6 (52)
Watauga	187	6.4 (4)	6.4 (33)	187	10.2 (6)	168	1.8 (4)	170	68.8 (16)
Wayne Coun	973	7.6 (16)	9.5 (81)	956	12.6 (17)	836	1.8 (4)	760	54.5 (34)
Wilkes	435	9.7 (45)	7.4 (52)	429	14.9 (43)	365	3.3 (21)	366	47.5 (48)
Wilson Cou	641	10.8 (66)	8.1 (65)	633	16.4 (61)	529	4.7 (59)	595	37.8 (69)

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.
(2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.
(3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.
(4) <2500 g.
(5) >4000 g.
(6) <37 weeks gestation.
(7) 37 or more weeks gestation and <2500 g.
* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Table 8B
2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance ⁽¹⁾
North Carolina
Comparison of Infant Health Indicators by County

Comparison of Infant Health Indicators ^(2, 3)									
County	Birthweight			Preterm (6)		Full Term LBW (7)		Ever Breastfed	
	Low (4)		High (5)						
	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
Yadkin	199	9.0 (33)	7.5 (56)	197	13.7 (30)	170	4.1 (49)	186	73.1 (10)
Yancey	99	* *	* *	98	* *	85	* *	83	* *
Unknown Counties	231	10.4 (62)	9.1 (76)	229	15.7 (54)	193	5.7 (73)	205	57.1 (28)
North Carolina	55,435	9.6	7.1	54,619	14.8	46,514	4.1	47,314	55.2
Nation (Prior Year)	572,813	8.0	7.5	559,297	11.2	478,431	4.0	583,994	55.7

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.
(2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.
(3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.
(4) <2500 g.
(5) >4000 g.
(6) <37 weeks gestation.
(7) 37 or more weeks gestation and <2500 g.
* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Table 3B
2001 - 2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance ⁽¹⁾
North Carolina
Comparison of Racial and Ethnic Distribution by County
Combined 3 Years

Comparison of Racial and Ethnic Distribution ⁽²⁾							
County	Number Included in Analysis	White, Not Hispanic %	Black, Not Hispanic %	Hispanic %	American Indian/Alaskan Native %	Asian/Pacific Islander %	All Other/Unknown %
Alamance	2,613	40.5	27.2	30.0	0.4	1.7	0.1
Alexander	583	80.3	7.0	9.1	0.2	3.4	0.0
Alleghany	153	84.3	1.3	13.7	0.0	0.7	0.0
Anson	708	29.5	66.4	2.5	0.7	0.8	0.0
Ashe	456	93.6	0.9	5.3	0.0	0.0	0.2
Avery	272	94.5	1.1	4.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
Beaufort	1,077	38.4	44.9	16.4	0.0	0.2	0.0
Bertie	577	19.9	79.5	0.2	0.0	0.3	0.0
Bladen	851	39.4	46.9	11.8	1.5	0.5	0.0
Brunswick	1,547	70.2	21.5	7.2	0.6	0.5	0.0
Buncombe	3,711	71.6	14.8	12.5	0.3	0.8	0.0
Burke	1,533	69.6	7.4	11.7	0.3	10.8	0.1
Cabarrus	2,656	42.7	23.5	32.3	0.2	1.3	0.0
Caldwell	1,621	81.7	8.4	9.2	0.2	0.4	0.1
Camden	106	67.9	29.2	0.9	0.0	0.9	0.9
Carteret	891	80.5	13.1	4.7	1.2	0.4	0.0
Caswell	345	52.8	42.3	4.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
Catawba	2,593	55.0	13.7	22.7	0.4	8.1	0.2
Chatham	775	31.0	22.1	46.6	0.1	0.3	0.0
Cherokee	549	93.6	3.1	2.0	0.7	0.5	0.0

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

(2) Excludes data with errors.

* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Table 3B
2001 - 2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance ⁽¹⁾
North Carolina
Comparison of Racial and Ethnic Distribution by County
Combined 3 Years

Comparison of Racial and Ethnic Distribution ⁽²⁾							
County	Number Included in Analysis	White, Not Hispanic	Black, Not Hispanic	Hispanic	American Indian/Alaskan Native	Asian/Pacific Islander	All Other/Unknown
		%	%	%	%	%	%
Chowan	310	31.0	66.8	1.9	0.0	0.3	0.0
Clay	150	94.7	2.0	2.7	0.7	0.0	0.0
Cleveland	1,995	58.8	35.2	4.6	0.2	1.0	0.2
Columbus	1,569	46.3	42.8	6.9	3.7	0.2	0.1
Craven	2,581	56.9	33.3	7.1	0.9	1.9	0.0
Cumberland	9,539	41.1	43.7	11.0	2.0	2.2	0.1
Currituck	189	86.8	9.5	3.2	0.0	0.5	0.0
Dare	372	77.4	4.6	15.9	0.0	2.2	0.0
Davidson	2,684	68.8	14.7	14.5	0.3	1.7	0.0
Davie	595	69.6	10.8	19.3	0.2	0.0	0.2
Duplin	1,447	32.3	31.3	36.3	0.1	0.1	0.0
Durham	4,821	10.2	58.5	29.2	0.3	1.7	0.1
Edgecombe	1,533	20.9	72.5	6.4	0.1	0.2	0.0
Forsyth	6,100	24.3	41.2	33.7	0.1	0.6	0.0
Franklin	774	39.4	43.2	16.5	0.6	0.1	0.1
Gaston	3,776	62.5	24.0	12.0	0.1	1.3	0.0
Gates	109	34.9	61.5	2.8	0.0	0.0	0.9
Graham	221	84.6	0.0	5.4	9.5	0.5	0.0
Granville	881	44.8	42.3	12.7	0.0	0.0	0.1
Greene	530	24.9	50.9	24.0	0.2	0.0	0.0

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

(2) Excludes data with errors.

* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Table 3B
2001 - 2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance ⁽¹⁾
North Carolina
Comparison of Racial and Ethnic Distribution by County
Combined 3 Years

Comparison of Racial and Ethnic Distribution ⁽²⁾							
County	Number Included in Analysis	White, Not Hispanic %	Black, Not Hispanic %	Hispanic %	American Indian/Alaskan Native %	Asian/Pacific Islander %	All Other/Unknown %
Guilford	7,845	26.2	53.5	15.2	0.8	4.2	0.1
Halifax	1,653	22.4	69.1	2.1	6.0	0.4	0.0
Harnett	2,155	50.6	32.5	14.8	1.5	0.6	0.0
Haywood	909	92.7	1.4	5.0	0.6	0.3	0.0
Henderson	1,557	64.1	5.3	29.2	0.6	0.8	0.0
Hertford	545	16.0	82.9	0.2	0.6	0.4	0.0
Hoke	1,190	27.6	38.5	16.6	16.6	0.8	0.0
Hyde	118	45.8	39.0	15.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
Iredell	2,247	56.0	25.8	14.6	0.1	3.4	0.0
Jackson	712	69.9	2.2	6.9	20.1	0.8	0.0
Johnston	2,642	44.5	25.5	28.6	0.8	0.6	0.0
Jones	205	52.2	41.5	6.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
Lee	1,350	31.3	27.6	39.1	0.9	1.0	0.1
Lenoir	1,394	32.5	59.4	7.5	0.1	0.4	0.1
Lincoln	1,129	74.9	9.8	14.6	0.4	0.3	0.0
Macon	952	83.4	4.8	9.8	0.4	1.5	0.1
Madison	597	89.1	1.0	8.4	1.0	0.3	0.2
Martin	468	95.1	0.6	3.0	0.6	0.4	0.2
Mcdowell	645	25.6	68.7	5.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
Mecklenbur	10,072	17.0	54.2	25.2	0.4	3.0	0.1

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

(2) Excludes data with errors.

* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 3B
2001 - 2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance ⁽¹⁾
North Carolina
Comparison of Racial and Ethnic Distribution by County
Combined 3 Years

Comparison of Racial and Ethnic Distribution ⁽²⁾							
County	Number Included in Analysis	White, Not Hispanic %	Black, Not Hispanic %	Hispanic %	American Indian/Alaskan Native %	Asian/Pacific Islander %	All Other/Unknown %
Mitchell	303	93.7	0.3	5.3	0.7	0.0	0.0
Montgomery	736	39.5	25.3	33.6	0.0	1.6	0.0
Moore	1,367	51.2	32.3	14.3	1.5	0.6	0.0
Nash	1,870	32.8	54.2	11.6	0.5	0.9	0.0
New Hanove	2,667	49.6	40.7	8.3	0.5	0.9	0.0
Northhampt	508	20.9	76.0	2.6	0.6	0.0	0.0
Onslow	5,992	65.4	20.8	10.3	0.6	2.8	0.1
Orange	1,056	34.5	31.3	31.8	0.2	1.9	0.3
Pamlico	225	60.4	34.2	4.9	0.4	0.0	0.0
Pasquotank	805	36.1	59.0	4.5	0.0	0.4	0.0
Pender	917	54.6	33.2	11.6	0.3	0.3	0.0
Perquimans	208	51.9	42.8	4.3	0.5	0.5	0.0
Person	734	47.0	45.2	7.2	0.3	0.3	0.0
Pitt	3,157	26.1	63.1	9.5	0.4	0.8	0.0
Polk	250	76.8	8.0	15.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
Randolph	2,740	63.2	8.9	26.6	0.3	0.9	0.0
Richmond	1,371	50.7	40.2	6.4	2.1	0.6	0.0
Robeson	4,095	17.5	26.3	10.0	46.0	0.2	0.0
Rockingham	1,748	63.3	25.3	10.8	0.3	0.3	0.0
Rowan	2,639	52.3	26.2	20.0	0.3	1.3	0.0

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

(2) Excludes data with errors.

* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 3B
2001 - 2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance ⁽¹⁾
North Carolina
Comparison of Racial and Ethnic Distribution by County
Combined 3 Years

Comparison of Racial and Ethnic Distribution ⁽²⁾							
County	Number Included in Analysis	White, Not Hispanic %	Black, Not Hispanic %	Hispanic %	American Indian/Alaskan Native %	Asian/Pacific Islander %	All Other/Unknown %
Rutherford	1,314	76.5	17.1	5.3	0.0	0.9	0.2
Sampson	1,740	31.8	34.3	32.0	1.9	0.1	0.0
Scotland	1,083	33.8	51.8	1.8	12.6	0.1	0.0
Stanly	1,104	64.9	21.9	8.4	0.4	4.4	0.0
Stokes	735	89.0	6.1	3.7	0.8	0.4	0.0
Surry	1,532	66.5	6.1	25.6	0.3	1.4	0.1
Swain	432	55.3	1.4	3.7	39.6	0.0	0.0
Transylvan	478	89.5	5.2	3.8	0.8	0.6	0.0
Tyrrell	98	*	*	*	*	*	*
Union	2,376	39.7	27.1	32.0	0.4	0.8	0.0
Vance	1,418	27.2	62.0	9.8	0.3	0.6	0.1
Wake	8,963	21.7	44.1	31.5	0.3	2.3	0.1
Warren	465	21.5	69.7	5.2	3.4	0.2	0.0
Washington	357	26.3	71.4	2.0	0.0	0.3	0.0
Watauga	544	91.0	2.9	4.8	0.0	1.1	0.2
Wayne Coun	2,937	36.7	45.5	16.2	0.4	1.2	0.1
Wilkes	1,390	80.0	5.8	14.0	0.0	0.2	0.0
Wilson Cou	2,007	22.0	56.9	20.4	0.1	0.6	0.0
Yadkin	630	67.1	5.1	27.5	0.0	0.2	0.2
Yancey	320	90.6	0.6	7.8	0.3	0.6	0.0

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

(2) Excludes data with errors.

* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 3B
2001 - 2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance (1)
North Carolina
Comparison of Racial and Ethnic Distribution by County
Combined 3 Years

Comparison of Racial and Ethnic Distribution (2)							
County	Number Included in Analysis	White, Not Hispanic %	Black, Not Hispanic %	Hispanic %	American Indian/Alaskan Native %	Asian/Pacific Islander %	All Other/Unknown %
Unknown Counties	686	44.2	29.2	21.3	4.7	0.6	0.1
North Carolina	166,175	43.8	35.2	17.2	2.2	1.6	0.1

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

(2) Excludes data with errors.

* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 4B
2001 - 2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance ⁽¹⁾
North Carolina
Comparison of Age Distribution by County
Combined 3 Years

Comparison of Age Distribution ⁽²⁾

County	Number Included in Analysis	< 15 Years %	15 - 17 Years %	18 - 19 Years %	20 - 29 Years %	30 - 39 Years %	>= 40 Years %	Unknown %
Alamance	2,613	0.8	9.8	14.8	58.6	15.1	0.8	0.0
Alexander	583	0.2	10.5	15.1	57.8	15.8	0.7	0.0
Alleghany	153	1.3	9.8	17.0	57.5	13.1	1.3	0.0
Anson	708	0.7	10.5	14.0	58.2	16.2	0.4	0.0
Ashe	456	0.7	8.1	18.0	59.6	13.2	0.4	0.0
Avery	272	0.4	5.9	12.9	65.1	15.8	0.0	0.0
Beaufort	1,077	0.6	10.1	15.0	57.7	15.5	1.1	0.0
Bertie	577	1.0	7.1	13.9	61.2	16.1	0.7	0.0
Bladen	851	0.6	9.4	16.6	58.8	14.1	0.6	0.0
Brunswick	1,547	0.6	8.7	15.1	59.5	15.4	0.7	0.0
Buncombe	3,711	0.6	8.5	15.4	58.4	16.1	1.1	0.0
Burke	1,533	0.7	10.6	17.3	57.5	13.2	0.7	0.0
Cabarrus	2,656	0.5	9.2	14.0	61.0	14.7	0.6	0.0
Caldwell	1,621	0.9	11.0	16.0	57.7	13.7	0.6	0.0
Camden	106	0.0	7.5	19.8	57.5	15.1	0.0	0.0
Carteret	891	0.2	8.1	14.8	60.3	16.3	0.3	0.0
Caswell	345	1.7	7.8	15.9	56.2	17.1	1.2	0.0
Catawba	2,593	0.6	9.4	15.7	59.7	13.8	0.7	0.0
Chatham	775	0.3	8.0	12.6	61.3	17.3	0.5	0.0

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

(2) Excludes data with errors.

* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Table 4B
2001 - 2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance ⁽¹⁾
North Carolina
Comparison of Age Distribution by County
Combined 3 Years

Comparison of Age Distribution ⁽²⁾

County	Number Included in Analysis	< 15 Years %	15 - 17 Years %	18 - 19 Years %	20 - 29 Years %	30 - 39 Years %	>= 40 Years %	Unknown %
Cherokee	549	0.2	6.4	17.3	57.6	16.2	2.4	0.0
Chowan	310	1.0	10.3	15.2	56.8	16.1	0.6	0.0
Clay	150	0.0	6.7	16.0	56.7	20.0	0.7	0.0
Cleveland	1,995	1.2	11.7	17.3	57.4	11.8	0.6	0.0
Columbus	1,569	0.8	10.3	15.7	58.0	14.2	1.1	0.0
Craven	2,581	0.4	6.4	15.5	65.7	11.4	0.5	0.0
Cumberland	9,539	0.5	5.4	13.7	66.9	13.0	0.5	0.0
Currituck	189	0.0	6.3	20.6	55.6	16.9	0.5	0.0
Dare	372	0.3	6.7	14.5	56.7	19.6	2.2	0.0
Davidson	2,684	0.7	11.0	16.7	56.0	15.2	0.4	0.0
Davie	595	0.5	7.1	16.3	60.3	15.5	0.3	0.0
Duplin	1,447	0.6	7.8	15.3	59.1	16.6	0.7	0.0
Durham	4,821	0.6	7.5	12.6	59.4	18.9	1.0	0.0
Edgecombe	1,533	0.5	11.9	16.0	56.9	14.0	0.8	0.0
Forsyth	6,100	0.7	8.5	12.5	61.1	16.2	1.0	0.0
Franklin	774	1.2	11.2	14.0	57.6	15.4	0.6	0.0
Gaston	3,776	0.9	9.6	15.5	59.3	14.0	0.6	0.0
Gates	109	0.0	4.6	23.9	52.3	17.4	1.8	0.0
Graham	221	0.5	11.3	14.0	57.9	15.4	0.9	0.0

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

(2) Excludes data with errors.

* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Table 4B
2001 - 2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance ⁽¹⁾
North Carolina
Comparison of Age Distribution by County
Combined 3 Years

Comparison of Age Distribution ⁽²⁾

County	Number Included in Analysis	< 15 Years %	15 - 17 Years %	18 - 19 Years %	20 - 29 Years %	30 - 39 Years %	>= 40 Years %	Unknown %
Granville	881	1.4	8.6	14.0	57.1	18.4	0.6	0.0
Greene	530	0.6	13.6	13.2	58.3	13.6	0.8	0.0
Guilford	7,845	0.6	7.4	12.9	59.8	18.2	1.1	0.0
Halifax	1,653	1.0	11.3	16.7	57.8	12.5	0.7	0.0
Harnett	2,155	1.3	8.9	14.8	58.4	16.1	0.5	0.0
Haywood	909	0.4	9.7	13.9	57.5	17.4	1.1	0.0
Henderson	1,557	0.6	7.3	13.2	60.2	17.1	1.6	0.0
Hertford	545	2.0	12.3	16.0	56.7	12.1	0.9	0.0
Hoke	1,190	1.1	9.6	14.5	61.1	13.4	0.4	0.0
Hyde	118	0.8	7.6	10.2	54.2	26.3	0.8	0.0
Iredell	2,247	0.4	9.9	14.4	61.5	13.2	0.6	0.0
Jackson	712	0.6	7.7	15.0	61.2	14.7	0.7	0.0
Johnston	2,642	0.7	8.8	13.9	59.6	16.3	0.8	0.0
Jones	205	1.5	14.6	15.6	53.7	13.7	1.0	0.0
Lee	1,350	0.4	8.8	14.2	59.7	15.8	1.1	0.0
Lenoir	1,394	1.3	11.2	17.1	57.0	12.8	0.6	0.0
Lincoln	1,129	0.6	8.5	17.1	58.9	14.4	0.4	0.0
Macon	952	0.6	7.7	14.5	60.4	15.9	0.9	0.0
Madison	597	0.2	8.5	14.2	56.4	19.1	1.5	0.0

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

(2) Excludes data with errors.

* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 4B
2001 - 2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance ⁽¹⁾
North Carolina
Comparison of Age Distribution by County
Combined 3 Years

Comparison of Age Distribution ⁽²⁾

County	Number Included in Analysis	< 15 Years %	15 - 17 Years %	18 - 19 Years %	20 - 29 Years %	30 - 39 Years %	>= 40 Years %	Unknown %
Martin	468	0.4	7.7	16.7	59.2	15.4	0.6	0.0
Mcdowell	645	1.4	10.5	17.4	57.5	12.7	0.5	0.0
Mecklenbur	10,072	0.7	7.6	12.5	59.5	18.4	1.3	0.0
Mitchell	303	0.3	6.3	13.2	61.1	18.2	1.0	0.0
Montgomery	736	0.1	9.5	17.0	58.8	13.2	1.4	0.0
Moore	1,367	0.8	9.7	14.2	60.6	13.6	1.1	0.0
Nash	1,870	0.9	9.5	16.6	57.4	14.7	1.0	0.0
New Hanove	2,667	0.6	7.5	13.9	61.1	16.2	0.7	0.0
Northhampt	508	0.8	11.0	18.1	56.1	12.8	1.2	0.0
Onslow	5,992	0.2	3.9	16.0	69.4	10.1	0.5	0.0
Orange	1,056	0.5	8.0	10.7	60.2	19.2	1.3	0.0
Pamlico	225	0.4	9.8	15.6	58.7	14.7	0.9	0.0
Pasquotank	805	2.4	8.8	18.3	56.6	13.2	0.7	0.0
Pender	917	0.8	6.9	14.3	60.0	16.8	1.3	0.0
Perquimans	208	1.4	8.7	14.9	57.2	16.3	1.4	0.0
Person	734	0.4	8.9	15.3	60.5	13.6	1.4	0.0
Pitt	3,157	0.8	8.3	13.4	61.0	15.8	0.7	0.0
Polk	250	0.8	10.4	10.8	60.0	16.8	1.2	0.0
Randolph	2,740	0.4	9.9	15.1	58.4	15.7	0.5	0.0

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

(2) Excludes data with errors.

* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Table 4B
2001 - 2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance ⁽¹⁾
North Carolina
Comparison of Age Distribution by County
Combined 3 Years

Comparison of Age Distribution ⁽²⁾

County	Number Included in Analysis	< 15 Years %	15 - 17 Years %	18 - 19 Years %	20 - 29 Years %	30 - 39 Years %	>= 40 Years %	Unknown %
Richmond	1,371	0.6	11.0	14.3	61.2	12.0	0.9	0.0
Robeson	4,095	0.5	10.5	15.7	59.6	12.9	0.8	0.0
Rockingham	1,748	0.8	9.8	15.6	58.4	14.9	0.5	0.0
Rowan	2,639	0.8	11.3	15.4	58.8	12.7	1.0	0.0
Rutherford	1,314	0.7	11.0	16.1	59.5	12.5	0.3	0.0
Sampson	1,740	0.9	7.9	14.3	62.0	14.3	0.6	0.0
Scotland	1,083	1.1	10.8	18.0	58.7	10.7	0.6	0.0
Stanly	1,104	0.4	10.4	15.5	59.4	13.7	0.6	0.0
Stokes	735	0.5	8.2	15.6	59.5	15.4	0.8	0.0
Surry	1,532	0.4	8.4	13.6	60.1	16.5	0.9	0.0
Swain	432	0.7	7.6	18.5	56.5	16.0	0.7	0.0
Transylvan	478	0.4	10.3	14.6	57.5	16.5	0.6	0.0
Tyrrell	98	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Union	2,376	0.7	8.8	13.6	59.1	16.6	1.1	0.0
Vance	1,418	1.4	11.4	14.2	58.5	13.9	0.7	0.0
Wake	8,963	0.6	6.3	11.6	61.1	19.3	1.1	0.0
Warren	465	0.6	8.6	14.2	55.3	19.6	1.7	0.0
Washington	357	1.1	9.8	15.7	56.3	16.0	1.1	0.0
Watauga	544	0.2	7.0	12.1	62.5	17.3	0.9	0.0

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

(2) Excludes data with errors.

* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Table 4B
2001 - 2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance ⁽¹⁾
North Carolina
Comparison of Age Distribution by County
Combined 3 Years

Comparison of Age Distribution ⁽²⁾

County	Number Included in Analysis	< 15 Years %	15 - 17 Years %	18 - 19 Years %	20 - 29 Years %	30 - 39 Years %	>= 40 Years %	Unknown %
Wayne Coun	2,937	1.0	9.4	16.1	58.7	14.1	0.7	0.0
Wilkes	1,390	0.6	9.2	16.5	58.6	14.7	0.4	0.0
Wilson Cou	2,007	0.9	11.1	16.4	56.7	14.3	0.6	0.0
Yadkin	630	1.0	10.5	15.2	57.0	15.4	1.0	0.0
Yancey	320	0.3	6.3	14.4	61.3	16.6	1.3	0.0
Unknown Counties	686	0.3	8.2	14.4	63.6	12.5	1.0	0.0
North Carolina	166,175	0.7	8.5	14.5	60.2	15.3	0.8	0.0

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

(2) Excludes data with errors.

* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 5B
2001 - 2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance ⁽¹⁾
North Carolina
Comparison of Maternal Health Indicators by County
Combined 3 Years

Comparison of Maternal Health Indicators ^(2, 3)											
County	Prepregnancy BMI (4)			Weight Gain (5)			Anemia (Low Hb/Hct) (6)				
	Under-weight		Over-weight	< Ideal		> Ideal	3rd Trimester		Postpartum		
	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	
Alamance	1,161	13.5 (56)	42.5 (35)	641	22.2 (16)	40.9 (53)	393	31.0 (24)	1,864	28.0 (45)	
Alexander	517	14.9 (74)	40.6 (18)	301	25.2 (38)	38.5 (33)	83	* *	310	31.3 (57)	
Alleghany	138	15.2 (80)	38.4 (9)	118	28.8 (65)	33.1 (7)	15	* *	58	* *	
Anson	600	10.5 (17)	53.3 (86)	560	27.3 (57)	38.6 (35)	108	32.4 (29)	123	23.6 (32)	
Ashe	391	14.1 (63)	41.7 (27)	361	28.8 (65)	40.7 (52)	44	* *	150	17.3 (13)	
Avery	235	13.6 (57)	37.0 (6)	103	20.4 (7)	30.1 (2)	29	* *	57	* *	
Beaufort	863	8.3 (3)	56.8 (94)	332	24.1 (26)	43.1 (67)	120	39.2 (42)	615	30.1 (52)	
Bertie	502	8.8 (5)	62.2 (97)	412	36.2 (90)	35.0 (11)	80	* *	184	67.9 (89)	
Bladen	754	13.1 (47)	45.5 (49)	618	29.8 (72)	36.4 (21)	67	* *	199	47.2 (82)	
Brunswick	1,192	12.3 (38)	54.9 (90)	855	19.2 (2)	45.7 (88)	80	* *	639	19.4 (23)	
Buncombe	2,924	15.5 (82)	40.7 (19)	2,365	24.3 (29)	40.2 (48)	427	27.9 (17)	1,159	17.3 (13)	
Burke	1,180	13.7 (59)	41.4 (24)	946	27.9 (60)	37.8 (29)	185	24.9 (10)	366	42.3 (78)	
Cabarrus	2,024	15.8 (87)	39.8 (12)	1,397	28.7 (64)	41.1 (55)	342	35.1 (37)	776	24.4 (36)	
Caldwell	1,429	17.4 (94)	39.2 (11)	1,248	22.6 (17)	43.7 (73)	154	22.7 (9)	349	20.1 (26)	

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

(2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

(3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

(4) Based on 1990 IOM report, "Nutrition During Pregnancy": underweight (BMI <19.8), overweight (BMI >26.0; includes overweight and obese women).

(5) Based on 1990 IOM report, "Nutrition During Pregnancy": underweight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 28 to 40 lbs), normal weight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 25 to 35 lbs), overweight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 15 to 25 lbs), obese prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 15 to 25 lbs).

(6) Based on 1990 MMWR, "Recommendations to Prevent and Control Iron Deficiency in the United States"; adjusted for altitude and smoking.

* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Table 5B
2001 - 2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance ⁽¹⁾
North Carolina
Comparison of Maternal Health Indicators by County
Combined 3 Years

Comparison of Maternal Health Indicators ^(2, 3)												
County	Prepregnancy BMI (4)				Weight Gain (5)				Anemia (Low Hb/Hct) (6)			
	Under-weight		Over-weight		< Ideal		> Ideal		3rd Trimester		Postpartum	
	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
Camden	90	* *	* *	* *	78	* *	* *	* *	16	* *	73	* *
Carteret	727	13.9 (60)	42.6 (36)		561	20.7 (10)	45.5 (85)		151	17.9 (2)	275	19.3 (22)
Caswell	280	9.6 (11)	50.7 (75)		234	28.2 (61)	39.3 (40)		38	* *	124	33.1 (60)
Catawba	2,116	12.1 (35)	41.9 (31)		1,673	27.2 (56)	38.1 (31)		380	17.4 (1)	1,513	28.0 (45)
Chatham	607	9.1 (7)	50.2 (73)		356	23.0 (18)	37.6 (27)		90	* *	376	26.9 (42)
Cherokee	440	14.8 (72)	40.0 (13)		397	25.9 (43)	40.6 (51)		85	* *	157	9.6 (1)
Chowan	288	11.1 (21)	51.0 (77)		220	28.2 (61)	35.0 (11)		60	* *	223	39.5 (71)
Clay	129	9.3 (8)	51.2 (78)		102	26.5 (48)	44.1 (75)		18	* *	55	* *
Cleveland	1,743	15.0 (79)	41.7 (27)		336	28.9 (67)	41.1 (55)		325	30.8 (23)	1,465	24.9 (38)
Columbus	1,055	13.4 (54)	49.8 (71)		773	34.8 (88)	37.5 (26)		145	27.6 (16)	271	33.2 (61)
Craven	1,444	18.1 (96)	41.3 (23)		1,026	25.0 (35)	41.7 (61)		26	* *	1,606	31.4 (58)
Cumberland	7,185	13.3 (51)	40.7 (19)		6,026	21.1 (11)	45.0 (81)		1,878	34.2 (33)	2,573	34.2 (63)
Currituck	147	14.3 (65)	47.6 (62)		76	* *	* *		9	* *	113	18.6 (19)
Dare	293	15.7 (84)	31.1 (2)		194	20.6 (9)	43.8 (74)		50	* *	113	19.5 (24)

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

(2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

(3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

(4) Based on 1990 IOM report, "Nutrition During Pregnancy": underweight (BMI <19.8), overweight (BMI >26.0; includes overweight and obese women).

(5) Based on 1990 IOM report, "Nutrition During Pregnancy": underweight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 28 to 40 lbs), normal weight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 25 to 35 lbs), overweight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 15 to 25 lbs), obese prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 15 to 25 lbs).

(6) Based on 1990 MMWR, "Recommendations to Prevent and Control Iron Deficiency in the United States"; adjusted for altitude and smoking.

* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Table 5B
2001 - 2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance ⁽¹⁾
North Carolina
Comparison of Maternal Health Indicators by County
Combined 3 Years

Comparison of Maternal Health Indicators ^(2, 3)											
County	Prepregnancy BMI (4)			Weight Gain (5)			Anemia (Low Hb/Hct) (6)				
	Under-weight		Over-weight	< Ideal		> Ideal	3rd Trimester		Postpartum		
	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	
Davidson	1,828	17.1 (92)	40.8 (21)	1,522	25.8 (42)	44.5 (77)	246	26.0 (12)	1,122	17.7 (15)	
Davie	475	14.9 (74)	43.4 (38)	431	26.9 (54)	39.4 (41)	111	36.9 (41)	294	23.8 (33)	
Duplin	1,136	12.7 (43)	47.3 (60)	753	31.5 (82)	34.1 (9)	104	28.8 (19)	316	56.0 (87)	
Durham	3,268	11.1 (21)	46.0 (52)	2,597	26.6 (51)	36.2 (20)	186	36.6 (39)	213	31.0 (55)	
Edgecombe	659	59.2 (97)	25.3 (1)	437	45.1 (94)	24.3 (1)	173	41.6 (46)	821	28.6 (47)	
Forsyth	4,419	11.6 (27)	45.4 (48)	3,166	26.1 (45)	38.9 (36)	1,107	36.6 (39)	2,039	42.2 (77)	
Franklin	656	9.5 (10)	51.4 (80)	539	26.5 (48)	36.9 (25)	120	41.7 (47)	146	20.5 (27)	
Gaston	3,002	13.3 (51)	46.9 (57)	2,605	25.1 (36)	43.4 (69)	634	27.3 (15)	2,339	49.0 (84)	
Gates	76	* *	* *	40	* *	* *	9	* *	25	* *	
Graham	195	14.4 (67)	38.5 (10)	184	20.1 (5)	44.6 (78)	18	* *	69	* *	
Granville	726	11.4 (25)	48.8 (67)	644	38.8 (93)	35.1 (13)	77	* *	161	34.2 (63)	
Greene	439	11.8 (32)	52.8 (82)	345	31.3 (79)	35.7 (18)	72	* *	261	31.0 (55)	
Guilford	6,058	12.8 (45)	46.2 (54)	5,144	21.6 (15)	45.4 (83)	1,147	34.4 (34)	3,063	29.4 (50)	
Halifax	1,324	13.1 (47)	50.9 (76)	1,150	27.8 (59)	41.5 (60)	116	50.9 (55)	655	52.1 (85)	

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

(2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

(3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

(4) Based on 1990 IOM report, "Nutrition During Pregnancy": underweight (BMI <19.8), overweight (BMI >26.0; includes overweight and obese women).

(5) Based on 1990 IOM report, "Nutrition During Pregnancy": underweight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 28 to 40 lbs), normal weight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 25 to 35 lbs), overweight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 15 to 25 lbs), obese prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 15 to 25 lbs).

(6) Based on 1990 MMWR, "Recommendations to Prevent and Control Iron Deficiency in the United States"; adjusted for altitude and smoking.

* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Table 5B
2001 - 2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance ⁽¹⁾
North Carolina
Comparison of Maternal Health Indicators by County
Combined 3 Years

Comparison of Maternal Health Indicators ^(2, 3)										
County	Prepregnancy BMI (4)			Weight Gain (5)			Anemia (Low Hb/Hct) (6)			
	Under-weight		Over-weight	< Ideal		> Ideal	3rd Trimester		Postpartum	
	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
Harnett	1,812	14.7 (71)	45.8 (51)	1,411	26.3 (47)	40.9 (53)	359	45.1 (50)	1,117	35.5 (65)
Haywood	662	11.6 (27)	41.8 (30)	567	23.1 (20)	45.7 (88)	82	* *	501	14.8 (8)
Henderson	1,166	11.1 (21)	43.5 (40)	485	24.9 (34)	40.2 (48)	227	31.7 (25)	717	24.7 (37)
Hertford	471	13.4 (54)	53.3 (86)	416	31.7 (84)	39.7 (43)	64	* *	312	85.3 (90)
Hoke	922	10.2 (15)	48.5 (66)	678	25.2 (38)	39.5 (42)	191	48.2 (53)	387	44.2 (80)
Hyde	97	* *	* *	73	* *	* *	22	* *	44	* *
Iredell	1,790	12.4 (41)	44.4 (43)	1,457	23.0 (18)	40.1 (46)	475	25.1 (11)	1,725	12.9 (4)
Jackson	580	13.1 (47)	43.4 (38)	509	19.8 (4)	45.8 (90)	70	* *	384	13.5 (6)
Johnston	592	10.3 (16)	51.2 (78)	304	34.5 (87)	35.2 (14)	140	26.4 (14)	1,587	48.0 (83)
Jones	175	10.9 (20)	45.1 (47)	96	* *	* *	32	* *	51	* *
Lee	1,118	9.8 (14)	47.9 (63)	901	30.5 (75)	35.4 (17)	231	48.9 (54)	448	41.5 (73)
Lenoir	1,204	12.3 (38)	50.3 (74)	805	29.6 (70)	39.1 (38)	265	35.5 (38)	637	27.5 (44)
Lincoln	723	16.7 (91)	49.4 (69)	383	21.4 (14)	44.4 (76)	133	28.6 (18)	458	14.4 (7)
Macon	780	11.7 (30)	45.0 (46)	596	31.5 (82)	36.4 (21)	118	33.1 (30)	285	30.2 (53)

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

(2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

(3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

(4) Based on 1990 IOM report, "Nutrition During Pregnancy": underweight (BMI <19.8), overweight (BMI >26.0; includes overweight and obese women).

(5) Based on 1990 IOM report, "Nutrition During Pregnancy": underweight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 28 to 40 lbs), normal weight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 25 to 35 lbs), overweight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 15 to 25 lbs), obese prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 15 to 25 lbs).

(6) Based on 1990 MMWR, "Recommendations to Prevent and Control Iron Deficiency in the United States"; adjusted for altitude and smoking.

* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Table 5B
2001 - 2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance ⁽¹⁾
North Carolina
Comparison of Maternal Health Indicators by County
Combined 3 Years

Comparison of Maternal Health Indicators ^(2, 3)											
County	Prepregnancy BMI (4)			Weight Gain (5)			Anemia (Low Hb/Hct) (6)				
	Under-weight		Over-weight	< Ideal		> Ideal	3rd Trimester		Postpartum		
	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	
Madison	457	14.9 (74)	40.5 (16)	369	26.8 (52)	42.5 (64)	68	* *	458	9.8 (2)	
Martin	415	15.9 (89)	41.0 (22)	372	25.5 (40)	36.8 (23)	56	* *	164	18.9 (20)	
Mcdowell	537	11.4 (25)	56.2 (93)	459	36.8 (91)	32.2 (4)	77	* *	173	59.0 (88)	
Mecklenbur	6,692	12.7 (43)	43.5 (40)	4,615	23.3 (22)	43.4 (69)	1,212	33.5 (31)	2,318	23.9 (34)	
Mitchell	228	14.5 (68)	41.7 (27)	175	18.9 (1)	43.4 (69)	33	* *	141	19.9 (25)	
Montgomery	517	14.9 (74)	43.7 (42)	400	32.3 (85)	39.0 (37)	120	19.2 (5)	195	24.1 (35)	
Moore	1,066	11.6 (27)	47.5 (61)	853	24.0 (25)	49.0 (93)	175	32.0 (27)	353	41.6 (74)	
Nash	1,453	15.8 (87)	47.9 (63)	1,118	29.3 (69)	39.8 (44)	135	40.7 (45)	439	39.4 (70)	
New Hanove	2,106	14.6 (69)	42.2 (32)	1,869	23.1 (20)	43.4 (69)	330	29.7 (22)	1,050	19.0 (21)	
Northhampt	424	9.7 (13)	54.5 (89)	266	25.9 (43)	41.4 (59)	68	* *	145	42.1 (76)	
Onslow	4,987	17.4 (94)	36.1 (4)	4,106	19.6 (3)	42.7 (65)	893	19.4 (6)	4,279	15.0 (10)	
Orange	766	7.3 (1)	49.3 (68)	555	21.1 (11)	45.6 (87)	156	32.1 (28)	483	29.0 (48)	
Pamlico	191	13.6 (57)	46.6 (56)	141	24.1 (26)	43.3 (68)	5	* *	57	* *	
Pasquotank	664	12.3 (38)	50.0 (72)	560	29.6 (70)	38.0 (30)	102	43.1 (49)	457	38.3 (69)	

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

(2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

(3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

(4) Based on 1990 IOM report, "Nutrition During Pregnancy": underweight (BMI <19.8), overweight (BMI >26.0; includes overweight and obese women).

(5) Based on 1990 IOM report, "Nutrition During Pregnancy": underweight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 28 to 40 lbs), normal weight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 25 to 35 lbs), overweight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 15 to 25 lbs), obese prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 15 to 25 lbs).

(6) Based on 1990 MMWR, "Recommendations to Prevent and Control Iron Deficiency in the United States"; adjusted for altitude and smoking.

* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Table 5B
2001 - 2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance ⁽¹⁾
North Carolina
Comparison of Maternal Health Indicators by County
Combined 3 Years

Comparison of Maternal Health Indicators ^(2, 3)											
County	Prepregnancy BMI (4)			Weight Gain (5)				Anemia (Low Hb/Hct) (6)			
	Under-weight		Over-weight	< Ideal		> Ideal		3rd Trimester		Postpartum	
	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	
Pender	714	15.5 (82)	49.4 (69)	611	24.2 (28)	45.3 (82)	163	31.9 (26)	248	23.4 (31)	
Perquimans	174	8.0 (2)	52.9 (83)	39	* *	* *	28	* *	124	42.7 (79)	
Person	609	10.8 (19)	55.7 (92)	479	24.8 (32)	45.5 (85)	98	* *	230	27.0 (43)	
Pitt	2,535	10.7 (18)	53.1 (85)	1,922	28.3 (63)	38.2 (32)	436	45.9 (51)	586	41.8 (75)	
Polk	180	11.7 (30)	38.3 (8)	154	29.9 (73)	35.7 (18)	44	* *	114	26.3 (41)	
Randolph	1,842	12.4 (41)	46.9 (57)	1,387	20.1 (5)	46.6 (92)	190	19.5 (7)	1,499	16.3 (12)	
Richmond	1,008	13.9 (60)	44.4 (43)	915	23.6 (24)	49.0 (93)	167	40.1 (44)	305	40.0 (72)	
Robeson	3,231	14.8 (72)	46.5 (55)	2,203	31.4 (80)	39.1 (38)	800	18.1 (3)	815	29.9 (51)	
Rockingham	814	14.9 (74)	45.6 (50)	289	21.1 (11)	44.6 (78)	254	29.5 (21)	478	16.1 (11)	
Rowan	2,107	14.2 (64)	42.3 (33)	1,893	26.8 (52)	39.8 (44)	425	21.2 (8)	1,137	18.0 (16)	
Rutherford	1,076	17.2 (93)	40.4 (15)	724	38.0 (92)	32.9 (6)	171	34.5 (35)	448	29.2 (49)	
Sampson	1,268	9.0 (6)	53.3 (86)	964	25.1 (36)	42.3 (63)	227	33.5 (31)	588	21.4 (28)	
Scotland	849	11.8 (32)	52.9 (83)	719	29.1 (68)	41.2 (57)	92	* *	170	47.1 (81)	
Stanly	873	15.3 (81)	40.5 (16)	782	27.5 (58)	38.5 (33)	55	* *	188	36.2 (66)	

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

(2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

(3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

(4) Based on 1990 IOM report, "Nutrition During Pregnancy": underweight (BMI <19.8), overweight (BMI >26.0; includes overweight and obese women).

(5) Based on 1990 IOM report, "Nutrition During Pregnancy": underweight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 28 to 40 lbs), normal weight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 25 to 35 lbs), overweight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 15 to 25 lbs), obese prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 15 to 25 lbs).

(6) Based on 1990 MMWR, "Recommendations to Prevent and Control Iron Deficiency in the United States"; adjusted for altitude and smoking.

* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Table 5B
2001 - 2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance ⁽¹⁾
North Carolina
Comparison of Maternal Health Indicators by County
Combined 3 Years

Comparison of Maternal Health Indicators ^(2, 3)											
County	Prepregnancy BMI (4)			Weight Gain (5)			Anemia (Low Hb/Hct) (6)				
	Under-weight		Over-weight	< Ideal		> Ideal	3rd Trimester		Postpartum		
	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	
Stokes	526	16.5 (90)	40.1 (14)	416	23.3 (22)	43.0 (66)	30	* *	230	30.9 (54)	
Surry	854	14.6 (69)	41.5 (25)	560	24.6 (31)	42.0 (62)	206	18.9 (4)	507	18.1 (17)	
Swain	328	12.8 (45)	57.0 (96)	263	24.3 (29)	46.4 (91)	21	* *	176	14.8 (8)	
Transylvan	410	13.9 (60)	36.6 (5)	175	31.4 (80)	37.7 (28)	56	* *	107	36.4 (67)	
Tyrrell	89	* *	* *	66	* *	* *	6	* *	28	* *	
Union	1,711	14.3 (65)	42.4 (34)	1,370	30.6 (77)	33.1 (7)	449	39.4 (43)	1,071	25.9 (39)	
Vance	721	9.4 (9)	51.9 (81)	186	32.8 (86)	31.7 (3)	168	47.6 (52)	287	54.7 (86)	
Wake	5,666	12.1 (35)	44.8 (45)	3,642	30.5 (75)	35.2 (14)	1,328	29.4 (20)	3,562	22.1 (30)	
Warren	406	9.6 (11)	54.9 (90)	375	30.9 (78)	34.9 (10)	64	* *	108	33.3 (62)	
Washington	303	8.3 (3)	56.8 (94)	250	30.4 (74)	36.8 (23)	39	* *	83	* *	
Watauga	491	15.7 (84)	32.0 (3)	414	25.6 (41)	45.4 (83)	62	* *	298	11.7 (3)	
Wayne Coun	2,333	12.2 (37)	46.0 (52)	1,723	26.2 (46)	40.2 (48)	389	42.9 (48)	939	32.3 (59)	
Wilkes	1,175	15.7 (84)	48.0 (65)	901	24.8 (32)	44.8 (80)	258	26.0 (12)	464	22.0 (29)	
Wilson Cou	1,583	11.2 (24)	46.9 (57)	1,104	35.8 (89)	32.7 (5)	354	34.7 (36)	725	18.5 (18)	

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

(2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

(3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

(4) Based on 1990 IOM report, "Nutrition During Pregnancy": underweight (BMI <19.8), overweight (BMI >26.0; includes overweight and obese women).

(5) Based on 1990 IOM report, "Nutrition During Pregnancy": underweight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 28 to 40 lbs), normal weight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 25 to 35 lbs), overweight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 15 to 25 lbs), obese prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 15 to 25 lbs).

(6) Based on 1990 MMWR, "Recommendations to Prevent and Control Iron Deficiency in the United States"; adjusted for altitude and smoking.

* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Table 5B
2001 - 2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance ⁽¹⁾
North Carolina
Comparison of Maternal Health Indicators by County
Combined 3 Years

Comparison of Maternal Health Indicators ^(2, 3)										
County	Prepregnancy BMI (4)			Weight Gain (5)			Anemia (Low Hb/Hct) (6)			
	Under-weight		Over-weight	< Ideal		> Ideal	3rd Trimester		Postpartum	
	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
Yadkin	511	13.3 (51)	41.5 (25)	307	20.5 (8)	40.1 (46)	90	* *	260	26.2 (40)
Yancey	272	13.2 (50)	38.2 (7)	230	27.0 (55)	35.2 (14)	31	* *	147	12.9 (4)
Unknown Counties	589	11.9 (34)	42.8 (37)	431	26.5 (48)	41.3 (58)	71	* *	272	36.8 (68)
North Carolina	122,558	13.5	44.9	91,899	25.9	40.8	22,129	31.5	62,869	28.2

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

(2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

(3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

(4) Based on 1990 IOM report, "Nutrition During Pregnancy": underweight (BMI <19.8), overweight (BMI >26.0; includes overweight and obese women).

(5) Based on 1990 IOM report, "Nutrition During Pregnancy": underweight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 28 to 40 lbs), normal weight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 25 to 35 lbs), overweight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 15 to 25 lbs), obese prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 15 to 25 lbs).

(6) Based on 1990 MMWR, "Recommendations to Prevent and Control Iron Deficiency in the United States"; adjusted for altitude and smoking.

* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Table 6B
2001 - 2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance ⁽¹⁾
North Carolina
Comparison of Maternal Behavioral Indicators by County
Combined 3 Years

Comparison of Maternal Behavioral Indicators ^(2, 3)					
County	Medical Care			WIC Enrollment	
	1st Trimester		No Care	1st Trimester	
	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
Alamance	2,594	73.7 (78)	0.5 (24)	2,574	30.3 (60)
Alexander	581	72.5 (83)	0.2 (3)	580	40.9 (29)
Alleghany	153	85.6 (22)	0.7 (39)	152	45.4 (14)
Anson	700	79.0 (51)	1.1 (71)	700	34.9 (43)
Ashe	455	87.5 (18)	0.2 (3)	452	51.3 (7)
Avery	271	88.2 (15)	0.7 (39)	271	45.4 (14)
Beaufort	1,069	75.4 (67)	0.8 (51)	1,054	47.7 (11)
Bertie	577	85.1 (24)	0.7 (39)	576	36.3 (41)
Bladen	843	64.9 (97)	0.5 (24)	847	41.2 (28)
Brunswick	1,542	78.5 (53)	0.8 (51)	1,537	40.1 (33)
Buncombe	3,702	90.5 (7)	0.3 (11)	3,689	42.4 (25)
Burke	1,502	76.4 (62)	0.4 (16)	1,518	28.5 (70)
Cabarrus	2,621	73.0 (81)	0.5 (24)	2,611	22.4 (91)
Caldwell	1,617	91.1 (5)	0.6 (30)	1,613	52.4 (5)
Camden	105	81.0 (41)	0.0 (1)	106	30.2 (61)
Carteret	884	89.6 (10)	0.2 (3)	884	34.4 (46)

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

(2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

(3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 6B
2001 - 2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance ⁽¹⁾
North Carolina
Comparison of Maternal Behavioral Indicators by County
Combined 3 Years

Comparison of Maternal Behavioral Indicators ^(2, 3)					
County	Medical Care			WIC Enrollment	
	1st Trimester		No Care	1st Trimester	
	Number	% (Rank)	%	Number	% (Rank)
Caswell	341	81.2 (40)	0.6 (30)	342	37.7 (38)
Catawba	2,579	68.8 (89)	0.4 (16)	2,576	28.9 (66)
Chatham	767	71.2 (84)	0.4 (16)	768	26.7 (79)
Cherokee	546	88.5 (14)	0.4 (16)	544	44.1 (19)
Chowan	310	81.0 (41)	0.6 (30)	309	29.4 (65)
Clay	150	90.0 (8)	0.0 (1)	149	49.7 (9)
Cleveland	1,981	75.1 (68)	0.9 (62)	1,976	34.4 (46)
Columbus	1,517	67.6 (94)	1.6 (93)	1,543	39.0 (35)
Craven	2,540	76.0 (65)	0.6 (30)	2,555	30.0 (62)
Cumberland	9,490	84.8 (27)	0.6 (30)	9,444	21.3 (94)
Currituck	186	80.6 (45)	1.1 (71)	185	43.8 (21)
Dare	369	83.5 (28)	0.3 (11)	370	28.4 (72)
Davidson	2,664	76.2 (64)	0.8 (51)	2,653	31.6 (57)
Davie	593	86.2 (20)	1.2 (79)	592	29.6 (64)
Duplin	1,425	76.3 (63)	1.5 (89)	1,423	33.6 (51)
Durham	4,714	83.2 (29)	1.4 (84)	4,747	17.9 (99)

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

(2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

(3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 6B
2001 - 2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance ⁽¹⁾
North Carolina
Comparison of Maternal Behavioral Indicators by County
Combined 3 Years

Comparison of Maternal Behavioral Indicators ^(2, 3)					
County	Medical Care			WIC Enrollment	
	1st Trimester		No Care	1st Trimester	
	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
Edgecombe	1,516	61.0 (99)	0.8 (51)	1,518	28.3 (74)
Forsyth	6,071	85.0 (26)	1.0 (70)	6,038	18.2 (98)
Franklin	752	80.5 (46)	0.9 (62)	765	27.6 (77)
Gaston	3,712	73.9 (76)	0.9 (62)	3,725	21.3 (94)
Gates	108	82.4 (35)	4.6 (100)	107	21.5 (93)
Graham	220	87.3 (19)	0.5 (24)	219	56.2 (1)
Granville	874	81.5 (37)	0.3 (11)	872	33.7 (50)
Greene	528	82.4 (35)	1.1 (71)	520	40.6 (32)
Guilford	7,795	78.0 (55)	1.1 (71)	7,773	22.9 (90)
Halifax	1,643	81.3 (39)	0.9 (62)	1,645	25.4 (84)
Harnett	2,131	74.1 (74)	0.6 (30)	2,129	28.5 (70)
Haywood	906	87.9 (16)	0.6 (30)	906	44.7 (18)
Henderson	1,554	89.4 (11)	0.4 (16)	1,551	30.4 (59)
Hertford	544	82.7 (34)	0.6 (30)	542	26.4 (81)
Hoke	1,179	79.7 (49)	1.4 (84)	1,176	24.8 (86)
Hyde	118	74.6 (70)	1.7 (95)	115	28.7 (67)

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

(2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

(3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 6B
2001 - 2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance ⁽¹⁾
North Carolina
Comparison of Maternal Behavioral Indicators by County
Combined 3 Years

Comparison of Maternal Behavioral Indicators ^(2, 3)					
County	Medical Care			WIC Enrollment	
	1st Trimester		No Care	1st Trimester	
	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
Iredell	2,242	60.7(100)	1.5 (89)	2,229	19.5 (97)
Jackson	711	89.3 (12)	0.8 (51)	704	44.9 (17)
Johnston	2,599	65.3 (96)	1.8 (96)	2,595	28.0 (75)
Jones	203	76.8 (60)	0.5 (24)	201	41.8 (27)
Lee	1,338	68.5 (90)	0.7 (39)	1,331	25.2 (85)
Lenoir	1,367	73.8 (77)	0.7 (39)	1,379	32.3 (53)
Lincoln	1,120	77.9 (56)	0.4 (16)	1,111	23.2 (89)
Macon	912	85.9 (21)	0.4 (16)	939	42.6 (24)
Madison	595	85.5 (23)	0.2 (3)	591	44.0 (20)
Martin	466	90.6 (6)	0.2 (3)	467	48.4 (10)
Mcdowell	639	82.8 (33)	0.8 (51)	639	38.2 (37)
Mecklenbur	9,916	73.0 (81)	1.2 (79)	9,951	15.1(100)
Mitchell	299	90.0 (8)	0.7 (39)	297	45.8 (13)
Montgomery	725	70.1 (86)	1.1 (71)	718	28.6 (69)
Moore	1,338	74.9 (69)	1.1 (71)	1,328	31.8 (56)
Nash	1,827	67.7 (93)	1.2 (79)	1,851	34.3 (48)

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

(2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

(3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 6B
2001 - 2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance ⁽¹⁾
North Carolina
Comparison of Maternal Behavioral Indicators by County
Combined 3 Years

Comparison of Maternal Behavioral Indicators ^(2, 3)					
County	Medical Care			WIC Enrollment	
	1st Trimester		No Care	1st Trimester	
	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
New Hanove	2,656	68.3 (91)	0.9 (62)	2,634	26.9 (78)
Northhampt	503	83.1 (30)	1.6 (93)	504	42.7 (23)
Onslow	5,976	91.3 (3)	0.3 (11)	5,951	28.7 (67)
Orange	1,044	77.8 (57)	1.1 (71)	1,039	24.4 (87)
Pamlico	224	71.0 (85)	0.9 (62)	220	47.7 (11)
Pasquotank	799	74.6 (70)	1.4 (84)	803	32.1 (54)
Pender	911	69.5 (88)	2.0 (99)	906	32.0 (55)
Perquimans	208	74.0 (75)	1.4 (84)	204	28.4 (72)
Person	723	79.7 (49)	0.8 (51)	724	36.6 (40)
Pitt	3,133	80.5 (46)	1.3 (83)	3,080	34.8 (44)
Polk	246	78.9 (52)	0.8 (51)	249	26.1 (82)
Randolph	2,697	80.0 (48)	0.9 (62)	2,694	33.2 (52)
Richmond	1,356	77.8 (57)	1.8 (96)	1,340	43.4 (22)
Robeson	4,066	73.5 (80)	0.7 (39)	4,034	22.2 (92)
Rockingham	1,696	81.5 (37)	0.5 (24)	1,712	34.8 (44)
Rowan	2,608	69.6 (87)	1.5 (89)	2,596	30.5 (58)

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

(2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

(3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Table 6B
2001 - 2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance ⁽¹⁾
North Carolina
Comparison of Maternal Behavioral Indicators by County
Combined 3 Years

Comparison of Maternal Behavioral Indicators ^(2, 3)					
County	Medical Care			WIC Enrollment	
	1st Trimester		No Care	1st Trimester	
	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
Rutherford	1,291	80.9 (43)	0.8 (51)	1,308	39.4 (34)
Sampson	1,720	74.4 (72)	0.6 (30)	1,719	35.3 (42)
Scotland	1,079	73.7 (78)	1.1 (71)	1,051	51.5 (6)
Stanly	1,090	82.9 (32)	0.3 (11)	1,098	29.7 (63)
Stokes	729	92.2 (1)	0.7 (39)	718	40.7 (31)
Surry	1,522	77.6 (59)	0.4 (16)	1,515	38.7 (36)
Swain	431	87.7 (17)	0.7 (39)	423	54.6 (2)
Transylvan	475	91.2 (4)	0.2 (3)	472	54.2 (4)
Tyrrell	96	* *	* *	98	* *
Union	2,361	74.4 (72)	1.2 (79)	2,342	20.6 (96)
Vance	1,394	66.6 (95)	1.9 (98)	1,401	23.3 (88)
Wake	8,809	62.4 (98)	0.8 (51)	8,886	25.5 (83)
Warren	459	80.8 (44)	0.7 (39)	460	45.0 (16)
Washington	353	76.8 (60)	1.4 (84)	347	40.9 (29)
Watauga	543	85.1 (24)	0.2 (3)	541	54.5 (3)
Wayne Coun	2,884	68.0 (92)	1.5 (89)	2,890	27.9 (76)

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

(2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

(3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 6B
2001 - 2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance ⁽¹⁾
North Carolina
Comparison of Maternal Behavioral Indicators by County
Combined 3 Years

Comparison of Maternal Behavioral Indicators ^(2, 3)					
County	Medical Care			WIC Enrollment	
	1st Trimester		No Care	1st Trimester	
	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
Wilkes	1,387	83.1 (30)	0.7 (39)	1,377	26.5 (80)
Wilson Cou	1,997	78.1 (54)	0.8 (51)	1,983	34.2 (49)
Yadkin	629	88.7 (13)	0.2 (3)	627	37.3 (39)
Yancey	319	91.5 (2)	0.9 (62)	315	50.5 (8)
Unknown Counties	679	75.6 (66)	0.7 (39)	680	42.4 (25)
North Carolina	164,429	77.2	0.9	164,213	29.0

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

(2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

(3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 7B
2001 - 2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance (1)
North Carolina
Comparison of Smoking Indicators by County
Combined 3 Years

Comparison of Smoking Indicators (2, 3)										
County	Smoking				Quit Smoking By First Prenatal Visit and Stayed Off Cigarettes (4)		Smoking in Household			
	3 Months Prior to Pregnancy		Last 3 Months of Pregnancy				Prenatal		Postpartum	
	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
Alamance	2,149	34.8 (57)	2,599	20.4 (44)	734	29.2 (27)	0	* *	0	* *
Alexander	526	45.4 (85)	582	30.6 (81)	238	21.4 (60)	0	* *	0	* *
Alleghany	137	39.4 (69)	152	31.6 (88)	54	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Anson	605	32.4 (49)	705	19.9 (42)	196	35.2 (11)	0	* *	0	* *
Ashe	391	50.9 (91)	454	32.6 (93)	196	29.6 (26)	0	* *	0	* *
Avery	238	42.0 (75)	270	29.6 (76)	99	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Beaufort	949	33.6 (53)	1,059	20.9 (47)	305	28.9 (29)	0	* *	0	* *
Bertie	505	15.2 (9)	576	5.6 (2)	77	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Bladen	759	17.1 (14)	845	18.8 (34)	127	12.6 (77)	0	* *	0	* *
Brunswick	1,364	44.2 (82)	1,544	32.0 (91)	602	24.9 (47)	0	* *	0	* *
Buncombe	3,185	39.5 (70)	3,705	25.2 (64)	1,253	26.4 (37)	0	* *	0	* *
Burke	832	51.2 (93)	1,527	28.1 (71)	418	19.4 (71)	0	* *	0	* *
Cabarrus	2,229	27.4 (42)	2,615	16.1 (28)	583	38.9 (8)	0	* *	0	* *
Caldwell	1,437	47.3 (87)	1,611	33.5 (96)	675	21.3 (61)	0	* *	0	* *
Camden	90	* *	104	19.2 (38)	30	* *	0	* *	0	* *

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

(2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

(3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

(4) Among women who smoked 3 months prior to pregnancy.

* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 7B
2001 - 2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance (1)
North Carolina
Comparison of Smoking Indicators by County
Combined 3 Years

Comparison of Smoking Indicators (2, 3)										
County	Smoking				Quit Smoking By First Prenatal Visit and Stayed Off Cigarettes (4)		Smoking in Household			
	3 Months Prior to Pregnancy		Last 3 Months of Pregnancy				Prenatal		Postpartum	
	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
Carteret	729	44.7 (83)	885	29.7 (78)	320	31.6 (18)	0	* *	0	* *
Caswell	293	34.1 (54)	343	31.5 (86)	99	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Catawba	2,135	36.6 (64)	2,580	24.9 (61)	779	25.8 (40)	0	* *	0	* *
Chatham	644	14.6 (7)	769	15.3 (20)	93	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Cherokee	470	48.1 (88)	547	37.1 (97)	225	22.7 (54)	0	* *	0	* *
Chowan	288	36.1 (61)	310	17.4 (32)	104	42.3 (4)	0	* *	0	* *
Clay	134	44.0 (81)	150	38.7 (99)	59	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Cleveland	1,809	42.5 (77)	1,987	26.3 (65)	763	32.9 (17)	0	* *	0	* *
Columbus	1,278	15.3 (10)	1,535	20.4 (44)	191	25.1 (46)	0	* *	0	* *
Craven	1,778	30.1 (47)	2,544	19.1 (36)	525	34.9 (12)	0	* *	0	* *
Cumberland	7,357	29.3 (44)	9,386	15.5 (24)	2,105	43.8 (3)	0	* *	0	* *
Currituck	158	79.1 (97)	187	31.0 (83)	121	0.8 (82)	0	* *	0	* *
Dare	281	49.1 (90)	358	24.6 (59)	127	40.2 (5)	0	* *	0	* *
Davidson	2,013	41.2 (72)	2,678	29.2 (74)	826	21.1 (63)	0	* *	0	* *
Davie	485	36.5 (62)	593	31.7 (89)	176	6.8 (81)	0	* *	0	* *

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

(2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

(3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

(4) Among women who smoked 3 months prior to pregnancy.

* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 7B
2001 - 2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance (1)
North Carolina
Comparison of Smoking Indicators by County
Combined 3 Years

Comparison of Smoking Indicators (2, 3)										
County	Smoking				Quit Smoking By First Prenatal Visit and Stayed Off Cigarettes (4)		Smoking in Household			
	3 Months Prior to Pregnancy		Last 3 Months of Pregnancy				Prenatal		Postpartum	
	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
Duplin	1,196	16.1 (12)	1,445	13.9 (15)	192	20.3 (66)	0	* *	0	* *
Durham	3,504	16.5 (13)	4,794	8.4 (3)	565	36.3 (10)	0	* *	0	* *
Edgecombe	953	21.8 (23)	1,525	15.3 (20)	204	20.6 (65)	0	* *	0	* *
Forsyth	4,795	21.6 (21)	6,071	17.3 (30)	1,023	27.9 (32)	0	* *	0	* *
Franklin	674	26.1 (37)	771	13.1 (11)	174	39.1 (7)	0	* *	0	* *
Gaston	3,070	41.8 (74)	3,729	29.4 (75)	1,255	25.4 (43)	0	* *	0	* *
Gates	90	* *	109	13.8 (14)	20	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Graham	194	21.6 (21)	220	28.6 (72)	42	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Granville	732	26.2 (39)	876	16.0 (26)	188	27.7 (33)	0	* *	0	* *
Greene	466	15.9 (11)	529	12.5 (10)	74	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Guilford	6,025	25.7 (35)	7,802	15.4 (22)	1,526	28.9 (29)	0	* *	0	* *
Halifax	1,357	26.1 (37)	1,646	19.1 (36)	353	31.4 (19)	0	* *	0	* *
Harnett	1,831	35.5 (60)	2,146	20.6 (46)	644	31.1 (20)	0	* *	0	* *
Haywood	766	53.7 (94)	904	37.5 (98)	409	24.4 (48)	0	* *	0	* *
Henderson	1,177	30.0 (46)	1,552	22.5 (56)	349	21.8 (56)	0	* *	0	* *

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

(2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

(3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

(4) Among women who smoked 3 months prior to pregnancy.

* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 7B
2001 - 2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance (1)
North Carolina
Comparison of Smoking Indicators by County
Combined 3 Years

Comparison of Smoking Indicators (2, 3)										
County	Smoking				Quit Smoking By First Prenatal Visit and Stayed Off Cigarettes (4)		Smoking in Household			
	3 Months Prior to Pregnancy		Last 3 Months of Pregnancy				Prenatal		Postpartum	
	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
Hertford	487	23.6 (30)	544	5.0 (1)	115	49.6 (1)	0	* *	0	* *
Hoke	958	20.7 (18)	1,182	14.2 (16)	195	34.4 (14)	0	* *	0	* *
Hyde	98	* *	117	9.4 (4)	12	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Iredell	1,850	32.9 (51)	2,243	26.9 (68)	608	19.4 (71)	0	* *	0	* *
Jackson	590	37.6 (67)	709	27.6 (69)	217	25.3 (44)	0	* *	0	* *
Johnston	1,798	9.3 (3)	2,635	19.5 (40)	166	25.3 (44)	0	* *	0	* *
Jones	176	34.7 (56)	204	21.1 (48)	60	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Lee	1,152	22.9 (26)	1,344	15.2 (19)	262	30.5 (24)	0	* *	0	* *
Lenoir	1,251	29.4 (45)	1,378	19.7 (41)	361	28.0 (31)	0	* *	0	* *
Lincoln	884	42.0 (75)	1,117	28.8 (73)	360	25.6 (42)	0	* *	0	* *
Macon	788	45.1 (84)	943	29.9 (79)	351	21.7 (58)	0	* *	0	* *
Madison	499	51.1 (92)	596	33.1 (94)	254	29.1 (28)	0	* *	0	* *
Martin	418	42.8 (78)	466	33.3 (95)	179	12.8 (76)	0	* *	0	* *
Mcdowell	564	19.5 (17)	643	15.4 (22)	110	20.0 (68)	0	* *	0	* *
Mecklenbur	8,054	9.1 (2)	10,032	11.3 (7)	720	33.5 (15)	0	* *	0	* *

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

(2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

(3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

(4) Among women who smoked 3 months prior to pregnancy.

* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 7B
2001 - 2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance (1)
North Carolina
Comparison of Smoking Indicators by County
Combined 3 Years

Comparison of Smoking Indicators (2, 3)										
County	Smoking				Quit Smoking By First Prenatal Visit and Stayed Off Cigarettes (4)		Smoking in Household			
	3 Months Prior to Pregnancy		Last 3 Months of Pregnancy				Prenatal		Postpartum	
	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
Mitchell	243	45.7 (86)	303	31.0 (83)	110	30.9 (21)	0	* *	0	* *
Montgomery	565	26.2 (39)	732	16.0 (26)	147	30.6 (22)	0	* *	0	* *
Moore	1,085	28.1 (43)	1,362	21.6 (51)	301	22.6 (55)	0	* *	0	* *
Nash	1,585	23.7 (31)	1,863	20.0 (43)	372	19.9 (69)	0	* *	0	* *
New Hanove	2,173	32.9 (51)	2,659	26.7 (67)	708	25.8 (40)	0	* *	0	* *
Northhampt	444	22.5 (25)	506	19.4 (39)	100	15.0 (75)	0	* *	0	* *
Onslow	5,004	32.8 (50)	5,977	14.7 (17)	1,632	48.0 (2)	0	* *	0	* *
Orange	800	21.8 (23)	1,039	19.0 (35)	168	19.6 (70)	0	* *	0	* *
Pamlico	192	36.5 (62)	225	32.4 (92)	70	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Pasquotank	697	23.1 (28)	801	12.2 (8)	160	36.9 (9)	0	* *	0	* *
Pender	739	26.3 (41)	911	25.1 (62)	190	16.8 (74)	0	* *	0	* *
Perquimans	187	23.0 (27)	208	15.9 (25)	43	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Person	614	39.1 (68)	726	24.7 (60)	237	27.0 (35)	0	* *	0	* *
Pitt	2,576	21.0 (20)	3,141	13.7 (13)	536	34.5 (13)	0	* *	0	* *
Polk	181	37.0 (65)	243	21.4 (50)	66	* *	0	* *	0	* *

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

(2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

(3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

(4) Among women who smoked 3 months prior to pregnancy.

* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 7B
2001 - 2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance (1)
North Carolina
Comparison of Smoking Indicators by County
Combined 3 Years

Comparison of Smoking Indicators (2, 3)										
County	Smoking				Quit Smoking By First Prenatal Visit and Stayed Off Cigarettes (4)		Smoking in Household			
	3 Months Prior to Pregnancy		Last 3 Months of Pregnancy				Prenatal		Postpartum	
	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
Randolph	2,226	34.9 (58)	2,727	22.3 (55)	769	26.4 (37)	0	* *	0	* *
Richmond	1,090	39.9 (71)	1,366	25.1 (62)	434	30.6 (22)	0	* *	0	* *
Robeson	3,276	19.0 (16)	4,072	22.2 (54)	615	7.5 (80)	0	* *	0	* *
Rockingham	1,407	34.5 (55)	1,742	27.8 (70)	483	24.4 (48)	0	* *	0	* *
Rowan	1,947	31.5 (48)	2,615	21.8 (52)	599	23.2 (52)	0	* *	0	* *
Rutherford	1,080	43.7 (80)	1,204	31.5 (86)	431	21.8 (56)	0	* *	0	* *
Sampson	1,380	14.6 (7)	1,733	12.3 (9)	187	23.0 (53)	0	* *	0	* *
Scotland	974	23.3 (29)	1,080	23.2 (57)	225	12.4 (78)	0	* *	0	* *
Stanly	909	5.7 (1)	1,098	23.3 (58)	52	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Stokes	569	57.6 (95)	733	43.9 (100)	325	20.3 (66)	0	* *	0	* *
Surry	1,218	35.0 (59)	1,528	30.4 (80)	424	11.3 (79)	0	* *	0	* *
Swain	355	78.6 (96)	431	30.9 (82)	279	20.8 (64)	0	* *	0	* *
Transylvan	420	48.6 (89)	477	29.6 (76)	203	27.1 (34)	0	* *	0	* *
Tyrrell	90	* *	97	* *	25	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Union	1,757	20.9 (19)	2,373	16.9 (29)	366	26.2 (39)	0	* *	0	* *

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

(2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

(3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

(4) Among women who smoked 3 months prior to pregnancy.

* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 7B
2001 - 2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance (1)
North Carolina
Comparison of Smoking Indicators by County
Combined 3 Years

Comparison of Smoking Indicators (2, 3)										
County	Smoking				Quit Smoking By First Prenatal Visit and Stayed Off Cigarettes (4)		Smoking in Household			
	3 Months Prior to Pregnancy		Last 3 Months of Pregnancy				Prenatal		Postpartum	
	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
Vance	1,116	14.3 (6)	1,413	17.3 (30)	158	23.4 (51)	0	* *	0	* *
Wake	6,247	11.4 (4)	8,934	10.5 (5)	703	24.0 (50)	0	* *	0	* *
Warren	411	25.8 (36)	463	15.1 (18)	105	33.3 (16)	0	* *	0	* *
Washington	306	13.4 (5)	353	10.8 (6)	40	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Watauga	488	41.4 (73)	541	21.8 (52)	199	39.2 (6)	0	* *	0	* *
Wayne Coun	2,385	24.6 (33)	2,926	18.4 (33)	579	29.9 (25)	0	* *	0	* *
Wilkes	1,182	43.3 (79)	1,390	31.7 (89)	512	21.3 (61)	0	* *	0	* *
Wilson Cou	1,769	17.5 (15)	2,001	13.4 (12)	309	26.5 (36)	0	* *	0	* *
Yadkin	522	37.0 (65)	629	31.2 (85)	192	19.3 (73)	0	* *	0	* *
Yancey	274	24.1 (32)	319	26.6 (66)	65	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Unknown Counties	634	25.2 (34)	683	21.1 (48)	157	21.7 (58)	0	* *	0	* *
North Carolina	132,762	27.8	165,096	19.6	36,364	27.8	0	*	0	*

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

(2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

(3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

(4) Among women who smoked 3 months prior to pregnancy.

* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 8B
2001 - 2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance ⁽¹⁾
North Carolina
Comparison of Infant Health Indicators by County
Combined 3 Years

Comparison of Infant Health Indicators ^(2, 3)									
County	Birthweight			Preterm (6)		Full Term LBW (7)		Ever Breastfed	
	Low (4)	High (5)							
	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
Alamance	2,597	8.1 (21)	8.0 (78)	2,558	13.0 (26)	2,226	3.5 (25)	2,151	52.4 (43)
Alexander	582	6.9 (7)	7.0 (48)	578	10.4 (2)	518	2.9 (9)	347	44.7 (67)
Alleghany	153	10.5 (79)	5.2 (12)	152	19.1 (93)	123	6.5 (96)	117	60.7 (28)
Anson	701	9.0 (41)	6.1 (24)	693	13.9 (39)	597	4.5 (73)	677	34.3 (87)
Ashe	452	7.5 (12)	5.1 (10)	446	11.9 (12)	393	4.3 (64)	411	52.1 (45)
Avery	271	10.7 (81)	7.0 (48)	269	16.4 (76)	225	4.9 (82)	139	67.6 (20)
Beaufort	1,064	9.7 (59)	7.2 (60)	1,038	15.6 (66)	876	4.7 (79)	973	45.9 (62)
Bertie	573	9.6 (57)	5.1 (10)	570	14.6 (47)	487	2.5 (5)	502	25.5 (93)
Bladen	843	10.0 (66)	6.6 (37)	836	17.0 (81)	694	4.3 (64)	727	40.4 (79)
Brunswick	1,540	10.1 (68)	6.6 (37)	1,527	15.1 (58)	1,296	4.5 (73)	1,150	46.9 (60)
Buncombe	3,685	10.1 (68)	7.1 (56)	3,662	13.1 (28)	3,182	4.0 (49)	3,407	89.1 (2)
Burke	1,523	8.8 (39)	4.9 (8)	1,503	14.8 (55)	1,281	3.8 (36)	1,321	48.4 (57)
Cabarrus	2,634	8.5 (32)	6.2 (25)	2,588	14.7 (51)	2,207	3.2 (14)	2,459	59.5 (30)
Caldwell	1,609	9.5 (54)	7.0 (48)	1,602	12.3 (16)	1,404	4.8 (81)	1,507	55.5 (37)

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.
(2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.
(3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.
(4) <2500 g.
(5) >4000 g.
(6) <37 weeks gestation.
(7) 37 or more weeks gestation and <2500 g.
* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Table 8B
2001 - 2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance ⁽¹⁾
North Carolina
Comparison of Infant Health Indicators by County
Combined 3 Years

Comparison of Infant Health Indicators ^(2, 3)										
County	Birthweight			Preterm (6)		Full Term LBW (7)		Ever Breastfed		
	Low (4)	High (5)								
	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	
Camden	105	9.5 (54)	5.7 (16)	105	11.4 (8)	93	* *	93	* *	
Carteret	886	7.4 (11)	9.5 (94)	872	10.8 (4)	778	3.3 (18)	686	51.2 (49)	
Caswell	340	10.0 (66)	6.5 (33)	335	13.7 (38)	289	4.5 (73)	314	40.8 (76)	
Catawba	2,581	9.0 (41)	6.7 (41)	2,562	12.1 (14)	2,251	4.1 (57)	2,054	62.1 (27)	
Chatham	773	9.1 (45)	7.9 (75)	762	14.6 (47)	651	4.0 (49)	588	71.9 (12)	
Cherokee	546	8.2 (26)	6.2 (25)	540	16.5 (78)	451	3.3 (18)	517	57.1 (34)	
Chowan	309	11.3 (90)	4.5 (7)	305	15.4 (61)	258	5.8 (89)	274	19.7 (96)	
Clay	148	8.1 (21)	8.8 (88)	147	16.3 (74)	123	4.1 (57)	136	54.4 (40)	
Cleveland	1,985	9.4 (53)	8.4 (82)	1,961	12.8 (24)	1,710	4.2 (62)	1,572	23.1 (94)	
Columbus	1,554	11.1 (86)	7.6 (68)	1,523	17.3 (83)	1,260	5.8 (89)	1,326	15.5 (97)	
Craven	2,565	8.4 (29)	10.0 (98)	2,530	12.6 (19)	2,211	3.9 (45)	2,163	65.1 (21)	
Cumberland	9,470	9.3 (51)	7.4 (66)	9,349	15.4 (61)	7,907	3.8 (36)	8,818	55.3 (39)	
Currituck	188	6.4 (4)	8.0 (78)	184	12.0 (13)	162	3.1 (12)	158	85.4 (6)	
Dare	371	5.7 (3)	9.2 (91)	367	7.6 (1)	339	4.1 (57)	265	88.7 (3)	

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.
(2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.
(3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.
(4) <2500 g.
(5) >4000 g.
(6) <37 weeks gestation.
(7) 37 or more weeks gestation and <2500 g.
* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Table 8B
2001 - 2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance ⁽¹⁾
North Carolina
Comparison of Infant Health Indicators by County
Combined 3 Years

Comparison of Infant Health Indicators ^(2, 3)									
County	Birthweight			Preterm (6)		Full Term LBW (7)		Ever Breastfed	
	Low (4)	High (5)							
	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
Davidson	2,669	9.7 (59)	7.0 (48)	2,626	14.6 (47)	2,243	3.8 (36)	2,425	48.9 (54)
Davie	590	9.7 (59)	7.1 (56)	588	14.6 (47)	502	6.4 (95)	370	88.6 (4)
Duplin	1,442	8.8 (39)	4.4 (6)	1,413	19.3 (96)	1,140	3.6 (29)	1,331	55.4 (38)
Durham	4,793	11.7 (92)	6.5 (33)	4,707	19.4 (98)	3,790	5.9 (92)	4,299	57.2 (33)
Edgecombe	1,515	11.2 (87)	5.4 (13)	1,494	18.0 (91)	1,225	4.9 (82)	1,423	28.0 (91)
Forsyth	6,064	10.3 (75)	6.4 (32)	5,988	17.0 (81)	4,965	4.0 (49)	5,603	67.8 (19)
Franklin	767	9.8 (63)	6.6 (37)	757	17.3 (83)	626	4.5 (73)	688	35.6 (83)
Gaston	3,744	9.5 (54)	8.6 (86)	3,683	13.5 (34)	3,187	3.7 (33)	3,518	37.0 (82)
Gates	109	13.8 (100)	2.8 (1)	107	17.8 (90)	88	* *	95	* *
Graham	220	5.5 (2)	10.9 (99)	218	14.7 (51)	186	1.1 (1)	212	52.4 (43)
Granville	876	8.1 (21)	7.0 (48)	867	14.9 (56)	738	3.8 (36)	799	40.9 (75)
Greene	524	11.8 (93)	6.5 (33)	513	16.0 (71)	431	4.6 (78)	495	45.3 (64)
Guilford	7,788	10.2 (71)	6.3 (29)	7,698	13.9 (39)	6,624	3.9 (45)	7,089	56.5 (36)
Halifax	1,631	12.1 (94)	4.0 (2)	1,618	20.0 (99)	1,295	6.5 (96)	1,538	45.3 (64)

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.
(2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.
(3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.
(4) <2500 g.
(5) >4000 g.
(6) <37 weeks gestation.
(7) 37 or more weeks gestation and <2500 g.
* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Table 8B
2001 - 2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance ⁽¹⁾
North Carolina
Comparison of Infant Health Indicators by County
Combined 3 Years

Comparison of Infant Health Indicators ^(2, 3)									
County	Birthweight			Preterm (6)		Full Term LBW (7)		Ever Breastfed	
	Low (4)	High (5)							
	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
Harnett	2,146	9.1 (45)	7.7 (72)	2,114	15.5 (63)	1,787	3.5 (25)	1,827	41.2 (73)
Haywood	905	8.7 (36)	9.3 (92)	902	11.4 (8)	799	4.1 (57)	780	63.5 (25)
Henderson	1,550	8.1 (21)	6.3 (29)	1,534	13.2 (31)	1,332	3.8 (36)	1,393	75.7 (8)
Hertford	541	13.5 (99)	4.1 (3)	537	14.9 (56)	457	6.1 (93)	513	29.4 (90)
Hoke	1,181	11.2 (87)	7.3 (62)	1,164	17.4 (85)	962	4.3 (64)	1,075	49.3 (53)
Hyde	117	7.7 (15)	4.3 (5)	114	14.0 (41)	98	* *	111	64.0 (23)
Iredell	2,238	9.9 (65)	5.8 (17)	2,216	13.4 (32)	1,919	4.4 (70)	1,888	52.5 (42)
Jackson	707	7.9 (17)	9.9 (97)	698	11.2 (5)	620	2.9 (9)	630	63.8 (24)
Johnston	2,624	8.4 (29)	6.8 (46)	2,572	15.6 (66)	2,170	3.8 (36)	2,272	42.3 (69)
Jones	205	13.2 (98)	8.3 (81)	200	14.0 (41)	172	5.8 (89)	130	48.5 (56)
Lee	1,341	7.3 (9)	6.2 (25)	1,320	13.6 (37)	1,141	3.3 (18)	1,263	50.8 (50)
Lenoir	1,380	10.7 (81)	6.6 (37)	1,365	16.3 (74)	1,143	4.0 (49)	1,028	37.7 (81)
Lincoln	1,124	9.0 (41)	6.0 (22)	1,100	12.3 (16)	965	3.4 (23)	736	47.0 (59)
Macon	947	9.2 (48)	7.2 (60)	933	14.5 (46)	798	3.8 (36)	827	52.1 (45)

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.
(2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.
(3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.
(4) <2500 g.
(5) >4000 g.
(6) <37 weeks gestation.
(7) 37 or more weeks gestation and <2500 g.
* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Table 8B
2001 - 2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance ⁽¹⁾
North Carolina
Comparison of Infant Health Indicators by County
Combined 3 Years

Comparison of Infant Health Indicators ^(2, 3)									
County	Birthweight			Preterm (6)		Full Term LBW (7)		Ever Breastfed	
	Low (4)	High (5)							
	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
Madison	594	8.1 (21)	9.4 (93)	587	12.6 (19)	513	2.7 (6)	522	70.3 (14)
Martin	466	11.2 (87)	7.9 (75)	465	11.6 (11)	411	6.1 (93)	422	95.0 (1)
Mcdowell	641	12.3 (95)	4.2 (4)	633	19.3 (96)	511	4.3 (64)	599	34.7 (86)
Mecklenbur	10,000	9.6 (57)	7.0 (48)	9,860	13.4 (32)	8,543	3.7 (33)	7,890	65.0 (22)
Mitchell	302	6.6 (6)	7.6 (68)	296	12.8 (24)	258	1.9 (4)	274	63.5 (25)
Montgomery	729	8.2 (26)	6.7 (41)	709	14.2 (45)	608	3.3 (18)	631	51.5 (48)
Moore	1,357	9.3 (51)	7.0 (48)	1,318	16.0 (71)	1,107	3.6 (29)	1,197	45.6 (63)
Nash	1,851	9.0 (41)	7.3 (62)	1,821	14.7 (51)	1,554	3.8 (36)	1,729	41.8 (71)
New Hanove	2,653	10.4 (77)	7.3 (62)	2,612	15.7 (68)	2,201	4.0 (49)	2,427	45.0 (66)
Northhampt	501	12.8 (97)	5.0 (9)	493	22.1 (100)	384	3.6 (29)	403	13.9 (98)
Onslow	5,952	7.1 (8)	8.4 (82)	5,880	10.5 (3)	5,263	3.2 (14)	5,193	53.9 (41)
Orange	1,047	9.1 (45)	9.8 (96)	1,027	12.7 (21)	897	3.6 (29)	833	68.8 (17)
Pamlico	224	7.6 (14)	8.9 (90)	220	12.7 (21)	192	1.6 (3)	193	67.9 (18)
Pasquotank	802	6.5 (5)	7.1 (56)	794	11.2 (5)	705	4.0 (49)	719	21.0 (95)

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.
(2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.
(3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.
(4) <2500 g.
(5) >4000 g.
(6) <37 weeks gestation.
(7) 37 or more weeks gestation and <2500 g.
* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Table 8B
2001 - 2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance ⁽¹⁾
North Carolina
Comparison of Infant Health Indicators by County
Combined 3 Years

Comparison of Infant Health Indicators ^(2, 3)									
County	Birthweight			Preterm (6)		Full Term LBW (7)		Ever Breastfed	
	Low (4)		High (5)						
	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
Pender	906	8.5 (32)	8.5 (84)	893	15.5 (63)	755	3.2 (14)	526	43.0 (68)
Perquimans	207	9.2 (48)	5.8 (17)	201	16.4 (76)	168	4.2 (62)	189	26.5 (92)
Person	730	11.4 (91)	8.6 (86)	714	15.5 (63)	603	5.0 (84)	622	41.0 (74)
Pitt	3,127	11.0 (84)	5.9 (21)	3,050	16.0 (71)	2,561	4.4 (70)	2,764	41.6 (72)
Polk	248	7.3 (9)	7.3 (62)	247	11.3 (7)	219	3.7 (33)	234	60.7 (28)
Randolph	2,721	8.4 (29)	7.6 (68)	2,669	13.5 (34)	2,309	3.4 (23)	2,408	68.9 (16)
Richmond	1,358	9.2 (48)	7.9 (75)	1,326	15.2 (60)	1,125	3.9 (45)	1,298	40.8 (76)
Robeson	4,059	10.9 (83)	6.2 (25)	3,982	16.8 (79)	3,312	5.6 (87)	3,232	35.1 (84)
Rockingham	1,739	10.2 (71)	6.7 (41)	1,702	15.7 (68)	1,434	4.3 (64)	1,617	41.9 (70)
Rowan	2,623	10.1 (68)	5.6 (15)	2,577	14.1 (43)	2,213	4.5 (73)	2,497	49.9 (52)
Rutherford	1,307	10.2 (71)	6.0 (22)	1,294	14.1 (43)	1,112	4.7 (79)	1,060	40.6 (78)
Sampson	1,725	9.7 (59)	7.7 (72)	1,700	16.8 (79)	1,414	4.1 (57)	1,336	46.9 (60)
Scotland	1,076	12.7 (96)	5.8 (17)	1,044	17.5 (87)	861	5.0 (84)	898	34.9 (85)
Stanly	1,094	11.0 (84)	5.5 (14)	1,088	17.6 (89)	896	5.1 (86)	929	71.9 (12)

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.
(2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.
(3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.
(4) <2500 g.
(5) >4000 g.
(6) <37 weeks gestation.
(7) 37 or more weeks gestation and <2500 g.
* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Table 8B
2001 - 2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance ⁽¹⁾
North Carolina
Comparison of Infant Health Indicators by County
Combined 3 Years

Comparison of Infant Health Indicators ^(2, 3)									
County	Birthweight			Preterm (6)		Full Term LBW (7)		Ever Breastfed	
	Low (4)	High (5)							
	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
Stokes	733	8.6 (34)	6.7 (41)	715	12.2 (15)	628	3.5 (25)	657	48.7 (55)
Surry	1,526	8.3 (28)	7.4 (66)	1,507	15.1 (58)	1,279	3.3 (18)	1,466	56.8 (35)
Swain	427	4.2 (1)	12.2 (100)	419	12.4 (18)	367	1.4 (2)	360	86.7 (5)
Transylvan	476	8.6 (34)	7.1 (56)	470	19.1 (93)	380	3.9 (45)	239	72.4 (10)
Tyrrell	97	* *	* *	97	* *	83	* *	76	* *
Union	2,366	8.7 (36)	5.8 (17)	2,323	14.7 (51)	1,981	4.0 (49)	2,153	73.2 (9)
Vance	1,410	10.4 (77)	6.7 (41)	1,390	19.1 (93)	1,125	3.8 (36)	1,291	58.9 (32)
Wake	8,902	7.9 (17)	8.8 (88)	8,789	13.1 (28)	7,639	3.1 (12)	6,478	76.7 (7)
Warren	461	9.8 (63)	6.5 (33)	456	17.5 (87)	376	3.5 (25)	434	38.5 (80)
Washington	350	10.3 (75)	6.3 (29)	337	18.1 (92)	276	4.3 (64)	305	31.5 (89)
Watauga	542	7.7 (15)	7.6 (68)	538	13.0 (26)	468	3.0 (11)	483	70.0 (15)
Wayne Coun	2,913	8.7 (36)	9.5 (94)	2,862	13.5 (34)	2,476	3.2 (14)	2,374	48.2 (58)
Wilkes	1,383	8.0 (20)	8.5 (84)	1,368	12.7 (21)	1,194	2.8 (7)	1,116	52.0 (47)
Wilson Cou	1,992	10.2 (71)	7.0 (48)	1,961	17.4 (85)	1,620	4.4 (70)	1,801	34.3 (87)

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.
(2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.
(3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.
(4) <2500 g.
(5) >4000 g.
(6) <37 weeks gestation.
(7) 37 or more weeks gestation and <2500 g.
* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Table 8B
2001 - 2003 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance ⁽¹⁾
North Carolina
Comparison of Infant Health Indicators by County
Combined 3 Years

Comparison of Infant Health Indicators ^(2, 3)									
County	Birthweight			Preterm (6)		Full Term LBW (7)		Ever Breastfed	
	Low (4)		High (5)						
	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
Yadkin	626	7.5 (12)	7.7 (72)	620	13.1 (28)	539	2.8 (7)	532	59.4 (31)
Yancey	317	7.9 (17)	6.9 (47)	312	11.5 (10)	276	4.0 (49)	283	72.1 (11)
Unknown Counties	685	10.5 (79)	8.2 (80)	675	15.7 (68)	569	5.6 (87)	610	50.2 (51)
North Carolina	165,031	9.4	7.1	162,651	14.7	138,800	4.0	142,510	53.9

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.
(2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.
(3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.
(4) <2500 g.
(5) >4000 g.
(6) <37 weeks gestation.
(7) 37 or more weeks gestation and <2500 g.
* Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

