2005 Pediatric Nutrition Surveillance (1)

Comparison of Racial and Ethnic Distribution by County Children Aged 5 to <20 Years (2)

Table 4B Page: Run Date: 1/10/07 North Carolina

		Compariso	on of Racial a	and Ethnic [Distribution by	County		
County	Number Included in Analysis (3)	White, Not Hispanic %	Black, Not Hispanic %	Hispanic %	American Indian/Alaskan Native %	Asian/Pacific Islander %	Multiple Races %	All Other / Unknown %
Alexander	37	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Alleghany	153	81.7	0.7	17.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Anson	78	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Ashe	399	90.0	0.5	9.3	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
Avery	18	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Bladen	77	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Brunswick	300	52.3	13.7	33.7	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0
Burke	43	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Carteret	14	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Caswell	113	35.4	57.5	7.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Cherokee	79	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Clay	91	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Cleveland	1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Columbus	245	54.3	29.0	13.5	2.4	0.8	0.0	0.0
Craven	1,137	31.8	50.3	13.2	0.2	3.5	0.0	1.0
Cumberland	1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Dare	20	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Davidson	93	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.(2) Analyses based on one record per child.(3) Excludes records with errors.

^{*} Percentages are not calculated if < 100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

2005 Pediatric Nutrition Surveillance (1) North Carolina

Comparison of Racial and Ethnic Distribution by County Children Aged 5 to <20 Years (2)

		Compariso	on of Racial	and Ethnic [Distribution by	County		
County	Number Included in Analysis (3)	White, Not Hispanic %	Black, Not Hispanic %	Hispanic %	American Indian/Alaskan Native %	Asian/Pacific Islander %	Multiple Races %	All Other / Unknown %
Duplin	492	23.2	24.8	52.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Edgecombe	36	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Franklin	828	23.7	49.4	26.3	0.4	0.2	0.0	0.0
Gaston	38	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Gates	56	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Graham	200	90.5	1.5	0.0	8.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Granville	228	11.4	61.0	27.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Greene	257	14.0	45.5	40.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Guilford	7	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Halifax	43	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Harnett	846	33.5	33.8	31.9	0.5	0.4	0.0	0.0
Hertford	16	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Hoke	379	14.0	35.1	34.8	16.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
Hyde	9	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Jackson	63	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Jones	274	45.6	47.8	6.2	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
Lee	87	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Lenoir	33	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

 ⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.
 (2) Analyses based on one record per child.
 (3) Excludes records with errors.

Page:

^{*} Percentages are not calculated if < 100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

2005 Pediatric Nutrition Surveillance (1) North Carolina

Comparison of Racial and Ethnic Distribution by County Children Aged 5 to <20 Years (2)

		Comparise	on of Racial	and Ethnic [Distribution by	County		
County	Number Included in Analysis (3)	White, Not Hispanic %	Black, Not Hispanic %	Hispanic %	American Indian/Alaskan Native %	Asian/Pacific Islander %	Multiple Races %	All Other / Unknown %
Macon	185	78.9	1.6	19.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Martin	129	17.8	67.4	14.0	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.0
Mcdowell	56	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Mitchell	39	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Montgomery	149	8.7	16.1	71.8	0.0	3.4	0.0	0.0
Moore	183	42.6	15.8	41.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Nash	229	17.9	64.2	16.2	0.0	1.7	0.0	0.0
New Hanove	4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Northhampt	245	18.0	77.1	4.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Onslow	129	50.4	27.1	17.8	0.8	3.9	0.0	0.0
Pamlico	174	60.3	25.3	12.1	0.0	2.3	0.0	0.0
Pender	398	28.9	31.4	35.4	0.0	4.3	0.0	0.0
Person	75	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Pitt	117	19.7	46.2	30.8	0.0	2.6	0.0	0.9
Polk	13	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Randolph	139	57.6	5.8	36.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Robeson	803	11.8	21.5	35.9	28.5	2.2	0.0	0.0
Rutherford	138	60.1	29.0	10.1	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.0

 ⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.
 (2) Analyses based on one record per child.
 (3) Excludes records with errors.

Page:

^{*} Percentages are not calculated if < 100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

North Carolina

Comparison of Racial and Ethnic Distribution by County Children Aged 5 to <20 Years (2)

		Compariso	on of Racial a	and Ethnic [Distribution by	County		
County	Number Included in Analysis (3)	White, Not Hispanic	Black, Not Hispanic	Hispanic	American Indian/Alaskan Native	Asian/Pacific Islander	Multiple Races	All Other / Unknown
	Allalysis (3)	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Sampson	99	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Scotland	28	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Stanly	76	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Stokes	323	88.2	5.6	6.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Surry	33	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Transylvan	16	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Tyrrell	102	29.4	35.3	35.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Vance	170	11.2	58.2	30.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Warren	269	11.5	77.3	8.9	1.9	0.4	0.0	0.0
Washington	116	25.0	65.5	8.6	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
Watauga	320	85.9	4.1	9.4	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.0
Wayne Coun	208	44.2	34.1	21.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Wilson Cou	231	8.7	30.7	59.3	0.0	1.3	0.0	0.0
Yadkin	254	18.1	1.2	80.3	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0
Yancey	112	53.6	0.0	46.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
North Carolina	12,353	37.6	32.5	25.9	2.9	1.1	0.0	0.1

Page:

⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.(2) Analyses based on one record per child.(3) Excludes records with errors.

^{*} Percentages are not calculated if < 100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

	Comparison of Age	Distribution by Cou	inty	
County	Number Included in Analysis (3,4)	5 -11 Years %	12 - 19 Years %	
Alexander	37	*	*	
Alleghany	153	53.6	46.4	
Anson	78	*	*	
Ashe	399	47.9	52.1	
Avery	18	*	*	
Bladen	77	*	*	
Brunswick	300	79.0	21.0	
Burke	43	*	*	
Carteret	14	*	*	
Caswell	113	74.3	25.7	
Cherokee	79	*	*	
Clay	91	*	*	
Cleveland	1	*	*	
Columbus	245	84.1	15.9	
Craven	1,137	56.2	43.8	
Cumberland	1	*	*	
Dare	20	*	*	

 ⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.
 (2) Analyses based on one record per child.
 (3) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.
 (4) Records rejected if date of birth and date of visit unknown.

^{*} Percentages are not calculated if < 100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

	Comparison of Age	Distribution by Cou	inty	
County	Number Included in Analysis (3,4)	5 -11 Years %	12 - 19 Years %	
Davidson	93	*	*	
Duplin	492	61.0	39.0	
Edgecombe	36	*	*	
Franklin	828	64.3	35.7	
Gaston	38	*	*	
Gates	56	*	*	
Graham	200	43.5	56.5	
Granville	228	60.5	39.5	
Greene	257	76.3	23.7	
Guilford	7	*	*	
Halifax	43	*	*	
Harnett	846	70.2	29.8	
Hertford	16	*	*	
Hoke	379	79.4	20.6	
Hyde	9	*	*	
Jackson	63	*	*	
Jones	274	48.2	51.8	

Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.
 Analyses based on one record per child.
 Excludes records with unknown data or errors.
 Records rejected if date of birth and date of visit unknown.

^{*} Percentages are not calculated if < 100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

	Comparison of Age	Distribution by Cou	inty	
County	Number Included in Analysis (3,4)	5 -11 Years %	12 - 19 Years %	
Lee	87	*	*	
Lenoir	33	*	*	
Macon	185	64.9	35.1	
Martin	129	73.6	26.4	
Mcdowell	56	*	*	
Mitchell	39	*	*	
Montgomery	149	84.6	15.4	
Moore	183	92.9	7.1	
Nash	229	90.8	9.2	
New Hanove	4	*	*	
Northhampt	245	69.4	30.6	
Onslow	129	86.8	13.2	
Pamlico	174	37.9	62.1	
Pender	398	68.3	31.7	
Person	75	*	*	
Pitt	117	99.1	0.9	
Polk	13	*	*	

Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.
 Analyses based on one record per child.
 Excludes records with unknown data or errors.
 Records rejected if date of birth and date of visit unknown.

^{*} Percentages are not calculated if < 100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

	Comparison of Age	Distribution by Cou	inty
County	Number Included in Analysis (3,4)	5 -11 Years %	12 - 19 Years %
Randolph	139	89.9	10.1
Robeson	803	78.0	22.0
Rutherford	138	87.0	13.0
Sampson	99	*	*
Scotland	28	*	*
Stanly	76	*	*
Stokes	323	61.0	39.0
Surry	33	*	*
Transylvan	16	*	*
Tyrrell	102	77.5	22.5
Vance	170	70.6	29.4
Warren	269	58.4	41.6
Washington	116	85.3	14.7
Watauga	320	40.0	60.0
Wayne Coun	208	67.8	32.2
Wilson Cou	231	95.7	4.3
Yadkin	254	78.7	21.3

 ⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.
 (2) Analyses based on one record per child.
 (3) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.
 (4) Records rejected if date of birth and date of visit unknown.

^{*} Percentages are not calculated if < 100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 5B 2005 Pediatric Nutrition Surveillance (1) North Carolina

Comparison of Age Distribution by County Children Aged 5 to <20 Years (2)

112

12,353

Cilidren Age	eu 5 to <20 Tears (=)	
Comparison of Age	Distribution by Cou	nty
Number Included in Analysis (3,4)	5 -11 Years %	12 - 19 Years %

51.8

68.3

48.2

31.7

County

Yancey

North Carolina

Page:

 ⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.
 (2) Analyses based on one record per child.
 (3) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.
 (4) Records rejected if date of birth and date of visit unknown.
 * Percentages are not calculated if < 100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 6B 2005 Pediatric Nutrition Surveillance (1) North Carolina

Page: 1 Run Date: 1 / 10 / 07

	Comparison of Growth and Anemia Indicators by County							
		Height and Weight						
County		Short Stature (5)			Over- weight		nia o/Hct)	
	Number	% <5th (Rank)	(6) % <5th (Rank)	% 85th- <95th (Rank)	% ≥95th (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	
Alexander	37	* *	* *	* *	* *	33	* *	
Alleghany	49	* *	* *	* *	* *	47	* *	
Anson	61	* *	* *	* *	* *	49	* *	
Ashe	293	3.8 (7)	3.4 (23)	21.5 (25)	25.9 (17)	131	7.6 (4)	
Avery	16	* *	* *	* *	* *	0	* *	
Bladen	72	* *	* *	* *	* *	50	* *	
Brunswick	291	11.0 (25)	3.1 (21)	20.3 (21)	21.3 (9)	102	7.8 (5)	
Burke	39	* *	* *	* *	* *	41	* *	
Carteret	10	* *	* *	* *	* *	0	* *	
Caswell	100	16.0 (31)	3.0 (20)	19.0 (17)	31.0 (27)	1	* *	
Cherokee	55	* *	* *	* *	* *	22	* *	
Clay	74	* *	* *	* *	* *	42	* *	

⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

⁽²⁾ Analyses based on one record per child.

⁽³⁾ Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

⁽⁴⁾ Rank compares this county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

⁽⁵⁾ Based on 2000 CDC growth chart percentiles for height-for-age for children 2 years of age and older.

⁽⁶⁾ Based on CDC growth chart percentiles for BMI-for-age for children 2 years of age and older. 85th - < 95th percentile category identifies children at risk of overweight.

⁽⁷⁾ Based on 1998 CDC MMWR, "Recommendations to Prevent and Control Iron Deficiency in the United States", altitude adjusted, children 6 months of age and older included in the analysis.

Percentages and ranks are not calculated if < 100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 6B 2005 Pediatric Nutrition Surveillance North Carolina (1)

Page: 2 Run Date: 1 / 10 / 07

	Compariso	on of Growth	and Anen	nia Indicator	s by County	7	(3,4)	
		Height and Weight						
County		Short Stature (5)		Over weigl (6)		Ane Low H	b/Hct	
	Number	% <5th (Rank)	% <5th (Rank)	% % 85th- $<$ 95th (Rank) (Rank)		Number	% (Rank)	
Columbus	91	* *	* *	* *	* *	0	* *	
Craven	1,075	7.7 (19)	0.8 (5)	21.3 (24)	28.3 (22)	0	* *	
Dare	19	* *	* *	* *	* *	0	* *	
Davidson	86	* *	* *	* *	* *	90	* *	
Duplin	195	7.2 (17)	0.5 (2)	20.5 (22)	26.7 (18)	0	* *	
Franklin	561	7.8 (20)	0.5 (2)	20.7 (23)	29.4 (23)	249	11.2 (7)	
Gaston	4	* *	* *	* *	* *	3	* *	
Gates	49	* *	* *	* *	* *	40	* *	
Graham	91	* *	* *	* *	* *	92	* *	
Granville	223	4.0 (9)	0.9 (6)	19.3 (18)	32.7 (30)	137	24.8 (12)	
Greene	185	4.9 (12)	3.8 (25)	17.3 (9)	18.4 (4)	0	* *	
Halifax	37	* *	* *	* *	* *	2	* *	

⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

⁽²⁾ Analyses based on one record per child.

⁽³⁾ Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

⁽⁴⁾ Rank compares this county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

⁽⁵⁾ Based on 2000 CDC growth chart percentiles for height-for-age for children 2 years of age and older.

⁽⁶⁾ Based on CDC growth chart percentiles for BMI-for-age for children 2 years of age and older. 85th - < 95th percentile category identifies children at risk of overweight.

⁽⁷⁾ Based on 1998 CDC MMWR, "Recommendations to Prevent and Control Iron Deficiency in the United States", altitude adjusted, children 6 months of age and older included in the analysis.

Percentages and ranks are not calculated if < 100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 6B 2005 Pediatric Nutrition Surveillance (1) North Carolina

Page: 3 Run Date: 1/10/07

	Compariso	on of Growth	and Anen	nia Indicator	s by County	1	(3,4)	
		Height and Weight						
County		Short Stature (5)	Under- weight (6)		Over- weight		mia b/Hct)	
	Number	% <5th (Rank)	% <5th (Rank)	% % 85th- <95th ≥95th (Rank) (Rank)		Number	% (Rank)	
Harnett	646	5.6 (15)	2.9 (19)	18.9 (16)	23.8 (14)	383	5.7 (3)	
Hertford	16	* *	* *	* *	* *	16	* *	
Hoke	360	3.6 (6)	4.2 (26)	14.4 (4)	20.6 (6)	0	* *	
Hyde	5	* *	* *	* *	* *	5	* *	
Jackson	1	* *	* *	* *	* *	0	* *	
Jones	225	4.9 (12)	1.8 (15)	22.7 (29)	30.2 (24)	6	* *	
Lee	48	* *	* *	* *	* *	50	* *	
Lenoir	31	* *	* *	* *	* *	0	* *	
Macon	77	* *	* *	* *	* *	38	* *	
Martin	108	1.9 (1)	5.6 (30)	17.6 (10)	22.2 (10)	0	* *	
Mcdowell	53	* *	* *	* *	* *	13	* *	
Mitchell	37	* *	* *	* *	* *	12	* *	

⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.



⁽²⁾ Analyses based on one record per child.

⁽³⁾ Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

⁽⁴⁾ Rank compares this county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

⁽⁵⁾ Based on 2000 CDC growth chart percentiles for height-for-age for children 2 years of age and older.

⁽⁶⁾ Based on CDC growth chart percentiles for BMI-for-age for children 2 years of age and older. 85th - < 95th percentile category identifies children at risk of overweight.

⁽⁷⁾ Based on 1998 CDC MMWR, "Recommendations to Prevent and Control Iron Deficiency in the United States", altitude adjusted, children 6 months of age and older included in the analysis.

Percentages and ranks are not calculated if < 100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 6B 2005 Pediatric Nutrition Surveillance (1) North Carolina

Page: 4 Run Date: 1 / 10 / 07

	Comparison of Growth and Anemia Indicators by County								
		Height and Weight							
	Number	Short Stature (5) % <5th (Rank)	Under- weight (6)	Over- weight (6)		Ane Low H	lb/Hct		
County			% <5th (Rank)	% 85th- <95th (Rank)	% ≥95th (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)		
Montgomery	147	12.2 (27)	1.4 (10)	21.8 (27)	32.0 (29)	80	* *		
Moore	153	11.1 (26)	4.6 (27)	15.7 (6)	27.5 (20)	13	* *		
Nash	210	3.3 (4)	5.7 (31)	15.7 (6)	16.2 (1)	0	* *		
New Hanove	1	* *	* *	* *	* *	0	* *		
Northhampt	180	5.6 (15)	1.7 (13)	21.7 (26)	27.8 (21)	194	23.7 (11)		
Onslow	113	3.5 (5)	1.8 (15)	11.5 (1)	32.7 (30)	1	* *		
Pamlico	166	7.2 (17)	0.6 (4)	28.9 (31)	25.3 (15)	0	* *		
Pender	357	10.6 (24)	2.0 (17)	16.5 (8)	31.4 (28)	83	* *		
Person	69	* *	* *	* *	* *	64	* *		
Pitt	109	12.8 (28)	5.5 (29)	20.2 (20)	17.4 (2)	91	* *		
Polk	13	* *	* *	* *	* *	13	* *		
Randolph	130	4.6 (11)	1.5 (11)	23.1 (30)	19.2 (5)	131	4.6 (1)		

⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.



⁽²⁾ Analyses based on one record per child.

⁽³⁾ Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

⁽⁴⁾ Rank compares this county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

⁽⁵⁾ Based on 2000 CDC growth chart percentiles for height-for-age for children 2 years of age and older.

⁽⁶⁾ Based on CDC growth chart percentiles for BMI-for-age for children 2 years of age and older. 85th - < 95th percentile category identifies children at risk of overweight.

⁽⁷⁾ Based on 1998 CDC MMWR, "Recommendations to Prevent and Control Iron Deficiency in the United States", altitude adjusted, children 6 months of age and older included in the analysis.

Percentages and ranks are not calculated if < 100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 6B 2005 Pediatric Nutrition Surveillance North Carolina (1)

Page: 5 Run Date: 1 / 10 / 07

	Compariso	on of Growth	and Anen	nia Indicator	s by County	<u>'</u>	(3,4)
		Н	eight and We	eight			
	Number	Short Stature (5) % <5th (Rank)	Under- weight (6)	Over- weight (6)		- Ane Low F (7	lb/Hct
County			% <5th (Rank)	% 85th- <95th (Rank)	% ≥95th (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
Robeson	716	3.9 (8)	3.5 (24)	14.2 (3)	20.7 (7)	383	15.9 (9)
Rutherford	134	9.7 (22)	5.2 (28)	18.7 (14)	22.4 (11)	134	9.7 (6)
Sampson	47	* *	* *	* *	* *	37	* *
Stanly	25	* *	* *	* *	* *	1	* *
Stokes	312	8.0 (21)	2.6 (18)	19.6 (19)	30.8 (25)	0	* *
Transylvan	9	* *	* *	* *	* *	5	* *
Tyrrell	67	* *	* *	* *	* *	0	* *
Vance	169	2.4 (2)	1.2 (9)	21.9 (28)	30.8 (25)	162	17.9 (10)
Warren	240	4.2 (10)	1.7 (13)	18.8 (15)	25.4 (16)	151	13.2 (8)
Washington	79	* *	* *	* *	* *	0	* *
Watauga	309	5.5 (14)	1.6 (12)	18.4 (12)	20.7 (7)	0	* *
Wayne Coun	121	9.9 (23)	3.3 (22)	12.4 (2)	18.2 (3)	73	* *

⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

⁽²⁾ Analyses based on one record per child.

⁽³⁾ Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

⁽⁴⁾ Rank compares this county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

⁽⁵⁾ Based on 2000 CDC growth chart percentiles for height-for-age for children 2 years of age and older.

⁽⁶⁾ Based on CDC growth chart percentiles for BMI-for-age for children 2 years of age and older. 85th - < 95th percentile category identifies children at risk of overweight.

⁽⁷⁾ Based on 1998 CDC MMWR, "Recommendations to Prevent and Control Iron Deficiency in the United States", altitude adjusted, children 6 months of age and older included in the analysis.

Percentages and ranks are not calculated if < 100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 6B 2005 Pediatric Nutrition Surveillance North Carolina

Page: 6 Run Date: 1 / 10 / 07

	Comparison of Growth and Anemia Indicators by County							
		Short Stature (5)	Under- weight (6)	Over- weight (6)		Anemia Low Hb/Hct		
County	Number % <5th		% <5th (Rank)	% 85th- <95th (Rank)	% ≥95th (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	
Wilson Cou	228	2.6 (3)	0.4 (1)	18.4 (12)	27.2 (19)	216	4.6 (1)	
Yadkin	104	14.4 (30)	1.0 (8)	18.3 (11)	23.1 (12)	0	* *	
Yancey	110	13.6 (29)	0.9 (6)	15.5 (5)	23.6 (13)	0	* *	
North Carolina	9,639	6.7	2.2	18.9	25.2	3,489	11.4	

⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

⁽²⁾ Analyses based on one record per child.

⁽³⁾ Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

⁽⁴⁾ Rank compares this county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

⁽⁵⁾ Based on 2000 CDC growth chart percentiles for height-for-age for children 2 years of age and older.

⁽⁶⁾ Based on CDC growth chart percentiles for BMI-for-age for children 2 years of age and older. 85th - < 95th percentile category identifies children at risk of overweight.

⁽⁷⁾ Based on 1998 CDC MMWR, "Recommendations to Prevent and Control Iron Deficiency in the United States", altitude adjusted, children 6 months of age and older included in the analysis.

Percentages and ranks are not calculated if < 100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

2005 Pediatric Nutrition Surveillance (1) North Carolina

Comparison of Breastfeeding, TV Viewing, and Smoking in Household by County (2) Children Aged 5 to <20 Years(3)

Comparison of Breastfeeding, TV Viewing, and Smoking in Household by County							
		lours TV ing/Day (5)		king in sehold			
County	Number	%	Number	%			
		(Rank)		(Rank)			
	0	* *	0	* *			
North Carolina	0	*	0	*			



Page:

Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.
 Breastfeeding data is not applicable to children aged 5 to < 20 years. TV Viewing and Smoking in Household data are not reported by all contributors.
 Analyses based on one record per child.
 Exclude records with unknown data ir errors.

 ⁽⁵⁾ Children aged 2 years and older included in the analyses.
 Percentages and ranks are not calculated if < 100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 6B 2003 - 2005 Pediatric Nutrition Surveillance (1) North Carolina

Run Date: 1/10/07

Page:

	(3,4)						
		Н	eight and We	eight			
	Number	Short Stature (5) % <5th (Rank)	Under- weight (6)	Over- weight (6)		Ane Low H	lb/Hct
County			% <5th (Rank)	% 85th- <95th (Rank)	% ≥95th (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
Alexander	126	6.3 (29)	0.0 (1)	23.0 (50)	22.2 (17)	101	7.9 (14)
Alleghany	155	5.8 (24)	1.9 (25)	18.7 (25)	27.7 (43)	156	10.3 (18)
Anson	233	3.4 (6)	4.3 (49)	12.4 (4)	17.6 (4)	182	15.4 (23)
Ashe	832	3.8 (10)	3.2 (42)	17.2 (19)	26.7 (40)	384	6.3 (8)
Avery	16	* *	* *	* *	* *	0	* *
Bladen	186	5.4 (21)	2.7 (39)	11.8 (2)	30.6 (52)	118	2.5 (1)
Brunswick	956	8.6 (39)	3.3 (43)	19.5 (31)	22.0 (16)	315	8.3 (16)
Burke	148	11.5 (48)	0.7 (4)	20.9 (40)	27.7 (43)	147	7.5 (12)
Carteret	71	* *	* *	* *	* *	0	* *
Caswell	293	8.2 (38)	5.8 (53)	16.4 (15)	25.3 (32)	1	* *
Cherokee	182	3.3 (5)	0.0 (1)	23.1 (51)	25.3 (32)	81	* *
Clay	185	10.3 (44)	2.2 (33)	15.1 (8)	18.9 (5)	105	10.5 (19)

⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.



⁽²⁾ Analyses based on one record per child.

⁽³⁾ Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

⁽⁴⁾ Rank compares this county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

⁽⁵⁾ Based on 2000 CDC growth chart percentiles for height-for-age for children 2 years of age and older.

⁽⁶⁾ Based on CDC growth chart percentiles for BMI-for-age for children 2 years of age and older. 85th - < 95th percentile category identifies children at risk of overweight.

⁽⁷⁾ Based on 1998 CDC MMWR, "Recommendations to Prevent and Control Iron Deficiency in the United States", altitude adjusted, children 6 months of age and older included in the analysis.

Percentages and ranks are not calculated if < 100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 6B 2003 - 2005 Pediatric Nutrition Surveillance (1) North Carolina

Comparison of Growth and Anemia Indicators by County Children Aged 5 to <20 Years (2)

	Comparison of Growth and Anemia Indicators by County								
		H	eight and We	eight					
		Short Stature (5) % <5th (Rank)	Under- weight (6) % <5th (Rank)	Over- weight (6)		Anemia Low Hb/Hct (7)			
County	Number			% 85th- <95th (Rank)	% ≥95th (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)		
Columbus	372	3.2 (4)	1.6 (19)	16.4 (15)	19.1 (6)	0	* *		
Craven	2,865	5.8 (24)	1.2 (12)	19.7 (32)	27.9 (46)	0	* *		
Dare	89	* *	* *	* *	* *	0	* *		
Davidson	275	7.6 (35)	2.2 (33)	24.7 (53)	19.3 (7)	282	7.1 (10)		
Duplin	507	7.7 (36)	2.0 (26)	20.1 (36)	24.9 (31)	1	* *		
Franklin	1,440	6.3 (29)	0.7 (4)	21.4 (44)	26.5 (39)	836	12.4 (20)		
Gaston	14	* *	* *	* *	* *	9	* *		
Gates	99	* *	* *	* *	* *	82	* *		
Graham	259	6.2 (28)	0.4 (3)	16.6 (18)	23.2 (22)	248	4.4 (3)		
Granville	703	4.7 (15)	1.0 (11)	20.9 (40)	27.7 (43)	414	16.9 (25)		
Greene	474	5.7 (23)	2.1 (30)	17.3 (20)	20.7 (13)	2	* *		
Halifax	43	* *	* *	* *	* *	3	* *		

⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.



Page:

⁽²⁾ Analyses based on one record per child.

⁽³⁾ Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

⁽⁴⁾ Rank compares this county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

⁽⁵⁾ Based on 2000 CDC growth chart percentiles for height-for-age for children 2 years of age and older.

⁽⁶⁾ Based on CDC growth chart percentiles for BMI-for-age for children 2 years of age and older. 85th - < 95th percentile category identifies children at risk of overweight.

⁽⁷⁾ Based on 1998 CDC MMWR, "Recommendations to Prevent and Control Iron Deficiency in the United States", altitude adjusted, children 6 months of age and older included in the analysis.

Percentages and ranks are not calculated if < 100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 6B 2003 - 2005 Pediatric Nutrition Surveillance North Carolina

Run Date: 1/10/07

Page:

	Comparison of Growth and Anemia Indicators by County								
		Height and Weight							
		Short Stature (5) % <5th (Rank)	Under- weight (6)	Over- weight (6)		Anemia Low Hb/Hct			
County	Number		% <5th (Rank)	% 85th- <95th (Rank)	% ≥95th (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)		
Harnett	1,748	6.8 (31)	1.8 (22)	19.8 (35)	22.4 (18)	841	7.8 (13)		
Haywood	10	* *	* *	* *	* *	11	* *		
Hertford	285	3.9 (11)	2.5 (37)	21.8 (47)	26.7 (40)	93	* *		
Hoke	1,009	3.7 (9)	5.1 (51)	15.1 (8)	19.3 (7)	1	* *		
Hyde	18	* *	* *	* *	* *	15	* *		
Jackson	1	* *	* *	* *	* *	0	* *		
Jones	494	4.7 (15)	2.0 (26)	22.5 (49)	28.5 (47)	107	20.6 (27)		
Lee	141	5.0 (18)	1.4 (15)	22.0 (48)	15.6 (2)	143	28.7 (30)		
Lenoir	250	2.4 (3)	7.2 (54)	7.2 (1)	15.6 (2)	0	* *		
Lincoln	164	12.2 (49)	2.4 (36)	12.2 (3)	22.6 (20)	1	* *		
Macon	191	11.0 (47)	1.6 (19)	17.8 (23)	21.5 (15)	96	* *		
Martin	350	2.0 (2)	2.0 (26)	20.9 (40)	26.0 (38)	0	* *		

⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.



⁽²⁾ Analyses based on one record per child.

⁽³⁾ Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

⁽⁴⁾ Rank compares this county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

⁽⁵⁾ Based on 2000 CDC growth chart percentiles for height-for-age for children 2 years of age and older.

⁽⁶⁾ Based on CDC growth chart percentiles for BMI-for-age for children 2 years of age and older. 85th - < 95th percentile category identifies children at risk of overweight.

⁽⁷⁾ Based on 1998 CDC MMWR, "Recommendations to Prevent and Control Iron Deficiency in the United States", altitude adjusted, children 6 months of age and older included in the analysis.

Percentages and ranks are not calculated if < 100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 6B 2003 - 2005 Pediatric Nutrition Surveillance North Carolina

Run Date: 1/10/07

Page:

	Comparison of Growth and Anemia Indicators by County								
		Height and Weight							
	Number	Short Stature (5) % <5th (Rank)	Under- weight (6)	Over- weight (6)		Anemia Low Hb/Hct (7)			
County			% <5th (Rank)	% 85th- <95th (Rank)	% ≥95th (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)		
Mcdowell	148	10.1 (42)	1.4 (15)	26.4 (54)	15.5 (1)	66	* *		
Mitchell	112	14.3 (51)	1.8 (22)	13.4 (6)	26.8 (42)	40	* *		
Montgomery	398	10.6 (46)	1.5 (17)	19.3 (30)	28.6 (48)	188	5.3 (6)		
Moore	426	8.9 (40)	3.3 (43)	19.7 (32)	23.5 (25)	182	20.9 (28)		
Nash	693	3.9 (11)	4.5 (50)	18.3 (24)	19.5 (9)	135	4.4 (3)		
New Hanove	1	* *	* *	* *	* *	0	* *		
Northhampt	582	4.6 (14)	1.5 (17)	20.4 (38)	25.9 (36)	637	24.5 (29)		
Onslow	416	5.3 (20)	3.6 (46)	12.7 (5)	22.6 (20)	2	* *		
Pamlico	554	6.1 (27)	0.7 (4)	23.6 (52)	24.4 (28)	0	* *		
Pender	745	12.6 (50)	2.0 (26)	15.7 (12)	30.3 (50)	141	4.3 (2)		
Person	249	8.0 (37)	1.6 (19)	17.7 (22)	25.3 (32)	227	7.0 (9)		
Pitt	353	10.5 (45)	2.8 (40)	21.5 (45)	22.4 (18)	325	19.1 (26)		

⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

⁽⁷⁾ Based on 1998 CDC MMWR, "Recommendations to Prevent and Control Iron Deficiency in the United States", altitude adjusted, children 6 months of age and older included in the analysis.

* Percentages and ranks are not calculated if < 100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.





⁽²⁾ Analyses based on one record per child.

⁽³⁾ Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

⁽⁴⁾ Rank compares this county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

⁽⁵⁾ Based on 2000 CDC growth chart percentiles for height-for-age for children 2 years of age and older.

⁽⁶⁾ Based on CDC growth chart percentiles for BMI-for-age for children 2 years of age and older. 85th - < 95th percentile category identifies children at risk of overweight.

Table 6B 2003 - 2005 Pediatric Nutrition Surveillance (1) North Carolina

Run Date: 1/10/07

Page:

	Comparison of Growth and Anemia Indicators by County								
		Height and Weight							
	Number	Short Stature (5) % <5th (Rank)	Under- weight (6) % <5th (Rank)	Over- weight (6)		Anemia Low Hb/Hct (7)			
County				% 85th- <95th (Rank)	% ≥95th (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)		
Polk	55	* *	* *	* *	* *	50	* *		
Randolph	464	5.8 (24)	3.0 (41)	19.2 (29)	19.6 (10)	474	4.9 (5)		
Richmond	6	* *	* *	* *	* *	8	* *		
Robeson	2,239	4.5 (13)	3.7 (47)	15.6 (11)	21.2 (14)	1,173	13.0 (21)		
Rowan	146	15.8 (53)	2.1 (30)	15.8 (13)	34.2 (54)	130	8.5 (17)		
Rutherford	416	7.2 (34)	3.4 (45)	20.4 (38)	23.3 (23)	405	7.4 (11)		
Sampson	129	10.1 (42)	2.3 (35)	21.7 (46)	20.2 (12)	90	* *		
Scotland	22	* *	* *	* *	* *	1	* *		
Stanly	112	20.5 (54)	5.4 (52)	15.2 (10)	29.5 (49)	1	* *		
Stokes	1,120	6.9 (33)	2.6 (38)	17.5 (21)	25.9 (36)	0	* *		
Swain	56	* *	* *	* *	* *	55	* *		
Transylvan	30	* *	* *	* *	* *	19	* *		

⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.



⁽²⁾ Analyses based on one record per child.

⁽³⁾ Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

⁽⁴⁾ Rank compares this county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

⁽⁵⁾ Based on 2000 CDC growth chart percentiles for height-for-age for children 2 years of age and older.

⁽⁶⁾ Based on CDC growth chart percentiles for BMI-for-age for children 2 years of age and older. 85th - < 95th percentile category identifies children at risk of overweight.

⁽⁷⁾ Based on 1998 CDC MMWR, "Recommendations to Prevent and Control Iron Deficiency in the United States", altitude adjusted, children 6 months of age and older included in the analysis.

Percentages and ranks are not calculated if < 100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 6B 2003 - 2005 Pediatric Nutrition Surveillance (1) North Carolina

Run Date: 1/10/07

Page:

	(3,4)						
		Н	eight and We	eight			
	Number	Short Stature (5) % <5th (Rank)	Under- weight (6)	Over- weight (6)		Ane Low H (7	b/Hct
County			% <5th (Rank)	% 85th- <95th (Rank)	% ≥95th (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
Tyrrell	284	4.9 (17)	0.7 (4)	20.1 (36)	30.3 (50)	0	* *
Union	1	* *	* *	* *	* *	1	* *
Vance	547	3.5 (8)	1.3 (13)	16.1 (14)	31.4 (53)	528	16.5 (24)
Wake	1	* *	* *	* *	* *	1	* *
Warren	834	3.4 (6)	1.8 (22)	18.7 (25)	24.2 (26)	549	14.2 (22)
Washington	364	1.1 (1)	3.8 (48)	16.5 (17)	24.5 (30)	0	* *
Watauga	908	5.5 (22)	1.3 (13)	18.9 (27)	23.3 (23)	1	* *
Wayne Coun	428	6.8 (31)	2.1 (30)	18.9 (27)	20.1 (11)	313	8.0 (15)
Wilkes	1	* *	* *	* *	* *	1	* *
Wilson Cou	675	5.2 (19)	0.9 (8)	19.7 (32)	25.6 (35)	648	5.7 (7)
Yadkin	446	9.6 (41)	0.9 (8)	20.9 (40)	24.4 (28)	0	* *
Yancey	347	15.0 (52)	0.9 (8)	14.4 (7)	24.2 (26)	0	* *

⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.



⁽²⁾ Analyses based on one record per child.

⁽³⁾ Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

⁽⁴⁾ Rank compares this county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

⁽⁵⁾ Based on 2000 CDC growth chart percentiles for height-for-age for children 2 years of age and older.

⁽⁶⁾ Based on CDC growth chart percentiles for BMI-for-age for children 2 years of age and older. 85th - < 95th percentile category identifies children at risk of overweight.

⁽⁷⁾ Based on 1998 CDC MMWR, "Recommendations to Prevent and Control Iron Deficiency in the United States", altitude adjusted, children 6 months of age and older included in the analysis.

Percentages and ranks are not calculated if < 100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 6B 2003 - 2005 Pediatric Nutrition Surveillance (1)

3 - 2005 Pediatric Nutrition Surveillance North Carolina

Comparison of Growth and Anemia Indicators by County Children Aged 5 to <20 Years (2)

	Comparison of Growth and Anemia Indicators by County								
		Н	eight and We	eight					
		Short Stature (5)	Under- weight (6)	Over- weight (6)		– Anemia Low Hb/Hct (7)			
County	Number	% <5th (Rank)	% <5th (Rank)	% 85th- <95th (Rank)	% ≥95th (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)		
North Carolina	29,494	6.3	2.2	18.6	24.4	11,169	11.4		



Page:

⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

⁽²⁾ Analyses based on one record per child.

⁽³⁾ Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

⁽⁴⁾ Rank compares this county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

⁽⁵⁾ Based on 2000 CDC growth chart percentiles for height-for-age for children 2 years of age and older.

⁽⁶⁾ Based on CDC growth chart percentiles for BMI-for-age for children 2 years of age and older. 85th - < 95th percentile category identifies children at risk of overweight.

⁽⁷⁾ Based on 1998 CDC MMWR, "Recommendations to Prevent and Control Iron Deficiency in the United States", altitude adjusted, children 6 months of age and older included in the analysis.

* Percentages and ranks are not calculated if < 100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

2003 - 2005 Pediatric Nutrition Surveillance (1) **North Carolina**

Children Aged 5 to <20 Years(3)

Comparison of Breastfeeding, TV Viewing, and Smoking in Household by County

Comparison of Breastfeeding, TV Viewing, and Smoking in **Household by County** (4,5)≤ 2 Hours TV Smoking in Viewing/Day Household (5) % Number % County Number (Rank) (Rank) 0 0 **North Carolina** 0 0



Page:

(2)

⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

⁽²⁾ Breastfeeding data is not applicable to children aged 5 to < 20 years. TV Viewing and Smoking in Household data are not reported by all contributors.

⁽³⁾ Analyses based on one record per child.

⁽⁴⁾ Exclude records with unknown data ir errors.

 ⁽⁵⁾ Children aged 2 years and older included in the analyses.
 * Percentages and ranks are not calculated if < 100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.